AGENDA PACKET

FEBRUARY 23, 2021 MEETING

	CAL#	PAGE
AGENDA		3
<u>APEX PERSONAL TRAINING, 20 NORTH SALEM ROAD, CROSS RIVER</u>	Cal #01-18PB	
Building Inspector Memo, dated February 11, 2021		5
Cover letter, The Helmes Group, dated January 30, 2021		6
Site Plan, The Helmes Group, dated January 22, 2021		7
GOSSETT BROTHERS NURSERY, 1202 ROUTE 35, SOUTH SALEM	Cal #03-20PB	Cal #37-20WP
Planning Board Resolution, dated January 19, 2021		9
Cover letter, Cronin Engineering, dated January 26, 2021		14
Agricultural Assessment Renewal Certification, NYS Dept of Taxation and Finance, dated March 16, 2020		16
Micro Winery License, NYS Liquor Authority, dated December 20, 2017		17
Site plan, Cronin Engineering, dated January 15, 2021		18
EAF, Part 2, undated		20
KULLMAN RESIDENCE, 12 RED COAT LANE, WACCABUC	Cal #02-19WV	Cal #60-19WP
Cost estimate, Marex Management, dated November 19, 2020	Cal #14-19SW	30
As Built Survey, Link Land Surveyors, dated December 10, 2020		31
VALENCIA RESIDENCE, 1196 ROUTE 35, SOUTH SALEM	Cal#01-20WV	Cal#12-20WP
No new materials		-
WOLF CONSERVATION CENTER, 3 & 7 BUCK RUN, SOUTH SALEM	Cal #6-17PB	
Kellard Sessions Memo, dated February 18, 2021		32
MCGUINNESS RESIDENCE, 17 SCHOOLHOUSE ROAD, WACCABUC	Cal #60-20WP	

Kellard Sessions Memo, dated February 9, 2021		39
CAC Memo, dated February 10, 2021		42
Cover letter, J. D. Barrett & Associates, dated February 16, 2021		43
Cover letter and narrative, ALP Engineering, dated February 16, 2021		47
Site plans, photographs, zoning compliance, erosion and mitigation; J. D. Barrett & Associates; dated		49
Stormwater Management Plan, Alan Pilch, dated February 16, 2021		54
SWPPP, dated February 16, 2021		56
Survey, Insite Engineering, dated August 19, 2019		144
GORTON RESIDENCE, 22 GILBERT ROAD, SOUTH SALEM	Cal #09-21WP	
Kellard Sessions Memo, dated February 18, 2021		145
Cover letter and application, Benedek & Ticehurst, dated January 19, 2021		148
Wetland mitigation plan, Benedek & Ticehurst, dated January 14, 2021		153
<u>STRAUSS RESIDENCE, 399 POUND RIDGE ROAD, SOUTH</u> <u>SALEM</u>	Cal #13-21WP	
Kellard Sessions Memo, dated February 18, 2021		14
CAC Memo, dated February 10, 2021		157
Cover letter and application, Robert Eberts, dated January 14, 2021		158
EAF, Part 1, undated		161
Site Plan, Cross River Architects, dated November 5, 2020		164
Wetland mitigation plan, Paul Jaehnig, dated February 7, 2021		170

TOWN OF LEWISBORO Westchester County, New York



Tel: (914) 763-5592 Fax: (914) 875-9148 Email: planning@lewisborogov.com

AGENDA

Tuesday, February 23, 2021

South Salem, New York 10590

Planning Board

79 Bouton Road

Meeting will start at 7:30 p.m. and end at or before 11:00 p.m.

Via Zoom videoconferencing and live streaming to Lewisboro TV YouTube channel

Join Zoom Meeting at https://zoom.us/j/96636736163?pwd=NEsyNXRqYnZmb0tIOTEzbmlCL2hOUT09 Meeting ID: 966 3673 6163 Passcode 839761 You may call in to the Zoom meeting at 1-929-205-6099 when prompted, enter 966 3673 6163

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCNUNE5gXs5rnHcyR4l6dikA

I. DECISION

Cal #01-18PB

Apex Personal Training, 20 North Salem Road, Cross River NY 10518, Sheet 17, Block 10533, Lot 89 (EK Cross River, owner of record) - Application for Change of Use/Waiver of Site Development Plan Procedures.

II. PUBLIC HEARING, CONTINUATION

Cal #03-20PB, Cal #37-20WP

Gossett Brothers Nursery, 1202 Route 35, South Salem, NY 10590, Sheet 31 Block 10805 Lot 46 (Thomas Gossett for T. Gossett Revocable Trust – owner of record) - Application for Site Development Plan Approval and Wetland Activity Permit Approval for an existing nursery.

III. WETLAND VIOLATIONS

Cal #02-19WV, Cal #60-19WP, Cal #14-19SW

Kullman Residence, 12 Red Coat Lane, Waccabuc, NY 10597, Sheet 26, Block 11155, Lot 92 (Michael and Susan Kullman, owners of record)

Cal #01-20WV, Cal #12-20WP

Valencia Residence, 1196 Route 35, South Salem, NY 10590, Sheet 31, Block 10805, Lot 45 (Maria and Javier Valencia, owners of record)

IV. SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

<u>Cal #06-17PB</u>

Wolf Conservation Center, Buck Run, South Salem, NY 10590, Sheet 21, Block 10803, Lots 3, 65, 67, 81, 82, 83, 86 & 88 (Wolf Conservation Center, owner of record) - Application for a Site Development Plan Approval, Special Use Permit and Subdivision associated with a private nature preserve.

V. WETLAND PERMIT REVIEW

Cal#60-20WP

McGuinness Residence, 17 Schoolhouse Road, Waccabuc, NY 10597, Sheet 22, Block 10802, Lot 35 (Annette and Peter McGuinness, owners of record) - Application for the construction of a greenhouse, covered dining area, spa and extension of an existing patio.

Cal #09-21WP

Gorton Residence, 22 Gilbert Road, South Salem, NY 10590, Sheet 36F, Block 10806, Lot 24 (Lara Gorton, owner of record) - Application for the construction of a rain garden and mitigation for modified sea wall.

<u>Cal #13-21WP</u> Strauss Residence, 399 Pound Ridge Road, South Salem, NY 10590, Sheet 29B, Block 10540, Lot 64 (The Janice Filipowicz Strauss & William Theodore Strauss III Revocable Living Trust, owners of record) -Application for the construction of a sunroom.

- VI. **MINUTES OF January 19, 2021**
- VII. NEXT MEETING DATE: March 16, 2021.

TOWN OF LEWISBORO Westchester County, New York



Tel: (914) 763-3060 Fax: (914) 875-9148 Email: jangiello@lewisborogov.com

February 11, 2021

Building Department

South Salem, New York 10590

79 Bouton Road

Ms. Janet Andersen, Chair Town of Lewisboro Planning Board

Re: Cal#01-18PB Apex Personal Training, 20 North Salem Rd., sheet 10533, block 10805, lot 089

Dear Ms. Andersen and Members of the Board,

I have reviewed the plan from Steven Charles Helmes, R.A., dated 1/22/2021. The proposed gym expansion is zoning compliant, other than the parking space shortfall. I have no issue with the Planning Board considering joint use of parking for this use.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions.

Sincerely,

Joe Angiello Building Inspector



<u>Via E-Mail & Hand Delivered</u> January 30, 2021

Chair Andersen & Members of the Planning Board Town of Lewisboro 79 Bouton Road South Salem, NY 10590

Project: Expansion of Existing Fitness Facility - "Change of Use" APEX Personal Training, LLC., Existing Tenant Cross River Shopping Center – Lower Level Cross River, NY 10518 – Town of Lewisboro

Subject: <u>Waiver of Site Development Plan Approval</u>

Dear Chair Andersen & Members of the Planning Board:

In accordance with our 1/19/21 Planning Board Zoom Meeting, regarding the above-mentioned project, please be advised that our office has addressed the Planning Board Member comments, which were discussed and requested into revised drawings. Specifically, showing equipment layout in expanded fitness area spaced out as depicted on attached floor plan drawing for maintaining social distancing.

Therefore, enclosed hereto please find revised Drawings, dated 1/22/21, consisting of Drawings #1 & #2, as prepared by The Helmes Group, LLP – Architects. Please note, this letter and revised drawing is being forwarded to Joseph Angiello, Building Inspector for his review and comments, which was requested during our 1/19, Planning Board Meeting.

It is my understanding that we will be scheduled to appear before the Planning Board via Zoom, on <u>Tuesday, February 23, 2021 at 7:30 p.m.</u> and look forward to presenting this application in order to obtain the required Waiver of Site Plan Approval for this project.

If you have any questions or require any additional information prior to that time, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

THE HELMES GROUP, LLP Steven C. Helmes, AIA Architect

SCH:KA Encl. cc: Joseph Angiello, Town of Lewisboro Building Inspector cc: APEX Personal Training, LLC – Shkelzen & John Swertfager

> 184 KATONAH AVENUE, KATONAH, NEW YORK 10536 Tel. (914) 232-4633 Fax (914) 232-0768







EXTERIOR REFERENCE PHOTOGRAPHS





EXPANSION FOR: APEX FITNESS

CROSS RIVER SHOPPING CENTER - LOWER LEVEL CROSS RIVER, NY 10518

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PARKING REQUIREMENTS:

TAKEN FROM: DWG A-01- AMENDED SITE PLAN APPROVAL PLAN PREPARED BY: DESIGN DEVELOPMENT, PLLC - RONALD A. HOINA, ARCHITECT DATED: FEBRUARY 14, 2012

SPACE IDEN	TENANT	USE	SQUARE FEET	P.S. REQUIRED*
A-1	CHASE BANK	BANK	4.000	27
B-1	CROSS RIVER PHARMACY	RETAIL	4,900	25
B-2	CROSS RIVER EYE	RETAIL	900	5
B-3	CROSS RIVER CLEANERS	RETAIL	1,400	7
B-4	VISION SALON	BEAUTY SALON	1,160	12
B-5	VILLARINAS	RESTAURANT	1,000	10
B-6	CROSS RIVER WINE/LIQUOR	RETAIL	1,400	7
B-7	CROSS RIVER POST OFFICE	RETAIL	1,050	6
B-8	LEWISBORO HARDWARE	RETAIL	1,150	6
<u>C-1</u>	SALEM NAIL	BEAUTY SALON	1,700	17
C-2	LA FAMIGLIA PIZZERIA	RESTAURANT	1,280	13
C-3	CROSS RIVER FLORIST	RETAIL	1,170	6
C-4	BAGEL	RESTAURANT	1,200	12
D-1	HOIKU	RESTAURANT	4,400	44
DLL-1	VACANT	N/A	1,630	
DLL-2	VACANT	STORAGE	720	
DLL-3	VACANT	N/A	1,080	* eg
DLL-4	VACANT	METER ROOM	70	- 1 ²
E-1	D'AGOSTINOS	GROCERY	20,473	164
ELL-1	TENANT 3	RESTAURANT	1,000	10
ELL-2	TENANT 2	RETAIL	5,918	30
ELL-3	TENANT 1	OFFICE	6,347	26
ELL-4	D'AGOSTINOS	STORAGE	5,420	. ¹
ELL-5	COMMON AREA	· •	735	
S PER CURRENT	LEWISBORO ZONING ORDINANCE	TOTAL	70,103	427
OTES:		TOTAL PARKI	NG SPACES AS (OF LAST SPA
PARKING ORIGINALLY DESIGNED AND APPROVE WITH ZONING REGULATION IN EFFECT AT THAT		254 P.S. INCLUDING 10 ACCESSIBLE SPACES		
		TOTAL PARKING	AD HISTED FOR	NEW LAYOUT*

CURRENT ZONING REQUIRES PARKING SPACES TO BE 9'-0 X18'-0" MIN. ACTUAL SPACES ARE 8'-6" X 18'-0" WHICH WAS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ZONING REGULATION IN EFFECT AT THE TIME OF

APPROVALS.

PROPOSED SQUARE FOOTAGE / PARKING ANALYSIS				
USE	P.S. REQUIRED	EXISTING SQUARE FOOTAGE	REQUIRED PARKING SPACES	
TENANT A : STORAGE DECICCO'S CROSS RIVER	N/A	N/A	0	
TENANT B: OFFICE FOR BUSINESS OR PROFESSIONAL (FORMER TOWN OFFICES)	1/250	1,895/250	8	
TENANT B: RECREATION APEX EXPANSION (FORMER TOWN OFFICES)	1/200	4,452/200	23	
TENANT C: OFFICE FOR BUSINESS OR PROFESSIONAL USE: <u>FORMER POLICE STATION</u>	1/250	1,400/250	6	
TENANT D: RETAIL OR SERVICE BUSINESS - <u>KEMPO ACADEMY</u>	1/200	1,400/200	7	
TENANT E: RECREATION FACILITY - <u>APEX FITNESS</u>	1/200	3,920/200 + (1) FOR EACH EMPLOYEE: (3) EMPLOYEES	23	
		EXISTING REQUIRED	67	
		EXISTING PROVIDED	-56	
		DEFICIENCY	11	

238 P.S. INCLUDING 11 ACCESSIBLE SPACES



TAX MAP AS TAKEN FROM: WESTCHESTER G.I.S.



SATELLITE PHOTOGRAPH AS TAKEN FROM: GOOGLE MAPS



ISSUE DATES:	CROSS RIVER SHOPPING	EXPANSION FOR: APEX PERSONAL TRAINING, LLC CENTER - LOWER LEVEL	CROSS RIVER, NY 10518
		SITE PLAN, TAX MAP, PARKING CALCULATIONS AND REFERENCE PHOTOGRAPHS SCALE: AS NOTED	DRAWN BY: GNA CHECKED BY: SCH
01/22/21 REVISED AS PER PLANNING BOARD COMMENTS 2/14/20 FOR PLANNING BOARD		THE HELMES GROUP, LLP ARCHITECTURE • ENGINEERING PROJECT MANAGEMENT 184 KATONAH AVENUE, KATONAH, NY 10536 TEL: (914) 232-4633 FAX: (914) 232-0768 EMAIL: thg@thehelmesgroup.com	drawing no.:









	Ε	XISTING WATER USAG	E CALCUL	ATION	
E	OCCUPANCY REQUIRED		USAGE	NOTES	
5S	1 PER 100 SF	1400 / 100 = 14 PEOPLE	15 GAL / PERSON	210	VACANT
S S	1 PER 100 SF	1400 / 100 = 14 PEOPLE	15 GAL / PERSON	210	FORMER WELLNESS CENTER
OD	1 PER SEAT	10 SEATS = 10 PEOPLE	25 GAL / PERSON	250	FORMER FAST FOOD - TAKE OUT
				670 GALLONS/DAY	

PROPOSED WATER USAGE CALCULATION					
	OCCUPANCY		REQUIRED	USAGE	NOTES
C2	1 PER 50 SF	3920 / 50 = 78.4 PEOPLE	20 GAL / PERSON	1,568	COMBINE B2, C1, & C2
				1,568 GALLONS/DAY	

NOTE: AS A RESULT OF THE "CHANGE OF USE" THE WATER USAGE WILL INCREASE FROM 670 GALLONS/DAY TO 1,568 GALLONS / DAY

<u>NOTE:</u> REFER TO ATTACHED LETTER FROM SCALZO PROPERTY MANAGEMENT DATED DECEMBER 10, 2020 REGARDING WATER USAGE INCREASE.

logonennen og som		iqt qu	rpizzizi; CP4 c	o cinci un seclu Grinni un fr		
	Q					
		SC	ALZ			
		PROPERT	YMANAG			
		n in transformer and the second		RE		
				DEC	EIVED	
		7 90		THE	12000	7
	December	10, 2020		TELMES	≤ 1	
	Westchest 145 Hugue	er County Health Department enot Street			ROUP	
	New Roche	elle, New York 10801				
	Re: Re Riv	quest for additional discharge from Yer Shopping Center Town of Lewis	m proposed Apex Person sboro, New York to Mead	al Training LLC Expansion at Cr dows Waste Treatment Plant	OSS	
		WTP)				
	Dear west	chester County Health Departmen	11:			
	On behalf of increase by	of Meadows at Cross River Sewage an estimated flow of 898 gallons	e Works Corporation, I ar per day to 1896 (average	m writing to approve the reque e 26,940 gallons per month to	est to	
	56880 per i the Archite	month) with the understanding th cture/ Engineering firm of The Ho	here are no additional fix Imes Group, LLP (copy a	tures being added as proposed ttached) for the tenant, Apex	d by	
	Personal Tr	aining, to the Meadows Waste Tr	reatment Plant ("WWTP"			
	Meadows a	it Cross River Sewage Works Corpo	oration would like the W	CHD to be aware that paragra	phs 6	
	gallons per	day to the WWTP, 27,000 on an i	intermittent basis."		7,000	
	Meadows i	s working closely with the Operato	or of the Meadows WWT	P to ensure that the proposed		
	adversely a	ffluent will not cause El Kam (Sho ffect the operation of the WWTP,	however, will notify WC	exceed its discharge limit and/ HD should the usage begin to	or	
	approach tl	nis limit.				
	Please let n	ne know if you have any questions	at this time.			
	Regards,	2				
	Inde	en trato				
	Andrea Scal			-		
	Managing A	gent, Meadows at Cross River Sev	wage Works Corporation			
	Cc: Adam Brods	vy, EK Cross River LLC, c/o Adam Brod	sky, 3 West S7 th Street, 7 th	Floor, New York, NY 10017 (via er	mail)	
	The Helmes (Board of Ma	Group LLP (via email) nagers, Meadows at Cross River Sewa	age Works Corporation			
		Your Partner	in Management Solu	itions		
2 STC	RESIDE	NTIAL • COMMERCIAL • CONDOMIN D. SUITE 201 • BETHEL, CT 06801 •	IUM ASSOCIATIONS • LAK • 203-790-6888 • 203-79	E COMMUNITIES • TAX DISTRICT 0-9390 FAX • WWW.SCALZOPRO	S OPERTY.COM	
			<u>1.4.N.30000355</u>		нс] 	1-0
			백다			
				-		
			F	XPANSION FOR		
ISSUE DATES:			APEX PER	RSONAL TRAINING,	LLC	
		CROSS RIVER SHOPPIN	G CENTER - LOW	ER LEVEL		ROSS RIVER, NY 10518
			FLOOR PLA	NS & WATER USAGE	CHART	DRAWN BY: GNA
				JUALE. AJ NUIEU		CHECKED BY: SCH
				HELMES GROU	 P. I.I.P	DRAWING NO .:
				CHITECTURE • ENGINE		
	AS PEP			PROJECT MANAGEMEN ATONAH AVENUE, KATONAH,	NI , NY 10536	^
PLANNING BOARD (COMMENTS		TEI	L: (914) 232-4633 FAX: (914) 23 EMAIL: thg@thehelmesgroup.c	32-0768 com	\angle OF 2
12/14/20 FOR PLANN	ING BUARD					

RECEIVED BY

JAN 2 2 2021

RESOLUTION LEWISBORO PLANNING BOARD

Town Clerk Town of Lewisboro

Allaholder States Reality Barrier ... Sa.

ADOPTION OF NEGATIVE DECLARATION PURSUANT TO STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT

GOSSETT BROTHERS NURSERY 1202 ROUTE 35 SOUTH SALEM, NEW YORK

Sheet 31, Block 10805, Lot 46 Cal. #03-20 PB

January 19, 2021

WHEREAS, the Planning Board is entertaining an application for site development plan approval and a wetland activity permit (Cal. #03-20PB) with regard to the above-referenced property consisting of ±5.5 acres of land; and

WHEREAS, this application is in conjunction with the owner/applicant's proposal to construct and operate an accessory winery business on said property in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 220, Zoning, of the Lewisboro Town Code (the "proposed action"); and

WHEREAS, the proposed action has been classified as an Unlisted Action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"); and

WHEREAS, a coordinated review of the proposed action is being performed, and the Planning Board is serving as SEQRA Lead Agency for purposes of this review; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has received and reviewed application plans and materials, submissions, reports and verbal commentary from the owner/applicant and its consultants, submissions, comment letters and verbal commentary from the Planning Board's consultants, comments offered during a public hearing and SEQRA documentation developed as part of the SEQRA review process;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, the proposed action is an Unlisted Action under SEQRA and the Planning Board has compared the proposed action with the Criteria for Determining Significance in 6 NYCRR 617.7(c) and determined that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the Planning Board hereby adopts and issues the attached Negative Declaration and Notice of Determination of Non-Significance, which is to be distributed as required under SEQRA and its implementing regulations.

1217/003/4828-0300-6424v1 1/19/21

ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION

Sind and the land and a star to the second

WHEREUPON, the Resolution herein was declared adopted by the Planning Board of the Town of Lewisboro as follows:

The motion was moved by:	Jerome K	lernes
The motion was seconded by:	Charlens	Indelicato

The vote was as follows:

JANET ANDERSEN	aye
JEROME KERNER	aye
GREG LASORSA	aye
MAUREEN MAGUIRE	assent
CHARLENE INDELICATO	aye

net andersen

Janet Andersen, Chair January 19, 2021

1217/003/4828-0300-6424v1 1/19/21

State Environmental Quality Review NEGATIVE DECLARATION Notice of Determination of Non-Significance

Date: January 19, 2021

This notice is issued pursuant to Part 617 of the implementing regulations pertaining to Article 8 (State Environmental Quality Review Act) of the Environmental Conservation Law.

The Town of Lewisboro Planning Board has determined that the proposed action described below will not have a significant environmental impact and a Draft Environmental Impact Statement <u>will not</u> be prepared.

Name of Action: Gossett Brothers Nursery

SEQRA Status:		Type 1	
		Unlisted	
Conditioned Negat	tive Dec	laration: 🗆	Yes
		=	No
Coordinated Revie	w:		Yes
			No

Description of Action: The subject property consists of ±5.5 acres of land and is located at 1202 Route 35 within the R-2A Zoning District. The subject property contains an existing landscape nursery and is developed with several detached buildings, gravel parking, and inventory display and storage areas. An existing residence is located on the same parcel, located to the rear of the site. The applicant is proposing to legally establish an accessory winery business to be located within the existing nursery building and is also proposing the installation of a water treatment system and a wastewater holding tank for the winery. The existing nursery is considered an existing non-conforming use and the winery is permitted within the underlying zone, subject to the issuance of a Special Use Permit from the Zoning Board of Appeals. The subject property contains wetlands that are jurisdictional to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) and the Town of Lewisboro.

Location: 1202 Route 35, Town of Lewisboro, Westchester County, New York.

Reasons Supporting This Determination: The Planning Board has compared the proposed action with the Criteria for Determining Significance in 6 NYCRR 617.7 (c). Specifically:

1. The proposed action will not result in a substantial adverse change in the existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels; a substantial increase in solid waste production.

The subject property is currently developed and previously disturbed; the proposed action will result in <0.1 acre of land disturbance. While disturbance is proposed within the regulated wetland buffer, an extensive wetland mitigation plan has been provided. The subject property is accessed via a State highway and the proposed use will not result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels; interior parking and traffic circulation will be improved. Existing floodlights are proposed to be removed to reduce light impacts and replaced with downward facing, full cut-off light fixtures, which will be turned off during non-operating hours. The proposed action will not result in a significant increase in solid waste and all solid waste will be removed via a private carter.

2. The proposed action will not result in the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna; substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species; impact a significant habitat area; result in substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such species; and will not result in other significant adverse impacts to natural resources.

The subject property is currently developed and previously disturbed; the proposed action will result in <0.1 acre of land disturbance and significant vegetation loss is not proposed. The applicant has developed a comprehensive planting plan which will increase the extent of native plants on-site and will improve habitat around the perimeter of an existing pond.

3. The proposed action will not result in the impairment of the environmental characteristics of a Critical Environmental Area as designated pursuant to 6 NYCRR Part 617.14(g).

The subject property is not located within or adjacent to a Critical Environmental Area.

4. The proposed action will not result in a material conflict with the Town's officially approved or adopted plans or goals.

The existing nursery is considered an existing non-conforming use and the winery is permitted within the underlying zone, subject to the issuance of a Special Use Permit from the Zoning Board of Appeals. 5. The proposed action will not result in the impairment of the character or quality of important historical, archaeological, architectural, aesthetic resources or the existing character of the community or neighborhood.

The subject property is currently developed and no new building construction of significant exterior alterations are proposed. No State or federally listed historical or archeological resources are located in proximity to the site.

6. The proposed action will not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy.

The proposed action will result in an insignificant increase in use of electricity/energy; however, no impact is anticipated.

- 7. The proposed action will not create a hazard to human health.
- 8. The proposed action will not create a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses.
- 9. The proposed action will not encourage or attract a large number of people to a place or place for more than a few days, compared to the number of people who would come to such place absent the action.
- 10. The proposed action will not create a material demand for other actions that would result in one of the above consequences.
- 11. The proposed action will not result in changes in two (2) or more elements of the environment, no one of which has a significant impact on the environment, but when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment.
- 12. When analyzed with two (2) or more related actions, the proposed action will not have a significant impact on the environment and when considered cumulatively, will not meet one or more of the criteria under 6 NYCRR 617.7(c).
- 13. The Planning Board has considered reasonably related long-term, short-term, direct, indirect and cumulative impacts, including other simultaneous or subsequent actions.



39 Arlo Lane Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

T: (914) 736-3664 F: (914) 736-3693

January 26, 2021

Mr. Jan K. Johannessen, AICP Mr. Joseph M. Cermele, P.E., CFM Kellard Sessions Consulting, P.C. Town Consulting Professionals - Town of Lewisboro 500 Main Street Armonk, New York 10504

> Re: Site Development Plan Approval, Wetland Permit, and Special Use Permit Gossett Brothers Nursery 1202 Route 35 Sheet 10805, Block 46, Lot 31

Dear Mr. Johannessen and Mr. Cermele,

In response to your comment memo dated December 10, 2020 we have revised the enclosed drawings entitled Site Plans for Gossett Nursery dated with revision January 15, 2021 as well as provided the following responses to your comments:

Gossett Nursery Responses to Memorandum dated 12/10/2020					
Comments	Response				
1. As previously requested, the applicant must demonstrate compliance with the Special Use Permit standards associated with the accessory winery use, as allocated under Section 220-43.6 of the Zoning Code. It is recommended that the applicant provide a written response to each of the parameters outlined under this code section.	Gossett Nursery – response prepared by Michael Sirignano.				
2. As previously requested, the Site Plan shall dimension the accessible walkway provided between the accessible parking spaces and the building (four (4) foot minimum required). The accessible parking and loading space must be striped in the field in accordance with State and Federal regulations; a note pointing to the accessible space states that the parking lines shown on the plan are for visual representation only; this will need to be revised and clarified.	Accessible walkway is now dimensioned 4' min. Accessible parking and loading space will be striped. Clarified this on site plan.				
3. The applicant is proposing to demarcate the parking spaces by use of a portable stockade fence. The Board should determine if this is acceptable. Prior versions of the plan incorporated a Belgium block inlay to denote the spaces in the field. Please clarify if wheel stops will also be used as identified in the parking space detail.	Site plans have been revised to include the Belgium block curb as wheel stops and the stockade fence as an option to additionally help demarcate spaces.				
4. As previously noted, a parking area encroaches onto the adjacent parcel to the east. A detail shall be provided relating to the proposed drainage feature/berm shown along the eastern edge of the parking area. An easement document between the two property owners shall be submitted and prepared to the satisfaction of the Planning Board Attorney. The easement shall be described by metes and bounds.	Berm drainage modification has been clarified on the site plan with a note. Gossett to provide easement document as soon as it is received.				
5. As previously requested, the limits of land disturbance shall be illustrated and circulated on the site plan.	Limit of disturbance summary table has been added to the site plans.				
 As previously requested, the applicant shall contact this office regarding the completion of the Part 2 EAF; several of the responses require modification. 	Revised and resubmitted EAF Form (Part 2) per Kellard Sessions comments.				
 As previously noted, once obtained, the applicant shall provide a copy of the WCDH and NYSDEC approvals, including signed plans and permits. Please also submit the completed applications and plans submitted to both agencies. 	Will be provided once received.				
 Comments provided by the Town Building Inspector, in his memorandum dated September 2, 2020 shall be addressed. 	Town Building Inspector comments have been addressed. Side yard zoning variance approval will be provided once received.				



39 Arlo Lane Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

T: (914) 736-3664 F: (914) 736-3693

 In order to expedite the review of the subsequent submissions, please provide annotated responses to each of the comments outlined herein. 	Provided here
--	---------------

Respectfully Submitted,

Alexandra D'Annunzio Assistant Project Engineer

cc: Chairperson Janet Andersen and Members of Lewisboro Planning Board Gossett Nursery, w/ encl South Salem Winery, w/ encl. Beth Evans, Evans Associates Environmental Consulting, Inc.

File: Gossett Nursery-Rt35-Response to Kellard Sessions Comments-20210115.doc



I have reviewed the last agricultural assessment application (Form RP-305) that was filed for this farm operation, as well as the instructions that accompany this renewal certification, and I hereby certify that each of the following statements of fact is true:*

- 1. The last agricultural assessment application (Form RP-305) for this land was filed in 20 _____.
- 2. Since that application was filed, there has been no change in the ownership or total acreage of this parcel, or in the classification of its soils.
- 3. The land is still being used in the same manner as specified on that application, and there has been no change in the acreage devoted to each use.
- 4. At least one of the following conditions is satisfied:
 - a. The land consists of seven acres or more and generated the required \$10,000 or more in average gross sales value, annual gross sales value or average gross receipts, whichever was applicable; or
 - b. The land consists of less than seven acres and generated the required \$50,000 or more in average gross sales value, annual gross sales value or average gross receipts, whichever was applicable; or
 - c. No such requirement applied to this land because it qualified as either a newly-planted orchard, vineyard, or hopyard, a newly-established Christmas tree operation, or land used by a not-for-profit institution for eligible agricultural research.
- 5. If Form RP-305 indicated that rented land was being used in conjunction with the parcel(s) for the production of agricultural products for sale, the same land is being rented, the same person is continuing to rent it under the same written rental agreement, and, if the rented land does not independently satisfy the gross sales value requirement, it is used in conjunction with qualifying land.

I understand that I must maintain records confirming that each of these statements is true, and that I must supply those records to the assessor upon his or her request. I understand that any false statements on this form are punishable by law. I further understand that converting this land to a non-agricultural use may subject it to penalties and/or payments based on the amount of taxes owed.

Signature of landowner

Date

To request a copy of the assessor's determination, check here And enclose a stamped, self-addressed envelope.

*Caution: If one or more of these statements is not true, do not complete this certificate; a new Form RP-305 will have to be completed and filed to request renewal of the agricultural assessment. MICRO WINERY LICENSE SERIAL #: 1280600 COUNTY: WESTCHESTER

11000000

EFFECTIVE DATE: 12/20/2017 EXPIRATION DATE: 1/31/2021 CERTIFICATE #: 895986

SA NOVAL

VIEWA MULTICE REPAIR A CONTRACT AND A CONTRACT AND

EXCELSION

THE LICENSEE DESIGNATED BELOW IS HEREBY GRANTED PERMISSION, UNDER THE ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL LAW TO TRAFFIC IN ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE PURSUANT TO THE TYPE OF LICENSE INDICATED IN THE UPPER LEFT HAND CORNER OF THIS CERTIFICATE AND ACCORDING TO THE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS PERTAINING THERETO.

THIS LICENSE SHALL NOT BE TRANSFERABLE TO ANY OTHER PERSON OR TO ANY OTHER PREMISES OR TO ANY OTHER PART OF THE BUILDING CONTAINING SUCH LICENSED PREMISES: IT SHALL NOT BE DEEMED A PROPERTY OR VESTED RIGHT AND MAY BE REVOKED AT ANY TIME PURSUANT TO LAW

METHOD OF OPERATION

MICRO FARM WINERY

J VUOLO CORP SOUTH SALEM WINERY 1202 RTE 35 SOUTH SALEM NY 10590 FILING FEE LICENSE FEE

\$0.00 \$150.00

Vincent G. Bradley Chairman

BEFORE COMMENCING OR DOING ANY BUSINESS FOR THE TIME FOR WHICH THIS LICENSE HAS BEEN ISSUED, THE SAID LICENSE SHALL BE ENCLOSED IN A SUITABLE WOOD OR METAL FRAME, HAVING A CLEAR GLASS SPACE AND A SUBSTANTIAL WOOD OR METAL BACK SO THAT THE WHOLE OF SAID LICENSE MAY BE SEEN THEREIN, AND SHALL BE POSTED UP AND AT ALL TIMES DISPLAYED IN A CONSPICUOUS PLACE IN THE ROOM WHERE SUCH BUSINESS IS CARRIED ON, SO THAT ALL PERSONS VISITING SUCH PLACE MAY READILY SEE THE SAME.

SLA FORM 180-033 (10/09)

Certificate No. G0895986



		ALI	LOWABLE A	AREA FACTOR	२		
2020 BUILDING CODE OF NEW YORK STATE TABLE 506.2							
LEGEND	USE	OCCUPANCY (IBC 2020 - CHAPTER 3)	CONSTRUCTION TYPE (IBC 2020 - CHAPTER 6)	EXISTING FIRE PROTECTION	EXISTING AREA (S.F.)	ALLOWABLE AREA (S.F.)	PERMITTED?
	WINERY	A-2 (ASSEMBLY)	VB	NS (NOT SPRINKLERED)	±980	6,000	YES (6,000 > 980)
	OFFICE	B (BUSINESS)	VB	NS (NOT SPRINKLERED)	±320	9,000	YES (9,000 > 320)
	NURSERY	M (MERCANTILE)	VB	NS (NOT SPRINKLERED)	±2,060	9,000	YES (9,000 > 2,060)
	STORAGE	S-2 (LOW-HAZARD STORAGE)	VB	NS (NOT SPRINKLERED)	±1,420	13,500	YES (13,500 > 1,420)
	GREENHOUSE	UTILITY	VB	NS (NOT SPRINKLERED)	±790	5,500	YES (5,500 > 790)



	SITE AREA USE DESIGNATION TA
LEGEND	USE
	AGRICULTURE NURSERY DISTRICT STORAGE / PLA
	MULCH / SOIL / STONE EQUIPMENT STORAGE
/////	WOODED NURSERY SHADE STOCK AREA (PL
	SEASONAL PALLETIZED HARD GOODS / BENCH / SEASONAL D
	LANDSCAPE OPERATION EQUIPMENT PARKING / BULK MATE
$\times \times \times$	NURSERY STOCK DISPLAY AREA

EXISTING ITEM 4 / BLACKTOP WEARING SURFACE. DRIVEWAY TO RESIDENCE

INSET ALLOWABLE AREA FACTOR PLAN SCALE: 1" = 20'

GOSSETT BROTHERS NURSERY SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN TOWN OF LEWISBORO, NEW YORK





	Wi										•
REQUIRED SPACES	4. IT I CA	IS THE OWNER'S I IPACITY.	RESPONSIBILIT	Y TO ENSURE T	HAT ANY PROPOS	ED IMPROVEMI	ENTS ARE PLAC	ED ON MATERIA	L WITH A SUITA	BLE BEARING	
11		IY DAMAGE TO AD BLASTING IS REQ	DJACENT PROP	ERTIES SHALL B	BE REPLACED IN K	IND BY THE OW CESSARY PERM	INER.	APPROPRIATE C	CITY OF PEEKSKI	ILL OFFICIALS.	
10	7. AL UN	L CONSTRUCTION	N RELATED ACT T IS COMPLETE	TIVIES MUST BE	WITHIN THE EROS	SION CONTROL	BARRIER. EROS	SION CONTROL E	BARRIERS SHOU	LD REMAIN IN PL	ACE
4	8. GR	ADING SHALL NO	DT EXCEED 1 VE	ERTICAL ON 2 HO	ORIZONTAL EXCEF	PT IN ROCK AS I E. ROCK, GROL	DETERMINED B	Y THE ENGINEEF	R. CTOR SHALL CO	NTACT THE DESI	IGN
2	10. IT I	GINEER PRIOR TO	O CONTINUING RESPONSIBILIT	WORK. Y TO ENSURE TH	HAT ALL REQUIRE	D SETBACK DIS	TANCES ARE M	AINTAINED DUR		ΓΙΟΝ.	
27	11. TH SH	IS PLAN WAS PRE IOWN, GENERALL	EPARED FOR TH Y, IN THE LOCA	HE PURPOSE OF ATIONS SHOWN (OBTAINING SITE ON THIS PLAN.	PLAN APPROVA	AL FROM THE TO	OWN OF LEWISB	ORO TO CONSTE	RUCT THE ITEMS	
21	12. CC AP	INTRACTOR IS TO	PROVIDE SHE	ETING AS REQU		DOT, OSHA, AN	D NYS DEPT. OF	LABOR, INDUST		E 753 WHERE	_,
6	13. LO SH 14. TH	IALL BE AS DIREC	TED BY THE UT		ES AND THE CITY (OF PEEKSKILL.	NG BUT NOT LI	MITED TO GAS, E	LECTRICITY, TE	LEPHONE, CABLE	=)
27			EF	ROSION	& SEDI	MENT O	CONTR	OL NOT	ES		
D ACCESSORY CRAFT	1. C C E	ONTRACTOR SHA ONTROL PRACTIC	ALL BE RESPON CES ARE TO BE	ISIBLE FOR COM E INSTALLED PRI	IPLIANCE WITH AL IOR TO ANY MAJO	L SEDIMENT AN R SOIL DISTURI	ND EROSION CO BANCES, AND N	ONTROL PRACTIO	CES. THE SEDIM TIL PERMANENT	ENT AND EROSIC PROTECTION IS	N
REET PARKING SPACES IN I THE ACCESSORY USE IS	1 2. T		NCE OF SEDIMI	ENT CONTROL S	STRUCTURES IS THE EDIMENT LEVEL IN	HE RESPONSIBI	LITY OF THE CO	ONTRACTOR. AL	L STRUCTURES	SHALL BE MAINT	AINED VED
	V S	ROMPTLY WHENT VEEKLY, PRIOR TO CHEDULE SHALL	MAXIMUM LEVE D EXPECTED RA . BE SET FORTH	ELS ARE REACH AIN EVENTS, ANI I PRIOR TO THE	ED OR AS ORDERE D AFTER EACH HE START OF CONST	ED BY THE ENG EAVY RAIN TO II RUCTION.	INEER. ALL SEL NSURE PROPEF	R OPERATION AS	DESIGNED. AN	SHALL BE INSPE INSPECTION	CIED
	3. T A	HE LOCATIONS AN	ND THE INSTAL	LATION TIMES C STANDARDS.	OF THE SEDIMENT	CAPTURING S	TANDARDS SHA	ALL BE AS ORDE	RED BY THE ENG	GINEER, AND IN	
	4. A F W	LL TOPSOIL NOT ILL AREA. ALL TOI VITHIN 14 DAYS.	TO BE USED FO PSOIL REQUIRE	OR FINAL GRADII ED FOR FINAL GF	NG SHALL BE REM RADING AND STOF	IOVED FROM TH RED ON SITE SH	HE SITE IMMEDI. HALL BE LIMED, F	ATELY AND PLAC FERTILIZED, TEM	CED IN A STABIL IPORARILY SEED	IZED STOCKPILE DED AND MULCHE	OR ED
	5. A R A	NY DISTURBED A ECEIVE TEMPOR	REAS THAT WIL ARY SEEDING IMED AND FER	LL BE LEFT EXPO 6. MULCH SHALL	OSED MORE THAN BE USED IF THE S	21 DAYS AND N EASON PREVE	NOT SUBJECT T NTS THE ESTAE	O CONSTRUCTIO	ON TRAFFIC, SHA TEMPORARY CO	ALL IMMEDIATELY OVER. DISTURBEI	Y D
	6. A	LL DISTURBED AF	REAS WITHIN 50	00 FEET OF AN II	NHABITED DWELLI	NG SHALL BE V	VETTED AS NEC	ESSARY TO PRO	OVIDE DUST COM	NTROL.	
	7. T N	HE CONTRACTOR	R SHALL KEEP T	THE ROADWAYS	WITHIN THE PROJ		SOIL AND DEB	RIS AND IS RESP	PONSIBLE FOR A	NY STREET CLEA	ANING
	8. S	TABILIZED BY PE	COSION CONTRO RMANENT MEAS	UL STRUCTURES SURES. MENDMENTS SL	SOMALL BE REMO		ANCE WITH THE	יע איאפא זיאד סי E CURRENT E CURRENT E	ION OF "NFW V	DRK GUIDFI INFO	FOR
	U 10. A	RBAN EROSION A	D EROSION COI	CONTROL".	RES SHALL BE INST	ALLED IN ACC	ORDANCE WITH	THE CURRENT	EDITION OF "NE	W YORK GUIDELI	NES
	F	UR URBAN EROSI				BEAL		701111		CT)	
		20		SIT	E: EXISTIN	G, NON C	ONFORM	ZONING	5 DI 5 I KI		
		LOT DESCRIPTION	LOT AREA	LOT WIDTH	FRONT YARD (FROM STREET CENTER LINE)	FRONT YARD (FROM FRONT LOT LINE)	SIDE YARD	REAR YARD	BUILDING HEIGHT	BUILDING COVERAGE (%)	
		MINIMUM REQUIRED	2 AC	200 FT	75 FT	50 FT	40' EACH SIDE	50 FT	2.5 STORIES / 35 FT	9% (MAX.)	
		EXISTING AND PROPOSED	5.503 AC	219 FT	114 FT	114 FT	43 FT / 3 FT*	110 FT	>35 FT	±4.4%	-
						1011					
D BOULDERS TO BE Y PLACED AROUND POND WITH WETLAND IN PLANTS TO ASSIST ISION CONTROL		PURSUANT ACCESSOR' TO §220-43. REGULATIO	TO THE TOWN Y WINERY IS CO .6 ACCESSORY NS.	N OF LEWISBOR ONSIDERED A S Y WINERY, ACC	RO CODE; CHAPT PECIAL USE OF W CESSORY MICRO	ER 220. ZONIN HICH CONFOR BREWERY ANI	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADE D ACCESSORY	SUPPLEMENTAL DITIONAL STAND CRAFT DISTILI	- REGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIR LERY FOR SUP	5 §220-32 AN RED. REFER PLEMENTAL	
D BOULDERS TO BE Y PLACED AROUND POND WITH WETLAND IN PLANTS TO ASSIST ISION CONTROL	LOCATION OF PROPO BATHROOMS	PURSUANT ACCESSOR' TO §220-43 REGULATIO	TO THE TOWN Y WINERY IS CO .6 ACCESSORY NS.	N OF LEWISBOR ONSIDERED A S Y WINERY, ACC	RO CODE; CHAPT PECIAL USE OF W CESSORY MICRO	ER 220. ZONIN /HICH CONFOR BREWERY ANI	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADE D ACCESSORY	SUPPLEMENTAL DITIONAL STAND CRAFT DISTILI	- REGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIP LERY FOR SUP	9 §220-32 AN RED. REFER PPLEMENTAL	
D BOULDERS TO BE Y PLACED AROUND POND WITH WETLAND INPLANTS TO ASSIST SION CONTROL	LOCATION OF PROPO BATHROOMS	SED SED SED ACCESSOR TO §220-43 REGULATIO SED A INSET FOR ALLOW CTOR CALCULATION CATION OF ACCESSO NERY IN NURSERY SO SO SO SO SO SO SO SO SO SO	VABLE IS INY	EXISTING ITEM 4 P SURFACE (TYP)	PARKING AREA	ER 220. ZONIN HICH CONFOR BREWERY AND	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADE D ACCESSORY		AREGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIR LERY FOR SUP	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
D BOULDERS TO BE POND WITH WETLAND DIPLANTS TO ASSIST SION CONTROL	LOCATION OF PROPO BATHROOMS	SED SED SED 1 INSET FOR ALLOW CTOR CALCULATION CATION OF ACCESSC INERY IN NURSERY	VABLE SRY	EXISTING ITEM 4 P SURFACE (TYP)	PARKING AREA	ER 220. ZONIN HICH CONFOR BREWERY AND	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADE D ACCESSORY		AREGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIR LERY FOR SUP	\$220-32 AN PPLEMENTAL	
D BOULDERS TO BE POND WITH WETLAND DIPLANTS TO ASSIST SION CONTROL	LOCATION OF PROPO BATHROOMS	SED	VABLE S. VABLE	EXISTING ITEM 4 P SURFACE (TYP)	PARKING AREA EBLOCK WALL EXISTING ASPHA EXISTING MAJO	ER 220. ZONIN HICH CONFOR BREWERY AND JH EALEM	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADE D ACCESSORY		AREGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIR LERY FOR SUP	\$220-32 AN RED. REFER PLEMENTAL	
P BOULDERS TO BE PLACED AROUND OND WITH WETLAND NPLANTS TO ASSIST SION CONTROL	LOCATION OF PROPO BATHROOMS	SED	VABLE S. VABLE	EXISTING ITEM 4 P SURFACE (TYP)	EXISTING AREA EBLOCK WALL EXISTING AREA EXISTING AREA EXISTING MAIDO EXISTING MAJO EXISTING MAJO EXISTING MAJO EXISTING PROPOSED SILT EDGE OF FORE EXISTING WATE EDGE OF FORE EXISTING WATE EXIST ING PROPORE OF THE THE PROPOSED SILT EDGE OF FORE EXIST ING WATE EXIST ING PROPORE OF THE PROPOSED SILT EXIST ING PROPORE OF THE PROPORE OF THE PROPOSED SILT EXIST ING PROPORE OF THE	ER 220. ZONIN HICH CONFOR BREWERY AND SALEM JH & SALEM INTER SALEM INTER R CONTOUR R CONTOUR R CONTOUR R SERVICE I FENCE ST INTE	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADD D ACCESSORY		AREGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIP FOR SUP	\$220-32 AN REPLEMENTAL	
BOULDERS TO BE PLACED AROUND DUDWITH WETLAND PLANTS TO ASSIST SON CONTROL	LOCATION OF PROPO BATHROOMS	SED	VABLE IS.	EXISTING ITEM 4 P SURFACE (TYP)	EXISTING AREA EBLOCK WALL EXISTING AREA EBLOCK WALL EXISTING AREA EXISTING MAJO EXISTING MAJO EXISTING MAJO EXISTING MAJO EXISTING PROPE EXISTING PROPE EXISTING WATE PROPERTY SET	ER 220. ZONIN HICH CONFOR BREWERY AND BREWERY AND UTH & SALEW SALEW STUDE R CONTOUR ERTY LINE R CONTOUR ERTY LINE R SERVICE FENCE ST INE ER SERVICE FENCE ST INE ER SERVICE	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADD D ACCESSORY		AREGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIR LERY FOR SUP		
BOULDERS TO BE PLOUDERS TO BE PLOUDERS TO ASSIST ION CONTROL	LOCATION OF PROPO BATHROOMS	DSED	VABLE IS. DRY	EXISTING ITEM 4 P SURFACE (TYP)	EXISTING AREA EBLOCK WALL EXISTING AREA EBLOCK WALL EXISTING AREA EXISTING MAJO EXISTING MAJO EXISTING MAJO EXISTING MAJO EXISTING PROPE EXISTING WATE PROPOSED SILT EDGE OF FORE WETLAND LIMIT WETLAND LIMIT WETLAND BUFF PROPERTY SET	ER 220. ZONIN HICH CONFOR BREWERY AND BREWERY AND UTH E REWERY AND AND R CONTOUR ER CONTOUR ER SERVICE I FENCE ST LINE ER BACKS	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADD D ACCESSORY		AREGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIR LERY FOR SUP		
DEDULDERS TO BE PLACED AROUND SOLUTIH WETLAND SIDN CONTROL	LOCATION OF PROPO BATHROOMS	SED	VABLE IS DRY	EXISTING ITEM 4 P SURFACE (TYP)	EXISTING CONDIT RO CODE; CHAPT PECIAL USE OF W CESSORY MICRO PARKING AREA EBLOCK WALL EBLOCK WALL EXISTING ASPHA EXISTING MAJO EXISTING WATE PROPERTY SET	ER 220. ZONIN HICH CONFOR BREWERY AND BREWERY AND UT BIB IT BIB R CONTOUR ER SALEW IT BIB R CONTOUR ER SERVICE FENCE ST INE ER SERVICE FENCE ST INE ER SERVICE FENCE ST INE ER SERVICE FOR SON	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADD D ACCESSORY		AREGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIR FOR SUP		
P EDULDERS TO BE PLACED AROUND SOLD WITH WETLAND SOLD CONTROL TRASH AND RECYCLING CONTAINERS CONTAI	LOCATION OF PROPO BATHROOMS	DSED UNSET FOR ALLOW CATION OF ACCESSC NERY IN NURSERY CATION OF ACCESSC CATION OF		EXISTING ITEM 4 P SURFACE (TYP) EXISTING CONCRETE	EXISTING CONDIT RO CODE; CHAPT PECIAL USE OF W CESSORY MICRO PARKING AREA EBLOCK WALL EBLOCK WALL EXISTING ASPHA EXISTING MAJO EXISTING MA EXISTING MAJO EXISTING MAJON EXISTI	ER 220. ZONIN HICH CONFOR BREWERY AND BREWERY AND ALT BIB	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADD ACCESSORY		AREGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIP FOR SUP		
POULDERS TO BE DACED AROUND SOLUTINE WETLAND SOLUTION OF ASSISTS TO CONTROL TRASH AND RECYCLING TRASH AND RECYCL	LOCATION OF PROPO BATHROOMS	DED PURSUANT ACCESSOR TO §220-43 REGULATIO INSET FOR ALLOW CONTOR CALCULATION ICATION OF ACCESSC NERY IN NURSERY ICATION OF ACCESSC ICATION ICATION ICATION ICATION ACCESSC ICATION IC	VABLE IS DRY VABLE IS DRY VABLE IS DRY COMPARISON COMPA	EXISTING ITEM 4 P SURFACE (TYP) EXISTING CONCRETE	EXISTING AREA PARKING AREA EBLOCK WALL EXISTING ASPHA EXISTING MAJO EXISTING MALE PROPOSED SILT EXISTING MALE PROPOSED SILT EXISTING MALE PROPOSED SILT EXISTING MALE EXISTING	ER 220. ZONIN HICH CONFOR BREWERY AND BREWERY AND AND BREWERY AND AND BREWERY AND AND BREWERY AND AND BREWERY AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADD ACCESSORY INTERVIEW		AREGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIP FOR SUP STATES SER CONTRACTOR CONT	S §220-32 AN REPERTAL	
BOULDERS TO BE PLACED AROUND OND WITH WETLAND PLANTS TO ASSIST TO	LOCATION OF PROPO BATHROOMS	DED PURSUANT ACCESSOR TO §220-43 REGULATIO INSET FOR ALLOW COTOR CALCULATION ICATION OF ACCESSC NERY IN NURSERY ICATION OF ACCESSC ICATION ICA	VABLE IN VABLE IS IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN	EXISTING ITEM 4 P SURFACE (TYP) EXISTING CONCRETE EXISTING CONCRE	PARKING AREA PARKING AREA PARKING AREA EBLOCK WALL EXISTING ASPHA ESISTING MAJO EXISTING M	ER 220. ZONIN HICH CONFOR BREWERY AND BREWERY AND SALEM JHLE SALEM JHLE SALEM JHLE SALEM JHLE SALEM STILLE FR BACKS ON CON CON CON CON	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADD ACCESSORY INTERVIEW		AREGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIP FOR SUP SER SER SER SER SER SER SER		
DEDULDERS TO BE PLACED AROUND INPLANTS TO ASSIST SIGN CONTROL TRASH AND RECYCLING TRASH AND	LOCATION OF PROPO BATHROOMS	DED PURSUANT ACCESSOR TO §220-43 REGULATION INSET FOR ALLOW CTOR CALCULATION ICATION OF ACCESSC NERY IN NURSERY ICATION OF ACCESSC ICATION ICATION ICATION ICATION OF ACCESSC ICATION I	VABLE IN VABLE IS IR VABLE IS IR IS IR IS IS IR IS IS IS IS IS IS IS IS IS IS	EXISTING ITEM 4 P SURFACE (TYP) EXISTING CONCRETE CONCRE	PARKING AREA PARKING AREA PARKING AREA EBLOCK WALL EXISTING ASPHA EXISTING MAJO EXISTING M	ER 220. ZONIN HICH CONFOR BREWERY AND BREWERY AND UTH ESCUR REWERY AND ITHE ER BACKS ON CON CON CON CON CON CON CON CON CON	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADD ACCESSORY INTERVIEW		AREGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIP FOR SUP SER SER SER C BOARD UTION OF THE LI ED JUNE 18, 201	S §220-32 AN RED. REFER PPLEMENTAL	
DEDULDERS TO BE PPLACED AROUND PDLATS TO END SIGN CONTROL	LOCATION OF PROPO BATHROOMS	SED PURSUANT ACCESSOR TO §220-43 REGULATIO SED A INSET FOR ALLOW CTOR CALCULATION ICATION OF ACCESSC NERY IN NURSERY A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	VABLE IS IN VABLE IS IN VABLE IS IN THE UNDERS HEREON, IS F AND HEREBY	EXISTING ITEM 4 P SURFACE (TYP) EXISTING CONCRETE CONCRE	PARKING AREA PARKING AREA EBLOCK WALL EXISTING ASPHA EBLOCK WALL EXISTING ASPHA ECCESSORY MICRO CEXISTING AREA EBLOCK WALL EXISTING AREA EBLOCK WALL EXISTING ASPHA EXISTING MAJO EXISTING M	ER 220. ZONIN HICH CONFOR BREWERY AND BREWERY AND AND BREWERY AND AND BREWERY AND AND BREWERY AND AND BREWERY AND AND BREWERY AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	G ARTICLE V. MANCE TO ADD D ACCESSORY		AREGULATIONS ARDS IS REQUIP FOR SUP SER SER SER SER TO NOT THE LI		DATE

SITE SPECIFIC NOTES 1. THE GROSS SITE AREA EQUALS 239,710 SQ. FT. (5.503 ACRES)

- 2. ACCORDING TO THE TAX ASSESSOR, THE SUBJECT SITE CONSISTS OF THE FOLLOWING TAX PARCEL IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS: SHEET: 31, BLOCK: 10805, LOT(S): 46.
- 3. SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON WAS PREPARED BY: H. STANLEY JOHNSON AND COMPANY LAND SURVEYORS, P.C. ENTITLED "TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED FOR THOMAS GOSSETT AND GOSSETT BROTHERS NURSERY..." DATED JUNE 7, 2019 AND REVISED OCTOBER 30, 2020. 4. PARCEL IS LOCATED IN THE TOWN OF LEWISBORO R-2A ZONING DISTRICT
- 5. THE SUBJECT SITE IS LOCATED IN THE CROTIN RIVER BASIN WATERSHEDS
- 6. THE APPROVED HOLDING TANK MUST BE SURVEY LOCATED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

7. NYSDEC FRESHWATER WETLAND (L-19) SHOWN HEREON WAS DELINEATED BY EVANS ASSOCIATES ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING, INC. ON MAY 22, 2019 AND VALIDATED BY THE DEC ON JUNE 20, 2019.

SITE DEVELOPMENT NOTES

- PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK THE CONTRACTOR IS TO CONTACT DIG SAFELY NEW YORK (FORMERLY UFPO) (CODE 753) AT 1-800-962-7962. THE LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN HEREON ARE APPROXIMATE, THEREFORE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS AND/OR EXISTANCE OF
- SUBSURFACE INFORMATION CAN NOT BE CERTIFIED BY THE ENGINEER.







	Т	ŀ	
		1	2
SOL	JT	ŀ	ł



Agency Use Only [If applicable]

Project :

Date :

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land

Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□ NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d		
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a		
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e		
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i		
h. Other impacts:			

 Impact on Geological Features The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3. 	it Z NC		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
 b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E3c		
c. Other impacts:			
2 Imports on Surface Water			
5. Impacts on Surface water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.			YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b		
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a		
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c		
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d		
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e		
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h		
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h		
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d		

The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	er.	, []	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
 b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c		
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E21		
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E21		
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h. Other impacts:			

5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	N NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	E1e		

g. Other impacts:			
 6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) If "Yes" answer questions a - f. If "No" move on to Section 7 	∑ NO		YES
If Tes , unswer questions a j. If The , more on to been of the	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane 	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2h		
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:			
 7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1, E.2, n) 	nq.)	V NO	□ YES

If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.

Relevant No, or Moderate Part I small to large **Question**(s) impact impact may may occur occur a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any E2o threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site. E2o b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government. E2p c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site. d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by E2p any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c	
 f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n	
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E1b	
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	
j. Other impacts:		

8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	NO	YES	
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	E2c, E3b		
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).	E1a, Elb		
c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.	E3b		
d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.	E1b, E3a		
e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.	El a, E1b		
f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.	C2c, C3, D2c, D2d		
g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	C2c		
h. Other impacts:			

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	V N0	р []YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points:i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons)ii. Year round	E3h		
 d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is: i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities 	E3h E2q, E1c		
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h		
 f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile 1/2 -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile 	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g		
g. Other impacts:			
 10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological NO ✓YES resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yas" approaching a contraction 11			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e		
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f		

c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous	E3g	
to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory.		
Source:		

d. Other impacts:			
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.	E3e, E3g, E3f		
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b		
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3		
 11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes" answer questions a - e of the "No" go to Section 12	√ N0		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat. 1	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q		
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d)			
If Tes, unswer questions a - c. If No , go to section 15.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:			

13. Impact on Transportation			
The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems. \checkmark NO \square YES			
(See Part 1. D.2.j)			
If Yes, answer questions a - J. If No, go to Section 14.	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I	small	to large
	Question(s)	impact may occur	impact may
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j		
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j		
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j		
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j		
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j		
f. Other impacts:			
14. Impact on Energy			
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k)			YES
If Tes', unswer questions u - e. If No', go to section 15.	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I	small	to large
	(hinoction(c)	impost	impost mov
	Question(s)	may occur	occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k	may occur	occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission	D2k D1f.	may occur	occur
 a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. 	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k	may occur	ccur
 a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. 	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k		
 a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. 	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D2k D1g		
 a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts:	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g		
 a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts:	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g		
 a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts:	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g		
 a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts:	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g		YES
 a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts:	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g		YES
 a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts:	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g tting. NC	No, or small	YES Moderate
 a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts:	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g tting. NC Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	YES Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts:	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g nting. NC Relevant Part I Question(s) D2m	No, or small impact may occur	YES Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts:	D2k D1f, D1q, D2k D2k D1g nting. NC Relevant Part I Question(s) D2m D2m, E1d	No, or small impact may occur	YES Moderate to large impact may occur

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	\checkmark	
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a		
f. Other impacts:			

16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may cccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d		
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E1g, E1h		
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	E1g, E1h		
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	E1g, E1h		
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t		
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f		
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f		
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s		
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h		
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g		
1. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r		
m. Other impacts:			

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1 \subseteq 1 \subseteq 2 and \subseteq 3)	√ NO	[] Y	Ϋ́ES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		
h. Other:			
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)		ιγ γγ	YES
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.			/ES
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	YES Moderate to large impact may occur
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g	No, or small impact may occur	YES Moderate to large impact may occur
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4	No, or small impact may occur	VES Moderate to large impact may occur
 18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.</i> a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. 	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	No, or small impact may occur	KES Moderate to large impact may occur
 18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a C2, E3	No, or small impact may occur	YES Moderate to large impact may occur
 18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources. e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a C2, E3 C2, C3	No, or small impact may occur	YES Moderate to large impact may occur
 18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources. e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character. f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a C2, C3 C2, C3 C2, C3	No, or small impact may occur	VES Moderate to large impact may occur

PRINT FULL FORM



Oak Ridge Commons P.O. Box 393 Waccabuc, New York 10597

estimate

November 19,2020

Dr. and Mrs. Kullman 12 Red Coat Lane Waccabuc, N.Y. 10597

In Reference to: bibbo associates drainage improvements plans As per your request below is a breakdown of work

- Create 225 linear feet of vegetated swale for storm water treatment
- Rake out, seed and straw swale as per plan
- Install three (3) Rip Rap stone spillways as per plan
- Pick up three (3) separate existing 4" drainage pipes and extend down to new vegetated swale
- Using 4" sdr-35 piping 260 linear feet
- Install three (3) rock inlets at pipe discharge to swale
- Backfill smooth upon completion
- Install 300 linear feet silt fence prior to starting work as per plan

Cost for above work	\$21,500.00
	ΨΖΙ, ΟΟΟ.ΟΟ

- Purchase of plants and shrubs as per plan
 Labor on planting and feeding new plants as per plan
 3,375.00
 - Marex Mgmt.Inc supervision for work above 5,830.00
 - TOTAL COST FOR PROJECT \$34,981.00

Builders of Fine Custom Home for over 35 Years





MEMORANDUM

TO:	Chairperson Janet Andersen and Members of Lewisboro Planning Board
CC:	Ciorsdan Conran Judson Siebert, Esq. Joseph Angiello
FROM:	Jan K. Johannessen, AICP Joseph M. Cermele, P.E., CFM Town Consulting Professionals
DATE:	February 18, 2021
RE:	Wolf Conservation Center Mead Street and Buck Run Sheet 21, Block 10803, Lots 3, 65, 67, 82, 83, and 88

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The subject property consists of ±30.51 acres of land and is located off Buck Run, a private road, within the R-2A and R-4A Zoning Districts. The applicant has submitted an application for a Special Use Permit, under Section 220-43.2, Private Nature Preserves, of the Zoning Code, and is proposing several improvements in the furtherance of its goals and objectives. The applicant has previously submitted a subdivision application to the Planning Board in connection with a proposed lot line change, which moving forward, will be reviewed simultaneously with the Special Use Permit Application.

SEQRA

The proposed action has been preliminarily identified as an Unlisted Action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) and it is recommended that the Planning Board conduct a coordinated review and seek to establish itself as the Lead Agency. The Planning Board must issue a Determination of Significance prior to taking action on this pending application.

CIVIL ENGINEERING | LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE | SITE & ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING

Chairperson Janet Andersen February 18, 2021 Page 2 of 7

REQUIRED APPROVALS/REFERRALS

- 1. Subdivision, a Special Use Permit, a Wetland Activity Permit and a Town Stormwater Permit are required from the Planning Board; a public hearing is required to be held.
- 2. An area variance may be required from the Zoning Board of Appeals.
- 3. The application must be referred to the Architecture and Community Appearance Review Council (ACARC) for review and recommendations.
- 4. Westchester County Department of Health (WCHD) Approval is required for Realty Subdivision and connection to existing sanitary sewage disposal systems and new potable water wells.
- 5. The proposed action requires Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) approval from the NYCDEP.
- 6. Land disturbance will exceed one (1) acre located within the NYCDEP East of Hudson Watershed; coverage under the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharge from Construction Activity (GP-0-20-001) is required.
- 7. An Article 24 Freshwater Wetland Permit may be required from the NYSDEC.
- 8. Improvements and modifications within the State right-of-way will require approval from the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT).
- 9. The proposed action must be referred to the Westchester County Planning Board in accordance with Section 239-m of the General Municipal Law.

COMMENTS

- 1. The applicant shall submit an updated Existing Conditions Survey (boundary and 2-foot contours), signed and sealed by a NYS Licensed Land Surveyor.
- 2. The applicant shall submit a preliminary subdivision plat prepared by a NYS Licensed Land Surveyor and prepared in compliance with Town/County requirements.
- 3. It is recommended that the plans be forwarded to the Building Inspector for zoning and fire access code review.

Chairperson Janet Andersen February 18, 2021 Page 3 of 7

- 4. The Fire Department should review the proposed site plan for fire apparatus access. The Fire Department should comment on the proposed grade and width of the proposed road and driveways.
- 5. The applicant shall submit the current property deed.
- 6. The names of the adjacent property owners and the location of any neighboring driveways, structures, buildings, wells, and septic areas shall appear on the plan.
- 7. The site plans shall identify/note the future use of all existing buildings to remain.
- 8. The Bulk Zoning Table shall be revised to compare the requirements of the underlying Zoning District to the existing and proposed condition; required variances and existing nonconformities shall be noted below the table.
- 9. The applicant shall submit a business plan, which shall provide a detailed description of the proposed use and its operation. The business plan shall include a title and date for reference purposes.
- 10. The plan shall be revised to provide a minimum back-up aisle width of 25 feet for all parking spaces. For coordination, all parking, drive aisle, driveway, and other layout dimension shall be depicted of the Site Plan.
- 11. The ADA spaces should be adjusted to have adequate space provided for a vehicle to safely back out. Vehicle maneuverability shall be updated to include maneuvering into/out of these spaces. The plan shall illustrate all ADA parking spaces are compliant, as it relates and maximum allowable grades.
- 12. All proposed curbs shall be concrete or belgium block.
- 13. The plan shall illustrate and identify the location, specie type and diameter at breast height (dbh) of all trees with a dbh of eight (8) inches or greater and located within the limits of disturbance and 25 feet beyond. Indicate trees to be removed and/or protected.
- 14. The applicant shall submit a Landscaping Plan for Board Review, prepared by a NYS Licensed Landscape Architect.
- 15. The applicant shall develop a Wetland Mitigation Plan, which provides, at a minimum, mitigation at a ratio of 1:1 (for every s.f. of wetland or wetland buffer disturbance proposed, an equal or greater amount of mitigation shall be provided). Reference is made to the Town's mitigation guidelines provided in Chapter 217, Appendix B.

Chairperson Janet Andersen February 18, 2021 Page 4 of 7

- 16. A detailed Lighting Plan, demonstrating compliance with Sections 220-14 of the Zoning Code, shall be submitted for review. Illuminance levels shall be measured in footcandles and shall be depicted via a photometric plan identifying proposed footcandle measurements every ten (10) feet and extending over the property line by at least 20 feet. The following illuminance measurements shall be provided in tabular form on the plan: maximum, minimum, average during operation and non-operating hours, maximum to minimum ratio, and average to minimum ratio.
- 17. Additional information is required pertaining to the proposed ten (10) foot wide gravel path. The entire limits of the path shall be shown, as should its existing/proposed limits and proposed grading; a detail shall be provided.
- 18. Driveway and road profiles have been provided for Board's consideration. Both the private road and the driveway, proposed to be modified, do not meet width and grade requirements, as per Chapter 195, Subdivision of Land, of the Town Code. A determination must be made by the Building Inspector as to whether a zoning variance is required. We note that the driveway extending from Buck Run up towards the existing residence and proposed expanded parking area is proposed to be 14 feet wide, with slopes more than 25%. This condition is not ideal and must be reviewed by the Building Inspector and Fire Department for compliance and adequacy.
- 19. The proposed vertical profile for Buck Run shall illustrate all proposed slopes and vertical curves.
- 20. We recommend the applicant submit an additional truck turning analysis illustrating an emergency vehicle maneuvering around the turn between the proposed freezer facility and existing one (1) story house at the end of the proposed driveway.
- 21. All walls greater than four (4) feet in height shall be designed by a NYS Licensed Professional Engineer. The plan shall note that the construction of all walls greater than four (4) feet in height shall be certified by the Design Professional prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy/Completion.
- 22. The plan shall illustrate a cut and fill analysis; the applicant should identify if blasting is anticipated.
- 23. The plan shall illustrate the proposed water service connections from all water supply wells. It us our understanding that the plan will require approval of a public water system from the WCHD. The applicant shall provide confirmation and demonstrate compliance with all required WCHD regulations and setbacks.
- 24. The plan shall include details of any improvement to the existing water supply and sanitary sewer that may become necessary.
- 25. The plan shall illustrate all existing septic system areas to be cordoned off during construction.

Chairperson Janet Andersen February 18, 2021 Page 5 of 7

- 26. The plan shall include a proposed sight line plan and profiles for the proposed curb cut location to demonstrate adequate visibility in either direction in accordance AASHTO guidelines.
- 27. The plan shall illustrate provisions for fire protection.
- 28. The property is located in the Waccabuc River Basin, part of the New York City East of Hudson Watershed. Since disturbances exceed one (1) acre, the applicant has prepared Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) in accordance with NYSDEC General Permit G-0-20-001 and Chapter 189, Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control, of the Town Code. A Notice of Intent (NOI) and MS4 Acceptance Form will need to be filed with the NYSDEC. Submit draft copies to this office for review.
- 29. The applicant will be required to perform test, deep and percolation, to be witnessed by this office to demonstrate suitable soils for all proposed infiltration system practices. The hydrologic model shall be updated based on the observed percolation test data. Please contact this office this office to schedule. The plan shall illustrate the deep and percolation test results.
- 30. Since disturbances exceed two (2) acres and the proposed work is occurring on slopes exceeding 15%, NYCDEP approval of the SWPPP will be required.
- 31. As Per NYSDEC guidelines, infiltration chambers shall be installed in virgin soils and cannot be installed on slopes with grades steeper than 15% or in fill sections greater than the top quarter of the drywell system. The plan shall illustrate all slopes in the vicinity of all proposed infiltration facilities to demonstrate accordance with NYSDEC guidelines.
- 32. We note that the applicant is proposing impervious surface within 100-feet of a NYSDEC wetland, which may require a variance from the NYCDEP.
- 33. As illustrated on the Post Development Drainage Basin Map in the SWPPP Report, a portion of the proposed driveway, downgrade of Infiltration System #1.1 and Basin #1.1P, appears it will not be treated by any stormwater mitigation practices. The plan shall illustrate the required stormwater quality treatment and quantity controls for this portion of the proposed driveway. If not, a variance from the NYCDEP will be required for untreated stormwater.
- 34. It appears infiltration Bbasin 1.1P will infiltrate into the native soil strata. A deep and percolation test should be provided at this location if the intention is to provide infiltration.
- 35. The proposed drainage map illustrates that the proposed courtyard is not included in Drainage Area 1.2S. It is recommended this area be included and discharge into Infiltration Basin #1.2. The hydrologic model shall be revised accordingly.
Chairperson Janet Andersen February 18, 2021 Page 6 of 7

- 36. It appears the pipe discharge in Infiltration Basin #1.2 will be submerged. We recommend the pipe layout be revised to avoid this condition.
- 37. The plan shall illustrate the roof drain connections on the Site Plan. Include the size, slope and material.
- 38. The SWPPP Report shall include pipe flow calculations. The calculations shall demonstrate that the proposed pipe network along the Buck Run driveway will have sufficient capacity.
- 39. It appears proposed drainage system along Buck Run will have pipe slopes exceeding 15%. We recommend pipe collars be installed to avoid any erosion of backfill material between the manhole and pipe connection points. Provide details.
- 40. As shown on the Drainage Schedule, the pipes connect to the HDS 1.2 are set at 0% pitch. All pipes should be set at 1% minimum pitch for performance and maintenance purposes.
- 41. The weirs in Diversion Manhole #1.2 and #1.3 are not modeled in the HydroCad output within the SWPPP Report. The HydroCad output shall be updated to include the weirs and the elevations in the HydroCad output shall coordinate with the plans.
- 42. As several parcels are involved, access, grading, and drainage easements will be required, as will maintenance agreements. All proposed easement metes and bounds shall be depicted on the site plan and plat. The SWPPP shall also clarify the future maintenance responsibility.
- 43. The plan shall note that disturbance limits shall be staked in the field prior to construction.
- 44. Include erosion control measures on the plan, including, but not limited to, erosion control blankets, water bars, check dams, construction sequence, etc. Provide details.
- 45. It is recommended that additional stockpile areas be shown at the location of the education center and in the area of the proposed overnight accommodations.

In order to expedite the review of subsequent submissions, the applicant should provide annotated responses to each of the comments outlined herein.

PLANS REVIEWED, PREPARED BY BIBBO ASSOCIATES, LLP, DATED DECEMBER 29, 2020:

- Preliminary Plot Plan (Dwg. No. PP-1)
- Existing Conditions and Removals Plan (Dwg. No. EX-1)
- Layout Plan South (Dwg. No. LP-1)
- Layout Plan North (Dwg. No. LP-2)

Chairperson Janet Andersen February 18, 2021 Page 7 of 7

- Construction Plan South (Dwg. No. CP-1)
- Construction Plan North (Dwg. No. CP-2)
- Erosion Control Plan (Dwg. No. EC-1)
- Road Profiles (Dwg. No. P-1)
- Turning Maneuvers (Dwg. No. T-1)
- Erosion Control Notes & Details (Dwg. No. EC-2)
- Details (Dwg. No. D-1)
- Details (Dwg. No. D-2)

PLANS REVIEWED, PREPARED BY KG+D ARCHITECTS, DATED (LAST REVISED) DECEMBER 29, 2020:

- Title Sheet
- Code Compliance Information (Sheet CC-1)
- Landscape Plan (Sheet L-100)
- Main Floor & Basement Plan (Sheet A201)
- Roof Plan & Details (Sheet A202)
- Exterior Elevations (Sheet A301)
- Exterior Elevations (Sheet A302)
- Renderings (Sheet A303)
- Wall Sections (Sheet A801)

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED:

- Cover Letter, prepared DelBello Donnellan Weingarten Wise & Wiederkehr, LLP, dated December 28, 2020
- Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), prepared by Bibbo Associates, LLP, dated December 29, 2020

JKJ/dc

https://kellardsessionsconsulti.sharepoint.com/sites/Kellard/Municipal/Lewisboro/Correspondence/2021-02-18_LWPB_Wolf Conservation Center - Buck Run_Review Memo.docx



MEMORANDUM

TO:	Chairperson Janet Andersen and Members of Lewisboro Planning Board	
CC:	Ciorsdan Conran Judson Siebert, Esq. Joseph Angiello	
FROM:	Jan K. Johannessen, AICP Joseph M. Cermele, P.E., CFM Town Consulting Professionals	
DATE:	February 9, 2021	
RE:	Wetland Permit and Stormwater Permit Peter and Annette McGuinness 17 School House Road Sheet 22, Block 10802, Lot 35	

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The subject property consists of ± 23.261 acres of land and is located at 17 School House Road within the R-4A Zoning District. The subject property is developed with a single-family residence, recreational barn, studio/storage shed, septic, domestic well, and driveway. The southeast portion of the property is developed with a shelter, lamb paddock, chicken coop, and feed storage shed. The applicant is proposing several outdoor improvements, including, but not limited to, construction of a spa, patio expansion, roof overhang, and driveway expansion. The proposed improvements will result in $\pm 8,617$ s.f. of disturbance within the wetland buffer.

SEQRA

The proposed action has been preliminarily identified as a Type II Action and is therefore categorically exempt from the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA).

CIVIL ENGINEERING | LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE | SITE & ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING

Chairperson Janet Andersen February 9, 2021 Page 2 of 3

REQUIRED APPROVALS/REFERRALS

- 1. A Wetland Activity Permit and Town Stormwater Permit are required from the Planning Board; unless waived by the Planning Board, a public hearing is required to be held on the Wetland Activity Permit.
- 2. Area variances have been granted by the Zoning Board of Appeals.
- 3. An Article 24 Freshwater Wetland Permit is required from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC).
- 4. The subject property is located within the NYC East of Hudson Watershed and proposed land disturbance exceeds 5,000 s.f. Coverage under New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activity (GP-0-20-001) will be required.

COMMENTS

- 1. At the January 19, 2021 Planning Board Meeting, the Board recommended that additional mitigation be provided, including the incorporation of demarcated no-mow zones and the replacement of existing lawn area with native planting areas.
- 2. A copy of the NYSDEC Article 24 Freshwater Wetland Permit shall be submitted to the Planning Board upon receipt.
- 3. As previously requested, the applicant shall perform percolation soil testing in the vicinity of the proposed mitigation system to be witnessed by the Town Engineer. The test locations and results shall be shown on the plan. Contact this office to schedule the testing.
- 4. Soil Deep testing has been performed and witnessed by this office. As designed, the minimum three (3) foot separation distance from the bottom of the infiltration chambers to groundwater is not achieved. An alternate design shall be considered.
- 5. As previously requested, all plans, including the Topographic Survey and Landscape Architecture Plans, shall be signed/sealed by the Design Professional.
- 6. As previously requested, all relevant construction details shall be submitted for review including, but limited to, gravel driveway, stormwater mitigation system, drainage structures, pipe trench and pipe bedding.

Chairperson Janet Andersen February 9, 2021 Page 3 of 3

7. A spot grade provided for the retaining wall on the south side of the driveway indicates a retaining wall height of 4.1 feet (potential typo). All walls greater than four (4) feet in height shall be designed by a NYS Licensed Professional Engineer. The construction details shall be revised as necessary or the wall elevations on the grading plan should be revised.

In order to expedite the review of subsequent submissions, the applicant should provide annotated responses to each of the comments outlined herein.

PLANS REVIEWED, PREPARED BY J.D. BARRETT & ASSOCIATES, LLC, DATED (LAST REVISED) DECEMBER 29, 2020:

- Overall Property & Existing Conditions Plan (Sheet 1 of 4)
- Site Plan Subject Area 1 (Sheet 2 of 4)
- Grading Plan (Sheet 3 of 4)
- Erosion Control & Site Mitigation Plan, Subject Areas 1 and 2 (Sheet 4 of 4)

PLANS REVIEWED, PREPARED BY ALP ENGINEERING, DATED DECEMBER 24, 2020:

- Existing Conditions and Stormwater Analysis (Sheet SW-0)
- Future Conditions Stormwater Plan (Sheet SW-1)

PLANS REVIEWED, PREPARED BY PATRICK M. CROKE, DATED (LAST REVISED) OCTOBER 9, 2020:

- Site Plan and General Notes (Sheet A-1)
- Site Plan and Landscape Plan (Sheet A-2)
- Architectural Plan (Sheet A-3)
- Architectural Plan (Sheet A-4)
- Elevations (Sheet A-5)
- Elevations (Sheet A-6)
- Elevations (Sheet A-7)
- Sections (Sheet A-8)
- Sections and Details (Sheet A-9)

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED:

- Cover Letter, prepared by J.D. Barrett & Associates, LLC, dated December 29, 2020
- ZBA Resolution of Approval for Cal No.12-20-BZ
- Stormwater Permit Application, dated December 24, 2020
- Letter to Planning Board, prepared by ALP Engineering, dated December 28, 2020
- Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Report (SWPPP), prepared by ALP Engineering, dated December 24, 2020
- MS4 and Notice of Intent Forms
- Survey of Property, prepared by Jeffrey DeRosa, LS, dated December 14, 2016

JKJ/dc

https://kellardsessionsconsulti.sharepoint.com/sites/Kellard/Municipal/Lewisboro/Correspondence/2021-02-09_LWPB_McGuiness - 17 Schoolhouse Road_Review Memo.docx

TO:	The Town of Lewisboro Planning Board
FROM:	Lewisboro Conservation Advisory Council
SUBJECT:	McGuiness Residence, 17 Schoolhouse Road
	South Salem, NY 10590
DATE:	February 10, 2021

The Conservation Advisory Council (CAC) has reviewed the materials recently submitted by the applicant, including the December 29, 2020 Letter to the Planning Board from J. D. Barrett & Associates responding to the concerns raised in our November 10, 2020 memo. At the January Planning Board meeting both the wetland inspector and the CAC requested that additional areas be added to the mitigation plan to alleviate the concern that only increasing density in previous mitigation areas would not provide adequate mitigation. The CAC continues to await this updated mitigation plan.

J.D. BARRETT & ASSOCIATES, LLC

Landscape Architects • Site Planners • Environmental Scientists

February 16, 2021

Ms. Janet Anderson, Chair Town of Lewisboro Planning Board (PB) 79 Bouton Road South Salem, NY 10590-1430

Re: McGuinness Property / Proposed Outdoor Improvements / Updated Information 17 School House Road – 23.261 Acres, R-4A Zone Tax Parcel ID: 22-10802-35

Dear Chair Anderson & Members of the PB:

On behalf of Peter and Annette McGuinness, we provide the following materials in support of a Wetland Permit Application for the above project, portions of which occur within the outer areas of the 150-foot wetland setback on the property. Information provided at this time responds to project review comments noted in the Town Planner's memorandum for the project, dated February 9, 2021. We are providing this new information now to share our responses to the review comments with the PB in order to have productive discussions with the PB on the project at the February 24, 2021 PB meeting. This information is only provided digitally at this time. Hard copies can be provided upon request. We include the following information.

- This explanatory Cover Letter, prepared by J.D. Barrett & Associates, LLC, dated February 16, 2021.
- **Revised Site Plans**, prepared by J.D. Barrett & Associates, LLC, dated December 29, 2020, last revised February 16, 2021, including:
 - Sheet 1 of 5 Overall Property & Existing Conditions Plan
 - Sheet 2 of 5 Site Plan-Subject Area 1
 - Sheet 3 of 5 Grading Plan
 - Sheet 4 of 5 Erosion Control
 - Sheet 5 of 5 Site Mitigation Plan, Subject Areas 1 and 2
- Engineering Response Letter, prepared by ALP Engineering, dated December 28, 2020, last revised February 16, 2021.
- Engineering Plans, prepared by ALP Engineering, dated December 24, 2020, last revised February 16, 2021, including:
 - o SW-0 Existing Conditions Stormwater Analysis
 - o SW-1 Stormwater Management Plan
 - SW-2 Stormwater Management Plan Construction Details
- SWPPP Report for 17 School House Road, revised date February 16, 2021.
- A stamped and signed **Survey for the Property**, prepared by Insite Engineering, Surveying & Landscape Architecture, PC, Carmel, NY, dated August 19, 2019.

New Information Prepared

The project team has prepared new and revised information in response to comments noted in the Town Planner's (TP) project review memorandum, dated February 9, 2021. The following information responds to the "Comments" section of the memorandum beginning on page 2 of 3. We offer the following responses to the TP's memo.

1. <u>Comment #1 regarding Additional Mitigation</u>. As discussed previously with the PB at the January 2021 meeting, the applicant has directed the project team to create larger wetland mitigation areas, in addition to the mitigation shown on the December 29, 2020 plans, by converting existing areas of mowed lawn into native plantings. We now show, on Sheet 5 of 5 - Mitigation Planting Plan, new additional plantings (color coded pale yellow) adjacent to the new activity areas in the backyard, by the driveway area and the former lamb paddock. The new plantings include newly created edge gardens around the back and side yards. The addition of a rain type garden by the driveway and parking area and additional plantings inside the former lamb pen and around the proposed greenhouse (that replaces the original lamb shelter). The purpose of the mitigation plantings proposed is to offset the unavoidable wetland buffer disturbances associated with this project.

We have calculated that there will be approximately 7607 SF of wetland buffer disturbance associated with this project. We note on the plans that Subject Area 1 that occurs in the backyard and adjacent to the activity barns will disturb 6374 SF of wetland buffer, although 1065 SF of that disturbed area within the wetland buffer occurs over the existing driveway. The other area of wetland buffer disturbance occurs at Subject Area 2, the former lamb pen in the front yard. Here there will be 1233 SF of wetland buffer disturbance associated with removing the former lamb shed and replacing it with a greenhouse of similar size. Total wetland buffer disturbance has been calculated at 7607 SF.

We have also calculated that we are proposing approximately 15,220 SF of wetland mitigation to offset the wetland buffer impacts at a 2:1 ratio of mitigation provided to unavoidable impact created. This is a mitigation rate approximately double the required 1:1 mitigation rate the Town Code calls for. This will result in considerably more plantings replacing mowed lawn in the wetland buffer and associated benefits of same.

We note that the December 29, 2020 Mitigation Plan showed approximately 7700 SF of wetland mitigation. Those areas are shown in a pale green tone on the Wetland Mitigation Plan, Sheet 5 of 5. The additional wetland mitigation of approximately 7520 SF shown on the current February 16, 2021 plan is shown in a pale yellow tone. The December 2020 plantings were specified with exact species, sizes and quantities. The February 2021 mitigation plantings are shown, at this time, schematically and a typical mitigation planting list is provided indicating the types of species proposed. We will detail the new mitigation plantings, similar to the December 2020 plantings, once the mitigation plan is discussed and agreed to with the PB. It is our hope that the 2:1 mitigation concept will be acceptable and the PB can agree to move the project to

Administrative Wetland Permit Approval and the Town Planner can review and approve the final mitigation planting plan.

- <u>Comment #2 regarding NYSDEC Article 24 Freshwater Wetland Permit (NYSDEC</u> <u>Wetland Permit</u>). We have prepared a NYSDEC Wetland Permit Application to reflect the latest site plan. Same shall be submitted to NYSDEC for review in the coming days. Evidence of NYSDEC wetland permit coverage shall be provided to the PB once it becomes available.
- 3. <u>Comment #3 regarding Percolation Soil Testing</u>. Please see response by ALP Engineering.
- 4. <u>Comment #4 regarding Soil Deep Testing</u>. At the request of the project engineer, approximately one foot of soil fill has now been added over the proposed infiltration system that is positioned just west of the pool area. (The drainage chambers are shown with light blue dashed lines on the plan.) The one foot of fill is provided in order to maintain a three-foot soil separation between the bottom of the infiltration practice and ground water. You will note that a low 18"- 20" stonewall is now proposed (just west of the infiltrators) to contain the soil fill over the infiltration practice. This limits the amount of filling and disturbance in the wetland buffer. See response to this item in the ALP Engineering response letter.
- 5. <u>Comment #5 regarding Signed & Sealed Plans</u>. The Site Plans, Engineering Plans and Property Survey now bear the professional seals and signatures of the professionals who prepared the work.
- 6. <u>Comment #6 regarding Relevant Construction Details</u>. The final construction plans shall provide all relevant construction details for the project.
- 7. <u>Comment #7 regarding Retaining Wall Heights</u>. We have corrected the typo on the wall height elevation on the retaining wall at the southern driveway parking area to read as 4' ht. vs. 4.1' ht. Maximum wall height in all areas is 4'.
- 8. Comment #8 regarding Revised Pool Fence Location. After discussions with the owners, the location of the proposed pool fence has been modified from the December 2020 plans. Previously in December, the pool fence was located adjacent to the pool and patio area. At this time, it has been modified to enclose the usable backyard. Here, the pool fence will connect to the western edge of the house and extend to the tree line behind the previously installed mitigation plantings. Once the fence reaches the tree line it will turn 90° and travel northerly along the back of the mitigation plantings and then travel easterly along the watercourse before it turns and travels southerly back to the activity barns. A small section of fence will connect the activity barns to the house to complete the enclosure. The area of backyard enclosed will be approximately .443 acres of the 23.261 acre site, or approximately 2% of the total site area will be within the pool enclosure. The benefit of the currently proposed pool fence plan is that the backyard now remains open to the pool area for open play. In addition, the view to the pool from the house and back patio are now open, providing superior visual supervision of the pool area from the

house. An additional benefit is that the pool fence will now help protect the plantings from deer browsing and damage. A detail of the fence is provided on Sheet 3 of 5.

Summary

We believe that the project team has substantially addressed the comments and concerns noted by the PB and contained in the Town Planner's project review letter. We look forward to discussing the project with the PB at the February 23, 2021 PB meeting. It is our hope that the PB concurs that we have sufficiently addressed the project issues and that the project can be referred to the Town Planner's office for Wetland Permit Administrative Approval in order to keep the approval process moving forward.

On behalf of the applicants and project team, we are appreciative of the PB's time and consideration for this project.

Respectfully submitted,

Jerí Barrett

Jeri D. Barrett, R.L.A. JDB:lj Enc. cc: Mr. & Mrs. McGuinness Michael Sirignano, Esq. Alan Pilch, PE, RLA Jeff DeRosa



ALP Engineering & Landscape Architecture, PLLC

February 16, 2021

Hon. Janet Andersen, Chairwoman and Members of the Planning BoardTown of Lewisboro79 Bouton RoadSouth Salem, NY 10590

Re: 17 School House Road Sheet 22, Block 10802, Lot 35 Application for Wetland Permit and Stormwater Permit

Dear Chairwoman Andersen and Members of the Planning Board:

This letter is submitted in responding to stormwater management comments in the February 9, 2021 memorandum to the Planning Board regarding the above-noted application for a Wetland Permit and Stormwater Permit. Each of the comments from the above-noted memorandum that are related to the work that our office submitted is presented below in italics; the response to the comment follows.

Enclosed are digital copies of the following revised drawings and documents:

Drawing No.:	Drawing Title:	Date:
SW-0	Existing Conditions Stormwater Analysis	12/24/2020
SW-1	Stormwater Management Plan	02/16/2021
SW-2	Stormwater Management Plan Construction Details	02/16/2021

• SWPPP Report for 17 School House Road, revised date 02/16/2021.

3. As previously requested, the applicant shall perform percolation soil testing in the vicinity of the proposed mitigation system to be witnessed by the Town Engineer. The test locations and results shall be shown on the plan. Contact this office to schedule the testing.

<u>Response</u>: Percolation testing within the footprint of the proposed stormwater management practice will be performed when the weather and ground conditions are more favorable to

Town of Lewisboro Planning Board February 16, 2021 Page 2



conduct the testing. At this time, with the snow cover and frost depth, soil percolation testing is not feasible.

4. Soil Deep testing has been performed and witnessed by this office. As designed, the minimum three (3) foot separation distance from the bottom of the infiltration chambers to groundwater is not achieved. An alternate design shall be considered.

<u>Response</u>: The amended stormwater management plan provides the required three (3) feet of vertical separation from the documented high seasonal groundwater table and the invert elevation of the 6" of stone below the chambers.

6. As previously requested, all relevant construction details shall be submitted for review including, but limited to, gravel driveway, stormwater mitigation system, drainage structures, pipe trench and pipe bedding.

<u>Response</u>: Construction details are provided on sheet SW-2 for the installed Cultec chambers, catch basins, pipe trench, perforated pipe in trench, and storm pipe cleanout. Please refer to drawings prepared by JD Barrett and Associates for the gravel driveway construction detail.

We look forward to your review of the submitted materials. If you have any comments or questions, or require printed materials, please feel free to contact us at (475) 215-5343.

Sincerely,

ALP ENGINEERING & LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLLC

Alan L. Pilch, P.E., R.L.A. Principal

cc: Jan Johannessen, AICP and Joseph Cermele, PE Peter and Annette McGuinness Jeri D. Barrett, RLA



Scale: |" = 20'



NTS

SH. OF 5	(
SH. 2 OF 5	ç
SH. 3 OF 5	١
SH. 4 OF 5	
SH. 5 <i>O</i> F 5	١





FRONT ELEVATION NTS





ELEVATION KEY I" = 30'



Prepared For : MR & MRS MCGUINNESS 17 SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD WACCABUC, NY 10597 Tax Parcel ID 22-10802-35 23.261 Acres Prepared by:

Landscape Architect/Environmental Planner: J. D. BARRETT & ASSOCIATES, LLC 109 SPORT HILL ROAD EASTON, CONNECTICUT 06612 Tel. 203.372.5805 Fax 203.372.0499

Attorney MICHAEL FULLER SIRIGNANO OLD POST ROAD PROFESSIONAL BUILDING 892 ROUTE 35, PO BOX 784 CROSS RIVER, NY 10518 Tel. 914-763-5500 Wetland and Soil Scientist

PAUL JAEHNIG PO BOX 1071 RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877

Tel. 203.438.9993 Environmental Consultant: STEPHEN W. COLEMAN ENV. CONSULTING 3 ASPEN COURT OSSINING, NY 10562 Tel. 914.762. 7288 Engineer/Surveyor: INSITE ENGINEERING, SURVEYING & LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, P.C. **3 GARRETT PLACE** CARMEL, NY 10512

Tel. 845-225-9690

Stormwater Engineer: ALP ENGINEERING & LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLLC P.O. Box 843 RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877

Tel. 475.215.5343 Scale : 1" : 10' 10' 0'

Date : October 9, 2020 Rev : December 29, 2020 Rev : February 16, 2021

SITE PLAN - SUBJECT AREA















Zoning analysis

17 School House Road Waccaubuc, NY

		1	
Lot size (square feet):	1,013,241		
Lot size (acres):	23.261		
Zone:	R-4a		
Parcel ID:	42.2-1-21		

Regulation	Minimum	Maximum	Actual (Existing)	Proposed	Remarks
Min. lot size (Acres)	4		23.261	23.261	
Width/Circle (Feet)	250		296.000	296.000	
Front yard (Feet)	50		407	407	
Side yard -1 (Feet)	50		16	6	
Side yard - 2 (Feet)	50		224	224	
Rear yard (Feet)	50		937	937	1
Max. height, stories		2 1/2	2	2	
Max. height, feet		35'	23	23	
D. : . !		6%	0.30%	0.42%	Percentage
Building coverage		60,794	3,052	4,278	Square feet

REPARED BY PATRICK CROKE, ARCHITECT, DATED 7-8-20

Variances Recently Granted by the ZBA, 07/29/20

	Proposed Condition	Required per Article IV Sec. 220-23(E)
Variance #I	Installation of pool deck 10'-0" from NE side yard lot line	50'
2) Variance #2	Installation of pool II'-O" from NE side yard lot line	50'
3) Variance #3	Installation of recreation cottage west side patio 39'-6" from NE side yard lot line	n 50') d
4) Variance #4	Installation of recreation cottage west side over 39'-6" from NE side yard lot line	n 50' hang d
Variance #5	Installation of recreation cottage north side over 23'6" from NE side yard lot line	50' hang
∑Variance #6	Installation of east side storage enclosure 6'-0" from NE side yard lot lir	50' ne
Variance #7	Installation of patio on e side of fireplace/grill 12 from NE side yard lot lir	east 50' 2'-0" ne
3) Variance #8	Installation of fireplace/ 20'-0" from NE side yar lot line	grill 50' d
┨ Variance #9	Installation of covered dining/sitting folly 23'-0" from NE side yard lot lin	50' 1
Variance #10	Installation of pool equipment 10'-0" from NE side uard lot line	50'



Area Variance Request 40'-0"

10'-6"

39'-0"

10'-6"

26'-6"

44'-0"

38'-O"

30'-0"

27'-0"

40'-0"







EROSION CONTROL PLAN

OLD POST ROAD PROFESSIONAL BUILDING

Rev : December 29, 2020 Rev : February 16, 2021

ARY	
20 PLAN	FEB 2021 PLAN
Acres H SF)	23.26 Acres (1,013,24 SF)
18 SF	+/- 6,374 SF
27 SF	+/- 6,427 SF
05 SF	+/- 12,801 SF
3 SF	+/- 1,233 SF
=	+/- 0 SF
3 SF	+/- 1,233 SF
18 SF	+/- 14,034 SF
I SF	+/- 7,607 SF
0 SF	+/- 15,220 SF



21	
OD	

MITIGATION PLANTING SUMMARY					
+		AS BUILT MITIGATION PLANTINGS 2019			
+		PLANTINGS PROPOSED ON DEC 29, 2020 PLAN	+/-7,700 SF		
+		ADDITIONAL PLANTINGS PROPOSED ON FEB 16, 2021 PLAN	+/-7,520 SF		
		TOTAL MITIGATION PROPOSED	+/-15 220 SE		

TREE				
2	DG	Cornus florida	FLOWERING DOGWOOD	IO GA
2	SB	Amelanchier canadensis	SERVICEBERRY	IO GA
18	SP	Lindera benzoin	SPICEBUSH	3 GAI
10	MH	Hamame∣is ∨irginiana	WITCHHAZEL	3 GAI
2	RC	Aronia arbutifolia	RED CHOKEBERRY	3 GAI
19	RD	Cornus sericea	REDTWIG DOGWOOD	3 GAI
12	YD	Cornus sericea 'Flaviramea'	YELLOWTWIG DOGWOOD	3 GAI
28	ΙB	ILEX GLABRA	INKBERRY	3 GAI
200	CF	ONOCLEA SENSIBILIS	CINNAMON FERN	I GAL
170	0F	MATTEUCCIA STRUTHIOPTERIS	OSTRICH FERN	I GAL





STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT FOR 17 SCHOOL HOUSE ROAD WACCABUC, NEW YORK Date: February 16, 2021

PREPARED BY: ALAN L. PILCH, PE, RLA ALP ENGINEERING & LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLLC

Report Contents:

- 1) Existing Site Conditions
- 2) Stormwater Management Design Criteria and Plan
- 3) Stormwater Analysis
- 4) Stormwater Modeling Peak Rate Attenuation

Figures Supporting Documentation Appendix A Hydrographs and Routings

This Stormwater Management Report is submitted in support of the application of the owner of the above-noted property for the construction of various modification to an existing property. The proposed changes include (refer to Site Plan – Subject Area 1, Sheet 2 of 3 by Jeri D. Barrett and Associates) the following. The letters below correspond to the lettering on the above-noted Sheet 2 of 3:

- A. Construction of a new 10' x 15' salt water spa with plunge pool
- B. Construction of a bluestone patio for the spa
- C. Conversion of an existing gravel surface patio to bluestone paving
- D. Construction of an overhang on the east side of the existing activity barn building overhang
- E. Expansion of the existing upper rear patio
- F. Removal of a portion of the existing gravel driveway and its conversion to a formal garden with pea gravel walkways
- G. Construction of a covered dining patio area (replacing a portion of the gravel driveway)
- H. Construction of a garden area with a water feature (replacing a portion of the gravel driveway)
- I. Construction of new stepping stones to the front door of the house (replacing the existing walkway from the driveway to the house)
- J. Realigned stone retaining wall.
- K. New covered storage shed to be built on the west side of the existing studio
- L. New bluestone patio
- M. New grill and fireplace
- N. Modification of the existing gravel driveway
- O. New pea gravel and stepping stone walkway.
- P. New stone retaining wall adjacent to modified gravel driveway.
- Q. New stepping stones to link bluestone patio and covered dining patio

- R. Installation of spa equipment in covered storage shed.
- S. New covered sitting folly.

1) <u>Existing Site Conditions</u>:

The subject property is 23.261 acres in size and is located on the north side of School House Road (see **Figure 1**). There is an existing 1-1/2 story residence on the lot, as well as an existing frame barn and attached studio building. An upper and lower patio is present on the rear side of the house, and a stone patio on the west side of the barn and studio building. Finally, there is a gravel surfaced patio and gravel surfaced patio with a fire pit in the rear yard. and flagstone walkways and the typical residential landscape of mown lawn and shrubs. The majority of the property consists of dense woods. Only in the area near the house is there the typical residential landscape of lawn, trees and shrubs. The property is located in the Cross River Basin watershed. All of the runoff from the property is conveyed generally west and then to the north.

Soils - According to the Web Soil Survey, the soils in the area of the proposed work are mapped as WdB, Woodbridge loam and PnC, Paxton fine sandy loam (see **Figure 2**). The survey reports that Woodbridge loam and Paxton fine sandy loam soils are very deep, well drained, and consist of a gravelly fine sandy loam and fine sandy loam to a depth of about 65 inches. These soils are classified as hydrologic soils group C. Both soils feature a depth of about 20" to 39" to densic material. The deep hole test performed on 12/15/2020 within the footprint of the proposed stormwater management practice found a seep at a depth of 42" below grade.

2) <u>Stormwater Management Design Criteria and Plan</u>

The project includes the various construction activities noted above.

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION / MODIFICATION	Existing Ground Surface	Future Condition
Construction of a new 10' x 15' salt water spa with plunge pool	Lawn	Concrete surface
Construction of a bluestone patio for the spa	Lawn	Bluestone
Conversion of an existing gravel surface patio to bluestone paving	Gravel	Bluestone
Construction of an overhang on the east side of the existing activity barn building overhang	Lawn	Roof
Expansion of the existing upper rear patio	Bluestone	Gravel driveway
Removal of a portion of the existing gravel driveway and its conversion to a formal garden with pea gravel walkways	Gravel driveway	Pea gravel and landscaping

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION / MODIFICATION	Existing Ground Surface	Future Condition
Construction of a covered dining patio area (replacing a portion of the gravel driveway)	Gravel driveway and lawn	Roof
Construction of a garden area with a water feature (replacing a portion of the gravel driveway)	Gravel driveway	Pea gravel / concrete
Construction of new stepping stones to the front door of the house (replacing the existing walkway from the driveway to the house)	Stone	Lawn
Realigned stone retaining wall.	Woods, lawn	Stone
New covered storage shed to be built on the west side of the existing studio	Flagstone	Roof
New bluestone patio	Stone wall and woods	Bluestone patio
New grill and fireplace	Gravel Driveway	Impervious
Modification of the existing gravel driveway	Gravel Driveway and Lawn/Woods	Gravel driveway
New pea gravel and stepping stone walkway	Gravel Driveway	Pea gravel / stone
New stone retaining wall adjacent to modified gravel driveway	Lawn and Woods	Stone
New stepping stones to link bluestone patio and covered dining patio	Gravel Driveway	Stone and pea gravel
Installation of spa equipment in covered storage shed	Flagstone	Roof

With the proposed construction, the amount of impervious surfaces that convey runoff to the design point will increase, from 4,830 s.f. at present to 8,091 s.f. in the future. Some of these impervious surfaces are being constructed over existing semi-pervious surfaces (gravel or pea stone). Semi-pervious surfaces within the drainage area to the design point will decrease from 4,891 s.f. to 3,213 s.f.

Given the dispersed changes to the property that are being proposed – the work is spread out over about 200 feet along the western boundary of the property – and the fact that the runoff from both the front and rear yards is conveyed in a easterly direction, a design point was established along the eastern property line where all of the runoff from the portions of the property to be modified is conveyed to an existing intermittent watercourse that is present just south of the area of the proposed work. The drainage area to the design point is calculated to be 93,090 square feet (2.137 acres).

The stormwater management plan for the property has been designed to meet the requirements of the Town of Lewisboro. To this end, the project will provide peak rate attenuation for all storm events up to the 25-year storm. It is proposed to direct runoff from portions of the areas to be modified into a subsurface stormwater management facility to consist of 9 Cultec C-4 chambers in order to provide peak rate attenuation.

Deep hole testing within the footprint of the proposed chambers was done on December 15, 2020. The deep hole test revealed 12" of topsoil, followed by 2' of silty loam, and then 3'-0" of fine sandy loam. The hole was dug to a depth of 6 feet, or about elevation 91.75 feet. A seep was present 42" below grade. No bedrock was encountered. The deep hole test was performed at elevation 97.5 feet. Therefore, the seep was noted at elevation 94 feet. The proposed Cultec C-4 chambers, which are 8.5" in height, are to be situated such that the bottom of the chambers will be at elevation 97.5' with the bottom of the 6" of stone below the chambers at elevation 97.0'. This would provide 3 feet of vertical separation between the proposed invert elevation of the stone under the chambers and the water table, and 3'-6" of vertical separation from the bottom of the chambers. Percolation testing has not been performed due to the unfavorable winter weather conditions.

3) <u>Stormwater Analysis</u>

As noted above, the runoff from the property drains in general to the east. The runoff from the proposed addition drains to the southwest toward an existing 12" culvert pipe under Todd Road North.

An analysis was first done to determine the composite curve number under the existing and future conditions to the design point. This analysis showed that in the existing condition, the drainage area to the design point has a curve number of 74. In the future condition, with all of the changes described above, the composite curve number of the drainage area to the design point would increase to 75.

In order to attenuate this increase, it is proposed to convey runoff from some of the new impervious surfaces into proposed subsurface chambers for peak rate of runoff attenuation purposes. The chambers, by virtue of infiltration of runoff into the soils, will also provide some water quality improvement. As is noted above, the changes to the property include: new impervious surfaces over existing lawn, new impervious surfaces over existing stone and gravel surfaces, new semi-pervious surfaces (stone or pea gravel) over existing gravel, new semi-pervious surfaces (stone or pea gravel) over existing gravel, new semi-pervious surfaces (stone or pea gravel) over existing lawn. The land cover changes with the most potential to impact downstream flows (either rate of runoff or water quality) is the construction of new impervious surfaces over existing lawn areas. For this reason, given that the proposed new construction will have minimal impact on the peak rate of runoff at the design point, it is proposed to direct runoff from impervious surfaces from an area in excess of the new impervious surfaces that will be constructed over existing lawn areas into proposed chambers for peak rate attenuation and for water quality improvement.

The calculations show that the new impervious surfaces that will be constructed over existing lawn areas is equal to 1,262 square feet. To mitigate the impacts, it is proposed to convey runoff from 2,005 square feet of impervious surfaces (see **drawing SW-1**) into the proposed chambers in subsurface storm drainage pipes. This area is to consist of: the covered sitting folly (376 square feet), the proposed covered dining patio (637 s.f.), and the existing Studio building, covered storage shed and the existing activity barn building (763 s.f.).

In the HydroCAD modeling, the drainage area to the proposed chambers is defined as Future Condition Drainage Area #2 (FDA-2). The remainder of the drainage area to the design point is named Future Condition Drainage Area #1 (FDA-1).

In addition, the runoff from the proposed bluestone paving area between the new pool and existing activity building (479 s.f.) will be directed into the proposed planters to be constructed to the east of these features (see **drawing SW-1**). To be conservative, the attenuation of the peak rate of runoff from directing the runoff into the proposed planters (labeled as "1" on drawing SW-1) is not modeled.

The proposed pool is 15' in length x 10 feet in width. A 6-inch drawdown of the pool corresponds to a volume of $(15' \times 10' \times 0.5')$ 75 cubic feet. The proposed 9 Cultec C-4 chambers have a storage volume of about 360 cubic feet, well in excess of the drawdown volume. The proposed subsurface stormwater management facility is located in the side yard, to the east of the proposed spa in an area which is presently a mown lawn.

4) <u>Stormwater Modeling – Peak Rate Attenuation and Water Quality Improvement</u>

The peak rate of runoff to the design point has been calculated for the 1-year through 25-year storm events. The analysis was performed in accordance with the methodology of the United States Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service (now Natural Resources Conservation Service) publication *Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds*, *Technical Release 55* (TR-55), 1986. To calculate the peak rate of runoff, the following information used in the analysis: (i) Runoff depths for the 24-hour design storms used in the calculations were as follows: 2.82" for the one-year storm, 5.07" for the ten-year storm, and 6.37" for the 25-year storm, based on the data from the Northeast Regional Climate Center for the property; (ii) A 24-hour rainfall duration was used in calculating the hydrographs, (iii) a Type III storm distribution was used in the analysis. Finally, hydrographs and pond routings were created using the computer program *HydroCAD* (ver. 10.10-4b), by HydroCAD Software Solutions, LLC.

The calculations show that at the design point, the peak rate of runoff at the design point will drop slightly when compared to the existing condition. This is summarized in **Table 1**, below.

(all no no in eache reer per beec	ina)		
Drainage Area/ Storm Interval	1 year	10 year	25 year
Existing Condition			
Flows to Design Point	1.42	4.71	6.86
Future Condition			
Flows to Design Point	1.39	4.61	6.71

Table 1. Peak Rates of Runoff to Design Point (all flows in cubic feet per second)

In the modeling, the runoff that is discharged from the outlet control structure from the chambers is conveyed in reaches to the Design Point. Reach #1 is flow across the lawn in the rear yard; Reach #2 is the flow across the existing wooded area, and finally Reach #3 is the flow in the existing intermitted channel which traverses the rear yard.

<u>Water Quality Improvement</u> – Chapter 4 of the 2015 *Stormwater Management Design Manual* provides the methodology for calculating the water quality volume. As noted in the Design Manual, "the water quality volume (denoted as the WQv) is intended to improve water quality by capturing and treating runoff from small, frequent storm events that tend to contain higher pollutant levels." Within the New York City Water Supply Watershed, New York State has defined the WQv as the volume of runoff generated from the one year storm event, which is equal to 2.82" of precipitation.

Runoff from 2,005 square feet of impervious surfaces will be directed in subsurface storm pipes into the proposed chambers. The calculations show that the water quality volume (using a precipitation depth of 2.82 inches), would result in a runoff volume of 433 cubic feet.

The proposed 9 Cultec C-4 chambers would have a storage volume of 389.8 cubic feet, or about 90% of the water quality volume. At the elevation of the proposed grate, the total volume of runoff in the chambers would be about 360 cubic feet, or about 83% of the entire water quality volume without infiltration into the soil being taken into account. The proposed chambers will thus provide, in addition to peak rate of runoff attenuation, improvement to the quality of the runoff being discharged from the property. It will also reduce slightly the volume of runoff that is discharged.

5) <u>Summary</u>:

The proposed stormwater management facility to consist of 9 Cultec C-4 chambers which will peak rate attenuation of the runoff from the property over the 1-year through 25-year storm events. It will also provide water quality improvement from the area that exceeds the area of the new impervious surfaces that will be constructed over existing lawn surfaces.

FIGURES



Scale: Not to Scale



SOILS:

PnC: Paxton fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes PnD: Paxton fine sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes RdB: Ridgebury complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes WdB: Woodbridge loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

> Figure 2 **SOILS MAP** Scale: Not to Scale





SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Extreme Precipitation Tables

Northeast Regional Climate Center

Data represents point estimates calculated from partial duration series. All precipitation amounts are displayed in inches.

Smoothing	No
State	New York
Location	
Longitude	73.603 degrees West
Latitude	41.285 degrees North
Elevation	0 feet
Date/Time	Sun, 13 Dec 2020 08:32:52 -0500

Extreme Precipitation Estimates

	5min	10min	15min	30min	60min	120min		1hr	2hr	3hr	6hr	12hr	24hr	48hr		1day	2day	4day	7day	10day	
1yr	0.33	0.51	0.63	0.84	1.04	1.25	1yr	0.89	1.22	1.43	1.82	2.29	2.82	3.18	1yr	2.49	3.05	3.53	4.22	4.86	1yr
2yr	0.39	0.61	0.75	1.02	1.25	1.50	2yr	1.08	1.46	1.71	2.19	2.75	3.40	3.81	2yr	3.01	3.66	4.20	4.96	5.62	2yr
5yr	0.46	0.71	0.89	1.21	1.54	1.84	5yr	1.33	1.80	2.10	2.72	3.42	4.27	4.81	5yr	3.78	4.63	5.34	6.21	6.98	5yr
10yr	0.53	0.81	1.00	1.40	1.81	2.16	10yr	1.56	2.11	2.46	3.20	4.02	5.07	5.75	10yr	4.49	5.53	6.41	7.36	8.23	10yr
25yr	0.63	0.96	1.19	1.70	2.24	2.66	25yr	1.93	2.60	3.02	3.97	5.00	6.37	7.27	25yr	5.64	7.00	8.16	9.22	10.23	25yr
50yr	0.72	1.09	1.36	1.96	2.63	3.13	50yr	2.27	3.06	3.54	4.67	5.89	7.58	8.70	50yr	6.71	8.36	9.80	10.93	12.06	50yr
100yr	0.83	1.25	1.57	2.26	3.10	3.67	100yr	2.68	3.59	4.15	5.51	6.94	9.03	10.40	100yr	7.99	10.00	11.77	12.97	14.23	100yr
200yr	0.95	1.43	1.81	2.63	3.66	4.31	200yr	3.16	4.22	4.86	6.51	8.19	10.75	12.45	200yr	9.51	11.97	14.15	15.39	16.79	200yr
500yr	1.16	1.72	2.21	3.21	4.57	5.34	500yr	3.94	5.22	6.01	8.12	10.20	13.55	15.79	500yr	11.99	15.18	18.07	19.29	20.91	500yr

Lower Confidence Limits

	5min	10min	15min	30min	60min	120min		1hr	2hr	3hr	6hr	12hr	24hr	48hr		1day	2day	4day	7day	10day	
1yr	0.24	0.37	0.45	0.61	0.75	0.98	1yr	0.65	0.96	1.21	1.58	2.03	2.54	2.79	1yr	2.24	2.68	3.28	3.71	4.55	1yr
2yr	0.38	0.59	0.73	0.98	1.21	1.46	2yr	1.05	1.42	1.66	2.12	2.69	3.30	3.69	2yr	2.92	3.55	4.08	4.82	5.46	2yr
5yr	0.42	0.65	0.80	1.10	1.40	1.70	5yr	1.21	1.67	1.94	2.51	3.14	3.93	4.44	5yr	3.48	4.27	4.93	5.72	6.44	5yr
10yr	0.45	0.70	0.86	1.21	1.56	1.92	10yr	1.34	1.87	2.19	2.86	3.54	4.48	5.08	10yr	3.97	4.88	5.69	6.49	7.28	10yr
25yr	0.49	0.74	0.93	1.32	1.74	2.20	25yr	1.50	2.15	2.55	3.39	4.13	5.33	6.05	25yr	4.71	5.82	6.87	7.68	8.54	25yr
50yr	0.51	0.78	0.97	1.40	1.89	2.42	50yr	1.63	2.37	2.89	3.87	4.65	6.08	6.93	50yr	5.38	6.66	7.93	8.73	9.63	50yr
100yr	0.54	0.82	1.03	1.49	2.04	2.66	100yr	1.76	2.61	3.27	4.43	5.15	6.95	7.92	100yr	6.15	7.62	9.20	9.92	10.88	100yr
200yr	0.57	0.86	1.09	1.58	2.21	2.93	200yr	1.91	2.87	3.71	5.09	5.79	7.93	9.11	200yr	7.02	8.76	10.70	11.27	12.30	200yr
500yr	0.61	0.91	1.17	1.70	2.42	3.34	500yr	2.09	3.27	4.40	6.15	6.76	9.45	10.99	500yr	8.37	10.57	13.09	13.40	14.45	500yr

Upper Confidence Limits

	5min	10min	15min	30min	60min	120min		1hr	2hr	3hr	6hr	12hr	24hr	48hr		1day	2day	4day	7day	10day	
1yr	0.37	0.57	0.70	0.94	1.15	1.39	1yr	1.00	1.36	1.58	2.03	2.54	3.06	3.42	1yr	2.71	3.29	3.79	4.50	5.18	1yr
2yr	0.42	0.65	0.80	1.08	1.34	1.56	2yr	1.15	1.52	1.78	2.27	2.85	3.51	3.99	2yr	3.11	3.83	4.37	5.16	5.83	2yr
5yr	0.50	0.77	0.96	1.32	1.67	1.98	5yr	1.45	1.93	2.28	2.94	3.68	4.61	5.22	5yr	4.08	5.02	5.76	6.72	7.50	5yr
10yr	0.59	0.90	1.12	1.57	2.02	2.38	10yr	1.75	2.33	2.75	3.57	4.49	5.68	6.43	10yr	5.03	6.19	7.13	8.24	9.13	10yr
25yr	0.74	1.13	1.40	2.00	2.64	3.07	25yr	2.28	3.00	3.55	4.62	5.85	7.49	8.51	25yr	6.62	8.19	9.44	10.76	11.83	25yr
50yr	0.88	1.34	1.66	2.39	3.22	3.73	50yr	2.78	3.64	4.30	5.61	7.16	9.23	10.51	50yr	8.17	10.11	11.67	13.20	14.41	50yr
100yr	1.05	1.59	1.99	2.88	3.95	4.53	100yr	3.41	4.43	5.21	6.83	9.27	11.39	12.99	100yr	10.08	12.49	14.39	16.19	17.56	100yr
200yr	1.26	1.89	2.40	3.48	4.85	5.50	200yr	4.18	5.37	6.30	8.28	11.43	14.04	16.05	200yr	12.43	15.43	17.79	19.84	21.40	200yr
500yr	1.62	2.41	3.10	4.50	6.40	7.10	500yr	5.53	6.94	8.12	10.70	15.16	18.51	21.23	500yr	16.38	20.41	23.45	25.91	27.76	500yr



ALP ENGINEERING LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLLC P.O. Box 843, Ridgefield CT 06877

17 School House Road, Waccabuc, N.Y. TEST DATA REQUIRED TO BE SUBMITTED WITH APPLICATION DESCRIPTION OF SOILS ENCOUNTERED IN TEST HOLES

DEPTH	HOLE DH-1	HOLE #	HOLE #	HOLE #
GROUND				
0'-6"				
1'-0"	Topsoil	,		
1'-6"				
2'-0"	Silty loam			
2'-6"				
3'-0"		,		
3'-6"				
4'-0''				
4'-6"	Fine sandy			
5'-0"	loam			
5'-6"				
6'-0''		,		
6'-6"				
7'-0"				
7'-6"				
8'-0"				
8'-6"				
G.W.	Seep at 42"			
ROCK	No bedrock			
TESTS MAD	DE BY: Alan L. Pilch, P	E, RLA	DATE:	12/15/2020
NAME: ALI ADDRESS:	P ENGINEERING P.O. BOX 843 RIDGEFIELD, CT 068	SIGNATURE: SEAL: 377		

Table 1 17 School House Road Existing Condition to Design Point

DRAINAGE AREA TO DESIGN POINT			93,090 SF
Woods, good, HSG C		43,125	
		10,293	
	TOTAL		53,418
Impervious Surfaces			
House		1,837	
Patio (upper patio rear of house)		645	
Patio (lower patio rear of house)		357	
Entry (to front door)		33	
Walkway (from gravel driveway to front door)		168	
Cottage (exist activity barn and exist studio)		809	
Patio (bluestone adjacent to barn & studio)		481	
Wall (west side of driveway, patio)		241	
Wall (near gravel driveway)		206	
Steps (down from gravel area)		25	
Fire Pit		28	
	TOTAL		4,830
Semi-Pervious Surfaces			
Gravel Driveway		3,897	
Gravel Driveway		140	
Gravel Walkway (at south end)		471	
	TOTAL		4,508
Lawn/Landscape, HSG C			30,334

Table 2 17 School House Road Future Condition to Design Point

FUTURE DRAINAGE CONDITIONS - AREA TO DESIGN POINT

93,090 SF

Woods, good, HSG C		42,890	
	TOTAL	10,293	53,183
Impervious Surfaces			
House		1,837	
Patio (upper patio rear of house)		645	
Patio (lower patio rear of house)		357	
Patio (new over existing gravel driveway)		158	
Entry (to front door)		33	
Walkway (new stone walk to front door over existing lawn)		345	
Cottage (exist activity barn and exist studio)		809	
Patio (bluestone patio over existing gravel)		378	
Patio (bluestone patio over existing lawn)		100	
Pool and Patio (over existing lawn)		400	
Bluestone Patio (west of activity barn and studio)		481	
Wall (to south of pool and patio over existing lawn)		15	
Wall (to east of pool and patio over existing lawn)		48	
Wall (to east of patio over existing lawn)		18	
Wall (east of pea gravel walkway over existing gravel driveway)		17	
Wall (east of pea gravel walkway over existing gravel driveway)		17	
Wall (new wall on west side)		363	
Wall (new wall on west side)		241	
Existing Wall		82	
Wall (new wall on west side)		49	
Steps (from rear patio addition to pool over existing lawn)		180	
Steps (from gravel driveway to new pea gravel walkway over ex gravel driveway)		57	
Bluestone walk near pool		98	
Covered Dining Patio and Sitting Folly (over existing gravel driveway)		1,047	
Bluestone Patio (over existing lawn)		120	
Water Feature (over existing gravel surface)		87	
Bluestone Step (over existing gravel surface to rear patio addition)		14	
Wall (to east of bluestone patio over existing lawn)		17	
Bluestone Steps (over existing lawn)		19	
Covered Storage Shed (additional impervious to bluestone patio west of activ barr	ו)	31	
Fire Pit		28	
	TOTAL		8,091

Table 2 17 School House Road Future Condition to Design Point

Semi-Pervious Surfaces		
Gravel Driveway	2,522	
Gravel Driveway	53	
Pea Gravel Walkway	219	
Pea Gravel Adjacent to Walkway	36	
Fire Pit Surface	383	
	TOTAL	3,213
Lawn/Landscape, HSG C		28,603
Table 3 17 School House Road Water Quality Volume Calculation

Under the Watershed Regulations, the requirement is to capture and treat the runoff from the 1-year, 24 hour storm event which is equal to 2.79 inches of precipitation, or the water quality volume, whichever is greater. The following calculates the treatment volume of runoff from the 1-year storm (using TR-55 in accordance with the New York Stormwater Management Design Manual) and the Water Quality Volume - 1.5" of precipitation (using the 90% Rule).

1-year, 24 hour precipitation =	2.82	inches
90% rule precipitation depth =	1.5	inches

				Runoff	1 yr, 24 hr storm	90% Rule
	Area	Area	CN	Depth	Treatment Vol.	Treatment Vol.
Drainage Area	(in sq feet)	(in acres)	Value	(inches)	(cu feet)	(cu feet)
Impervious Surfaces to Proposed Chambers	2,005	0.046	98			
TOTALS / WEIGHTED CN	2,005	0.046	98	2.59	433	238

% impervious = 100 Rv = 0.95



CULTEC Stormwater Design Calculator

Date:	December	18,	2020	

Project Information: McGuinness Property 17 School House Rd Waccabuc

New York

CONTACTOR

FIELD DRAIN C-4HD

Ridgefield 06877	СТ
(475) 215-5343 alan@eaec-inc.com	
Breakdo	own of Storage Provided by

Calculations Performed By:

Project Number: FIELD A

Alan Pilch, PE, RLA ALP Engineering & Land Arch

P.O. Box 845

Contactor Field Drain C-4HD Chamber Specifications				
Height	8.5	inches		
Width	48.0	inches		
Length	8.50	feet		
Installed Length	8.00	feet		
Bare Chamber Volume	13.54	cu. feet		
Installed Chamber Volume	32.72	cu. feet		

A

Breakdown of Storage Provided by Contactor Field Drain C-4HD Stormwater System			
Within Chambers	41.45	cu. feet	
Within Feed Connectors	-	cu. feet	
Within Stone	92.07	cu. feet	
Total Storage Provided	133.5	cu. feet	
Total Storage Required	115.00	cu. feet	

Materials List

Contactor Field	Drain C-4HD		
Total Number of Chambers Required	3	pieces	
Starter Chambers	1	pieces	
End Chambers	2	pieces	
HVLV FC-48 Feed Connectors	0	pieces	
CULTEC No. 410 Non-Woven Geotextile	60	sq. yards	
CULTEC No. 4800 Woven Geotextile	12	feet	
Stone	9	cu. yards	





Bed Layout Information Number of Rows Wide pieces 1 Number of Chambers Long 3 pieces Chamber Row Width 4.00 feet Chamber Row Length 24.50 feet Bed Width 6.00 feet Bed Length 26.50 feet Bed Area Required Length of Separator Row 159.00 sq. feet N/A feet

Bed detail for reference only. Not project specific. Not to scale.



Conceptual graphic only. Not job specific.				
		Cross Section Table Reference		
	Α	Depth of Stone Base	6.0	inches
	в	Chamber Height	8.5	inches
	c	Depth of Stone Above Units	6.0	inches
	D	Depth of 95% Compacted Fill	8.0	inches
	E	Max. Depth Allowed Above the Chamber	12.00	feet
	F	Chamber Width	48.0	inches
	G	Center to Center Spacing	4.50	feet
	н	Effective Depth	1.71	feet
	I	Bed Depth	2.38	feet

Bed Depth



CULTEC Stormwater Design Calculator

Date:	February 15, 2021

Project Information: McGuinness Property 17 School House Rd Waccabuc New York

Ridgefield 06877 CONTACTOR (475) 215-5343 alan@eaec-inc.com

FIELD DRAIN C-4HD

Breakdown of Storage Provided by Contactor Field Drain C-4HD Stormwater System				
Within Chambers Within Feed Connectors	82.06	cu. feet cu. feet		
Within Stone	174.23	cu. feet		
Total Storage Provided	256.3	cu. feet		
Total Storage Required	220.00	au faat		

Calculations Performed By:

Project Number: FIELD B

СТ

Alan Pilch, PE, RLA ALP Engineering & Land Arch

P.O. Box 845

Contactor Field Drain C-4HD Chamber Specifications				
Height	8.5	inches		
Width	48.0	inches		
Length	8.50	feet		
Installed Length	8.00	feet		
Bare Chamber Volume	13.54	cu. feet		
Installed Chamber Volume	32.72	cu. feet		

	all
	2622-22
00000	500

Materials List

Contactor Field Drain C-4HD										
Total Number of Chambers Required	6	pieces								
Starter Chambers	1	pieces								
End Chambers	5	pieces								
HVLV FC-48 Feed Connectors	0	pieces								
CULTEC No. 410 Non-Woven Geotextile	111	sq. yards								
CULTEC No. 4800 Woven Geotextile	12	feet								
Stone	16	cu. yards								





Bed Layout Information Number of Rows Wide pieces 1 Number of Chambers Long 6 pieces Chamber Row Width 4.00 feet Chamber Row Length 48.50 feet Bed Width 6.00 feet Bed Length 50.50 feet Bed Area Required Length of Separator Row 303.00 sq. feet N/A feet

Bed detail for reference only. Not project specific. Not to scale.



NOT JOD SPECIFIC.		Cross Section Table Reference		
		cross section rable kelerence		
	A	Depth of Stone Base	6.0	inches
	В	Chamber Height	8.5	inches
	с	Depth of Stone Above Units	6.0	inches
	D	Depth of 95% Compacted Fill	8.0	inches
	E	Max. Depth Allowed Above the Chamber	12.00	feet
	F	Chamber Width	48.0	inches
	G	Center to Center Spacing	4.50	feet
	н	Effective Depth	1.71	feet
	I	Bed Depth	2.38	feet

Conceptual graphic only.

Appendix A

Stormwater Management Report Hydrographs and Routings



17 School House Rd SW Mgmt_02-16-2021 Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Event#	Event Name	Storm Type	Curve	Mode	Duration (hours)	B/B	Depth (inches)	AMC
1	1-year	Type III 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	2.82	2
2	10-year	Type III 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	5.07	2
3	25-year	Type III 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	6.37	2

Rainfall Events Listing (selected events)

17 School House Rd SW Mgmt_02-16-2021 Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
2.688	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C (1S, 2S, 8S, 9S)
0.372	96	Gravel surface driveway, HSG C (1S, 2S, 8S, 9S)
0.547	98	Impervious Surfaces (1S, 2S, 8S, 9S)
0.046	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG B (3S)
4.894	70	Woods, Good, HSG C (1S, 2S, 8S, 9S)
8.548	74	TOTAL AREA

Prepared by ALP E	ngineering	& Land. Arch. F	PLLC
HydroCAD® 10.10-4b	s/n 03392 @	© 2020 HydroCAD	Software Solutions LLC

HSG-A HSG-B HSG-C HSG-D Other Total Ground Subcatchment (acres) (acres) (acres) (acres) (acres) (acres) Cover Numbers 0.000 0.000 0.000 2.688 >75% Grass cover, Good 1S, 2S, 0.000 2.688 8S, 9S 0.000 0.000 0.372 0.000 0.000 0.372 Gravel surface driveway 1S, 2S, 8S, 9S 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.547 0.547 Impervious Surfaces 1S, 2S, 8S, 9S 0.000 0.046 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.046 Unconnected pavement 3S 0.000 0.000 4.894 0.000 0.000 4.894 Woods, Good 1S, 2S, 8S, 9S 0.000 0.046 7.955 0.000 0.547 8.548 TOTAL AREA

Ground Covers (all nodes)

Page 4

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC

HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82"

Page 5

Time span=0.00-48.00 hrs, dt=0.02 hrs, 2401 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: XDA-1 to Design Poir	nt Runoff Area=93,090 sf 5.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.80" Flow Length=524' Tc=13.7 min CN=74 Runoff=1.42 cfs 0.142 af
Subcatchment 2S: FDA-1 to Design Poir	nt Runoff Area=91,085 sf 6.68% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.80" Flow Length=524' Tc=13.7 min CN=74 Runoff=1.39 cfs 0.139 af
Subcatchment 3S: FDA-2 to Chambers	Runoff Area=2,005 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.59" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.13 cfs 0.010 af
Subcatchment 8S: Existing Condition to	Runoff Area=93,090 sf 5.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.80" Flow Length=524' Tc=13.7 min CN=74 Runoff=1.42 cfs 0.142 af
Subcatchment 9S: Future Condition	Runoff Area=93,090 sf 8.69% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.85" Flow Length=524' Tc=13.7 min CN=75 Runoff=1.53 cfs 0.151 af
Reach 4R: Reach 1 Lawn n=0.240	Avg. Flow Depth=0.01' Max Vel=0.06 fps Inflow=0.01 cfs 0.002 af L=76.0' S=0.0829 '/' Capacity=0.49 cfs Outflow=0.00 cfs 0.002 af
Reach 5R: Reach 2 Woods n=0.400	Avg. Flow Depth=0.01' Max Vel=0.03 fps Inflow=0.00 cfs 0.002 af L=43.0' S=0.0267 '/' Capacity=0.21 cfs Outflow=0.00 cfs 0.002 af
Reach 6R: Reach 3 Intermittent Channel n=0.040 L=1	Avg. Flow Depth=0.00' Max Vel=0.81 fps Inflow=0.00 cfs 0.002 af 184.0' S=0.0527 '/' Capacity=367.70 cfs Outflow=0.00 cfs 0.002 af
Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers	Peak Elev=98.53' Storage=357 cf Inflow=0.13 cfs 0.010 af Outflow=0.01 cfs 0.002 af
Link 7L: Design Point	Inflow=1.39 cfs 0.140 af Primary=1.39 cfs 0.140 af

Total Runoff Area = 8.548 acRunoff Volume = 0.583 afAverage Runoff Depth = 0.82"93.06% Pervious = 7.955 ac6.94% Impervious = 0.593 ac

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 6

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: XDA-1 to Design Point

Runoff = 1.42 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= 0.142 af, Depth= 0.80"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description											
*		4,830	98	Impervious Surfaces											
		53,418	70	Woods, Good, HSG C											
		29,951	74	>75% Gras	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C										
*		4,891	96	Gravel surfa	ace drivewa	ay, HSG C									
	93.090 74 Weighted Average														
		88,260		94.81% Per	vious Area										
		4,830		5.19% Impe	ervious Area	a									
				•											
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity Capacity Description											
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)) (ft/sec)	(cfs)										
	8.4	76	0.1121	0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B									
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.40"									
	0.2	11	0.0200	0.91		Sheet Flow, B-C									
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"									
	0.7	147	0.0500) 3.35		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D									
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps									
	4.4	290	0.0488	3 1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, D-E									
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps									
	13.7	524	Total												

Page 7



Subcatchment 1S: XDA-1 to Design Point

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 8

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: FDA-1 to Design Point

Runoff = 1.39 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= 0.139 af, Depth= 0.80"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description									
*		6,086	98	Impervious Surfaces									
		53,183	70	Woods, Good, HSG C									
		28,603	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C							
*		3,213	96	Gravel surfa	ace drivewa	ay, HSG C							
		91,085	74	Weighted A	verage								
		84,999		93.32% Per	vious Area								
		6,086		6.68% Impe	ervious Area	a							
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity Capacity Description									
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)								
	8.4	76	0.1121	l 0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B							
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.40"							
	0.2	11	0.0200	0.91		Sheet Flow, B-C							
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"							
	0.7	147	0.0500	0 3.35 Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D									
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps							
	4.4	290	0.0488	3 1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, D-E							
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps							
	13.7	524	Total										

Page 9



Subcatchment 2S: FDA-1 to Design Point

Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82"

Page 10

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: FDA-2 to Chambers

Runoff = 0.13 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.010 af, Depth= 2.59"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82"

	Ar	rea (sf)	CN	N	De	scr	iptic	on																	
		2,0	05	98	8	Un	cor	nneo	ctec	l pa	ven	nent	t, H	SG	В											
	2,005 100.00% Impervious Area																									
		2,0	05			10	0.0	0%	Und	coni	nec	ted														
	_			_						_			_													
	Tc	Ler	igth	S	lop	e	Vel	ocit	ý	Cap	baci	ty	De	scri	ptic	n										
(n	<u>nin)</u>	(10	eet)	(π/π	[)	(11/	sec	;)		(CI	s)	<u> </u>		_											
	6.0												Dir	ect	En	try,										
							c	uh	~~ f	ah	~~~	nt (СГ	••	2 +	~ ~	ha	mh	~ ~						
							Э	an	cal	CIII	me	nt s	5 3.	ΓL	JA-	2 10		па	am	ers	5					
		_									Ну	drog	Irap	h												
	0.14-	$\langle $																								Runoff
	0.13						0.13 cfs	S																		- Runon
	0.12-																			Гу	pe	III	24	1- h	r	
	0.11-															1.	-ve	ar	R	air	nfa	11=	=2.	82		
	0.1-							1								D	, un	of	fΛ	ro		2		5 6	f	
	0.1							-								Γ	un			II C	a-	Ζ,		5 5	>	
	0.09														Rι	inc) ff	V	plu	Im	e=	:0.(01(0 a	af _	
cfs)	-80.0	Í –													_		Ru	nc	off	De	epf	th=	=2.	59	••	
Ň	0.07	ľ I																					<u> </u>			
Ĕ	0.06	K I					E													_	C-	-0.	י ט.		n	
	0.05																					C	CN	=9	8	
	0.04																									
	0.03																									
	0.02																									
	0.01							\longrightarrow	~		-	-		-				-		-		-				
	0.01							×	Щ	\square						////			////							I
	U- (0 2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24	26	28	30	32	34	36	38	40	42	44	46	48	
												Time	e (ho	urs)												

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82"

Page 11

Summary for Subcatchment 8S: Existing Condition to Design Point

Runoff = 1.42 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= 0.142 af, Depth= 0.80"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description										
*		4,830	98	Impervious Surfaces										
		53,418	70	Woods, Good, HSG C										
		29,951	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C								
*		4,891	96	Gravel surfa	ace drivewa	ay, HSG C								
	93.090 74 Weighted Average													
		88,260		94.8 ¹ % Per	vious Area									
		4,830		5.19% Impe	ervious Area	а								
				•										
	Tc	Length	Slope	e Velocity Capacity Description										
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	·								
	8.4	76	0.1121	0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B								
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.40"								
	0.2	11	0.0200	0.91		Sheet Flow, B-C								
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"								
	0.7	147	0.0500	00 3.35 Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D										
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps								
	4.4	290	0.0488	1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, D-E								
_						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps								
	13.7	524	Total											

Page 12



Subcatchment 8S: Existing Condition to Design Point

-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 13

Summary for Subcatchment 9S: Future Condition Drainage Area to Design Point

Runoff = 1.53 cfs @ 12.21 hrs, Volume= 0.151 af, Depth= 0.85"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description						
*		8,091	98	Impervious	Surfaces					
		53,183	70	Woods, Good, HSG C						
		28,603	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C				
*		3,213	96	Gravel surfa	ace drivewa	ay, HSG C				
		93,090	75	Weighted A	verage					
		84,999		91.31% Per	vious Area					
		8,091		8.69% Impe	ervious Area	а				
				•						
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
(n	nin)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
	8.4	76	0.1121	0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B				
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.40"				
	0.2	11	0.0200	0.91		Sheet Flow, B-C				
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"				
	0.7	147	0.0500	3.35		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D				
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps				
	4.4	290	0.0488	1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, D-E				
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps				
1	3.7	524	Total							

Page 14

Hydrograph Runoff 1.53 cfs Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82" Runoff Area=93,090 sf Runoff Volume=0.151 af Flow (cfs) Runoff Depth=0.85" Flow Length=524' Tc=13.7 min **CN=75** 0-2 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 4 6 8 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 Ó Time (hours)

Subcatchment 9S: Future Condition Drainage Area to Design Point

Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 15

Summary for Reach 4R: Reach 1 Lawn



Page 16



Reach 4R: Reach 1 Lawn

Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 17

Summary for Reach 5R: Reach 2 Woods

 Inflow Area =
 0.046 ac,100.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 0.46"
 for 1-year event

 Inflow =
 0.00 cfs @
 15.80 hrs, Volume=
 0.002 af

 Outflow =
 0.00 cfs @
 16.73 hrs, Volume=
 0.002 af, Atten= 10%, Lag= 55.9 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Max. Velocity= 0.03 fps, Min. Travel Time= 26.3 min Avg. Velocity = 0.01 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 56.9 min

Peak Storage= 6 cf @ 16.30 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.01', Surface Width= 15.38' Bank-Full Depth= 0.10' Flow Area= 1.7 sf, Capacity= 0.21 cfs

15.00' x 0.10' deep channel, n= 0.400 Sheet flow: Woods+light brush Side Slope Z-value= 20.0 '/' Top Width= 19.00' Length= 43.0' Slope= 0.0267 '/' Inlet Invert= 90.90', Outlet Invert= 89.75'

10 12 14 16 18 20



22 24 26

Time (hours)

28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48

Page 18



Reach 5R: Reach 2 Woods

Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 19

Summary for Reach 6R: Reach 3 Intermittent Channel



17 School House Rd SW Mgmt_02-16-2021*Typ*Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLCHydroCAD® 10.10-4bHydroCAD® 10.10-4bs/n 03392© 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 20



Reach 6R: Reach 3 Intermittent Channel

Page 21

Summary for Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers

Inflow Area	=	0.046 ac,10	0.00% Impervious	, Inflow Depth =	2.59" for	1-year event
Inflow	=	0.13 cfs @	12.08 hrs, Volum	e= 0.010	af	
Outflow	=	0.01 cfs @	14.84 hrs, Volum	e= 0.002	af, Atten=	96%, Lag= 165.2 min
Primary	=	0.01 cfs @	14.84 hrs, Volum	e= 0.002	af	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Peak Elev= 98.53' @ 14.84 hrs Surf.Area= 462 sf Storage= 357 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 587.5 min calculated for 0.002 af (18% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 332.6 min (1,091.7 - 759.1)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	97.00'	92 cf	6.00'W x 26.50'L x 1.71'H Field A
			272 cf Overall - 41 cf Embedded = 231 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	97.50'	41 cf	Cultec FD C-4 x 3 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 42.0"W x 8.0"H => 1.67 sf x 8.00'L = 13.3 cf
			Overall Size= 48.0"W x 8.5"H x 8.50'L with 0.50' Overlap
			Row Length Adjustment= +0.50' x 1.67 sf x 1 rows
#3B	97.00'	175 cf	6.00'W x 50.50'L x 1.71'H Field B
			518 cf Overall - 81 cf Embedded = 437 cf x 40.0% Voids
#4B	97.50'	81 cf	Cultec FD C-4 x 6 Inside #3
			Effective Size= 42.0"W x 8.0"H => 1.67 sf x 8.00'L = 13.3 cf
			Overall Size= 48.0"W x 8.5"H x 8.50'L with 0.50' Overlap
			Row Length Adjustment= +0.50' x 1.67 sf x 1 rows
		389 cf	Total Available Storage

389 cf Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard Storage Group B created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	
#1	Primary	98.53'	12.0" x 12.0" Horiz. Orifice/Grate Limited to weir flow at low heads	C= 0.600

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 14.84 hrs HW=98.53' (Free Discharge) 1=Orifice/Grate (Weir Controls 0.00 cfs @ 0.22 fps) Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC

HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 22

Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers - Chamber Wizard Field A

Chamber Model = Cultec FD C-4 (Cultec Contactor® Field Drain C-4)

Effective Size= 42.0"W x 8.0"H => 1.67 sf x 8.00'L = 13.3 cf Overall Size= 48.0"W x 8.5"H x 8.50'L with 0.50' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= $+0.50' \times 1.67$ sf x 1 rows

3 Chambers/Row x 8.00' Long +0.50' Row Adjustment = 24.50' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 26.50' Base Length

1 Rows x 48.0" Wide + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 6.00' Base Width

6.0" Stone Base + 8.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Stone Cover = 1.71' Field Height

3 Chambers x 13.3 cf +0.50' Row Adjustment x 1.67 sf x 1 Rows = 40.8 cf Chamber Storage

271.6 cf Field - 40.8 cf Chambers = 230.8 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 92.3 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 133.1 cf = 0.003 afOverall Storage Efficiency = 49.0%Overall System Size = $26.50' \times 6.00' \times 1.71'$

3 Chambers 10.1 cy Field 8.5 cy Stone





Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 23

Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers - Chamber Wizard Field B

Chamber Model = Cultec FD C-4 (Cultec Contactor® Field Drain C-4)

Effective Size= 42.0"W x 8.0"H => 1.67 sf x 8.00'L = 13.3 cf Overall Size= 48.0"W x 8.5"H x 8.50'L with 0.50' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= $+0.50' \times 1.67$ sf x 1 rows

6 Chambers/Row x 8.00' Long +0.50' Row Adjustment = 48.50' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 50.50' Base Length

1 Rows x 48.0" Wide + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 6.00' Base Width

6.0" Stone Base + 8.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Stone Cover = 1.71' Field Height

6 Chambers x 13.3 cf +0.50' Row Adjustment x 1.67 sf x 1 Rows = 80.8 cf Chamber Storage

517.6 cf Field - 80.8 cf Chambers = 436.8 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 174.7 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 255.5 cf = 0.006 afOverall Storage Efficiency = 49.4%Overall System Size = $50.50' \times 6.00' \times 1.71'$

6 Chambers 19.2 cy Field 16.2 cy Stone



Hydrograph Inflow 0.14 Primary 0.13 cfs 0.13 Inflow Area=0.046 ac 0.12 Peak Elev=98.53' 0.11 Storage=357 cf 0.1 0.09 0.08 Flow (cfs) 0.07 0.06 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.01 cfs 0-2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 Ó 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 Time (hours)

Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers





Page 24

Type III 24-hr 1-year Rainfall=2.82"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 25

Summary for Link 7L: Design Point

Inflow Area	a =	2.137 ac,	8.69% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0.7	79" for 1-year event
Inflow	=	1.39 cfs @	12.21 hrs, Volume	= 0.140 af	
Primary	=	1.39 cfs @	12.21 hrs, Volume	= 0.140 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs



Link 7L: Design Point

Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=5.07"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 26

Time span=0.00-48.00 hrs, dt=0.02 hrs, 2401 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: XDA-1 to Design Poir	nt Runoff Area=93,090 sf 5.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.42" Flow Length=524' Tc=13.7 min CN=74 Runoff=4.71 cfs 0.431 af
Subcatchment 2S: FDA-1 to Design Poir	nt Runoff Area=91,085 sf 6.68% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.42" Flow Length=524' Tc=13.7 min CN=74 Runoff=4.61 cfs 0.422 af
Subcatchment 3S: FDA-2 to Chambers	Runoff Area=2,005 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.83" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.23 cfs 0.019 af
Subcatchment 8S: Existing Condition to	Runoff Area=93,090 sf 5.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.42" Flow Length=524' Tc=13.7 min CN=74 Runoff=4.71 cfs 0.431 af
Subcatchment 9S: Future Condition	Runoff Area=93,090 sf 8.69% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.51" Flow Length=524' Tc=13.7 min CN=75 Runoff=4.89 cfs 0.446 af
Reach 4R: Reach 1 Lawn n=0.240	Avg. Flow Depth=0.05' Max Vel=0.23 fps Inflow=0.23 cfs 0.010 af L=76.0' S=0.0829 '/' Capacity=0.49 cfs Outflow=0.14 cfs 0.010 af
Reach 5R: Reach 2 Woods n=0.400	Avg. Flow Depth=0.07' Max Vel=0.10 fps Inflow=0.14 cfs 0.010 af L=43.0' S=0.0267 '/' Capacity=0.21 cfs Outflow=0.11 cfs 0.010 af
Reach 6R: Reach 3 Intermittent Channel n=0.040 L=1	Avg. Flow Depth=0.03' Max Vel=0.82 fps Inflow=0.11 cfs 0.010 af 184.0' S=0.0527 '/' Capacity=367.70 cfs Outflow=0.10 cfs 0.010 af
Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers	Peak Elev=98.60' Storage=368 cf Inflow=0.23 cfs 0.019 af Outflow=0.23 cfs 0.010 af
Link 7L: Design Point	Inflow=4.61 cfs 0.432 af Primary=4.61 cfs 0.432 af

Total Runoff Area = 8.548 acRunoff Volume = 1.749 afAverage Runoff Depth = 2.45"93.06% Pervious = 7.955 ac6.94% Impervious = 0.593 ac

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 27

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: XDA-1 to Design Point

Runoff = 4.71 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.431 af, Depth= 2.42"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=5.07"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		4,830	98	Impervious	Surfaces	
		53,418	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C	
		29,951	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C
*		4,891	96	Gravel surfa	ace drivewa	ay, HSG C
		93,090	74	Weighted A	verage	
		88,260		94.8 ¹ % Pei	rvious Area	
		4,830		5.19% Impe	ervious Area	а
				•		
	Тс	Length	Slope	· Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	8.4	76	0.1121	0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.40"
	0.2	11	0.0200	0.91		Sheet Flow, B-C
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"
	0.7	147	0.0500	3.35		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps
	4.4	290	0.0488	1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, D-E
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	13.7	524	Total			

Page 28



Subcatchment 1S: XDA-1 to Design Point

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 29

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: FDA-1 to Design Point

Runoff = 4.61 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.422 af, Depth= 2.42"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=5.07"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		6,086	98	Impervious	Surfaces	
		53,183	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C	
		28,603	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C
*		3,213	96	Gravel surfa	ace drivewa	ay, HSG C
		91,085	74	Weighted A	verage	
		84,999		93.32% Per	vious Area	
		6,086		6.68% Impe	ervious Area	а
				-		
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	8.4	76	0.1121	0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.40"
	0.2	11	0.0200	0.91		Sheet Flow, B-C
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"
	0.7	147	0.0500	3.35		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps
	4.4	290	0.0488	1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, D-E
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	13.7	524	Total			

Page 30



Subcatchment 2S: FDA-1 to Design Point

Page 31

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: FDA-2 to Chambers

Runoff = 0.23 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.019 af, Depth= 4.83"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=5.07"



Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=5.07"

Page 32

Summary for Subcatchment 8S: Existing Condition to Design Point

Runoff = 4.71 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.431 af, Depth= 2.42"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=5.07"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
*		4,830	98	Impervious	Surfaces	
		53,418	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C	
		29,951	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C
*		4,891	96	Gravel surfa	ace drivewa	ay, HSG C
		93,090	74	Weighted A	verage	
		88,260		94.8 ¹ % Per	vious Area	
		4,830		5.19% Impe	ervious Area	а
				•		
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(I	min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	8.4	76	0.1121	0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.40"
	0.2	11	0.0200	0.91		Sheet Flow, B-C
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"
	0.7	147	0.0500	3.35		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps
	4.4	290	0.0488	1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, D-E
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	13.7	524	Total			
Page 33



Subcatchment 8S: Existing Condition to Design Point

Page 34

Summary for Subcatchment 9S: Future Condition Drainage Area to Design Point

Runoff = 4.89 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.446 af, Depth= 2.51"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=5.07"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description	Description											
*		8,091	98	Impervious	Surfaces											
		53,183	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C											
		28,603	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C												
* 3,213 96 Gravel surface driveway, HSG C																
		93,090	75	Weighted A	verage											
		84,999		91.31% Pei	rvious Area											
		8,091		8.69% Impe	ervious Area	а										
				•												
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description										
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)) (ft/sec)	(cfs)											
	8.4	76	0.1121	0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B										
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.40"										
	0.2	11	0.0200	0.91		Sheet Flow, B-C										
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"										
	0.7	147	0.0500) 3.35		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D										
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps										
	4.4	290	0.0488	3 1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, D-E										
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps										
	13.7	524	Total													

Page 35



Subcatchment 9S: Future Condition Drainage Area to Design Point

Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=5.07"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 36

Summary for Reach 4R: Reach 1 Lawn

 Inflow Area =
 0.046 ac,100.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 2.70" for 10-year event

 Inflow =
 0.23 cfs @
 12.10 hrs, Volume=
 0.010 af

 Outflow =
 0.14 cfs @
 12.29 hrs, Volume=
 0.010 af, Atten= 37%, Lag= 10.9 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Max. Velocity= 0.23 fps, Min. Travel Time= 5.6 min Avg. Velocity = 0.05 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 24.4 min

Peak Storage= 48 cf @ 12.19 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.05', Surface Width= 13.95' Bank-Full Depth= 0.10' Flow Area= 1.4 sf, Capacity= 0.49 cfs

12.00' x 0.10' deep channel, n= 0.240 Sheet flow over Dense Grass Side Slope Z-value= 20.0 '/' Top Width= 16.00' Length= 76.0' Slope= 0.0829 '/' Inlet Invert= 97.30', Outlet Invert= 91.00'



Reach 4R: Reach 1 Lawn



Page 37



Reach 4R: Reach 1 Lawn

Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=5.07"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 38

Summary for Reach 5R: Reach 2 Woods

 Inflow Area =
 0.046 ac,100.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 2.70" for 10-year event

 Inflow =
 0.14 cfs @
 12.29 hrs, Volume=
 0.010 af

 Outflow =
 0.11 cfs @
 12.54 hrs, Volume=
 0.010 af, Atten= 25%, Lag= 15.1 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Max. Velocity= 0.10 fps, Min. Travel Time= 7.5 min Avg. Velocity = 0.02 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 36.6 min

Peak Storage= 48 cf @ 12.41 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.07', Surface Width= 17.73' Bank-Full Depth= 0.10' Flow Area= 1.7 sf, Capacity= 0.21 cfs

15.00' x 0.10' deep channel, n= 0.400 Sheet flow: Woods+light brush Side Slope Z-value= 20.0 '/' Top Width= 19.00' Length= 43.0' Slope= 0.0267 '/' Inlet Invert= 90.90', Outlet Invert= 89.75'



Reach 5R: Reach 2 Woods



Page 39

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Stage-Storage 0.1 Storage 0.095 0.09 0.085 0.08 0.075 0.07-0.065 0.06 0.06-0.055-0.05-0.045-0.04-0.04 0.035-0.03 0.025 0.02 0.015 0.01 0.005 0-5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 ò Storage (cubic-feet)

Reach 5R: Reach 2 Woods

Inflow Area =

Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=5.07"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 40

Summary for Reach 6R: Reach 3 Intermittent Channel

0.046 ac,100.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.70" for 10-year event Inflow 0.11 cfs @ 12.54 hrs, Volume= 0.010 af = Outflow 0.10 cfs @ 12.68 hrs, Volume= 0.010 af, Atten= 7%, Lag= 8.5 min = Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Max. Velocity= 0.82 fps, Min. Travel Time= 3.8 min Avg. Velocity = 0.81 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 3.8 min Peak Storage= 23 cf @ 12.62 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.03', Surface Width= 4.12' Bank-Full Depth= 3.00' Flow Area= 30.0 sf, Capacity= 367.70 cfs 4.00' x 3.00' deep channel, n= 0.040 Earth, cobble bottom, clean sides Side Slope Z-value= 2.0 '/' Top Width= 16.00' Length= 184.0' Slope= 0.0527 '/' Inlet Invert= 89.70', Outlet Invert= 80.00' **Reach 6R: Reach 3 Intermittent Channel** Hydrograph Inflow 0.12 Outflow 0.11 cfs 0.115 Inflow Area=0.046 ac 0.11 0.105 0.10 cfs Avg. Flow Depth=0.03' 0.1 0.095 0.09 Max Vel=0.82 fps 0.085 0.08n=0.040 0.075 <u>ද</u> 0.07 0.065 L=184.0' 0.06 0.055 S=0.0527 '/' 0.05 0.045 Capacity=367.70 cfs 0.04 0.035 0.03 0.025 0.02 0.015-0.01 0.005 0 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 Time (hours)

17 School House Rd SW Mgmt_02-16-2021*Type*Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLCHydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392© 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 41



Reach 6R: Reach 3 Intermittent Channel

Page 42

Summary for Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers

Inflow Area	=	0.046 ac,10	0.00% Impe	rvious, Inflow De	epth = 4.83	3" for 10-	year event
Inflow	=	0.23 cfs @	12.08 hrs, 1	Volume=	0.019 af		
Outflow	=	0.23 cfs @	12.10 hrs, '	Volume=	0.010 af, A	Atten= 1%,	Lag= 1.3 min
Primary	=	0.23 cfs @	12.10 hrs, '	Volume=	0.010 af		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Peak Elev= 98.60' @ 12.10 hrs Surf.Area= 462 sf Storage= 368 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 233.2 min calculated for 0.010 af (56% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 115.4 min (863.2 - 747.8)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	97.00'	92 cf	6.00'W x 26.50'L x 1.71'H Field A
			272 cf Overall - 41 cf Embedded = 231 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	97.50'	41 cf	Cultec FD C-4 x 3 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 42.0"W x 8.0"H => 1.67 sf x 8.00'L = 13.3 cf
			Overall Size= 48.0"W x 8.5"H x 8.50'L with 0.50' Overlap
			Row Length Adjustment= +0.50' x 1.67 sf x 1 rows
#3B	97.00'	175 cf	6.00'W x 50.50'L x 1.71'H Field B
			518 cf Overall - 81 cf Embedded = 437 cf x 40.0% Voids
#4B	97.50'	81 cf	Cultec FD C-4 x 6 Inside #3
			Effective Size= 42.0"W x 8.0"H => 1.67 sf x 8.00'L = 13.3 cf
			Overall Size= 48.0"W x 8.5"H x 8.50'L with 0.50' Overlap
			Row Length Adjustment= +0.50' x 1.67 sf x 1 rows
		389 cf	Total Available Storage

389 cf I otal Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard Storage Group B created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	
#1	Primary	98.53'	12.0" x 12.0" Horiz. Orifice/Grate Limited to weir flow at low heads	C= 0.600

Primary OutFlow Max=0.22 cfs @ 12.10 hrs HW=98.60' (Free Discharge) ←1=Orifice/Grate (Weir Controls 0.22 cfs @ 0.84 fps)

Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=5.07"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 43

Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers - Chamber Wizard Field A

Chamber Model = Cultec FD C-4 (Cultec Contactor® Field Drain C-4)

Effective Size= 42.0"W x 8.0"H => 1.67 sf x 8.00'L = 13.3 cf Overall Size= 48.0"W x 8.5"H x 8.50'L with 0.50' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= $+0.50' \times 1.67$ sf x 1 rows

3 Chambers/Row x 8.00' Long +0.50' Row Adjustment = 24.50' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 26.50' Base Length

1 Rows x 48.0" Wide + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 6.00' Base Width

6.0" Stone Base + 8.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Stone Cover = 1.71' Field Height

3 Chambers x 13.3 cf +0.50' Row Adjustment x 1.67 sf x 1 Rows = 40.8 cf Chamber Storage

271.6 cf Field - 40.8 cf Chambers = 230.8 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 92.3 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 133.1 cf = 0.003 afOverall Storage Efficiency = 49.0%Overall System Size = $26.50' \times 6.00' \times 1.71'$

3 Chambers 10.1 cy Field 8.5 cy Stone





Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC

HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=5.07"

Page 44

Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers - Chamber Wizard Field B

Chamber Model = Cultec FD C-4 (Cultec Contactor® Field Drain C-4)

Effective Size= 42.0"W x 8.0"H => 1.67 sf x 8.00'L = 13.3 cf Overall Size= 48.0"W x 8.5"H x 8.50'L with 0.50' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= +0.50' x 1.67 sf x 1 rows

6 Chambers/Row x 8.00' Long +0.50' Row Adjustment = 48.50' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 50.50' Base Length

1 Rows x 48.0" Wide + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 6.00' Base Width

6.0" Stone Base + 8.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Stone Cover = 1.71' Field Height

6 Chambers x 13.3 cf +0.50' Row Adjustment x 1.67 sf x 1 Rows = 80.8 cf Chamber Storage

517.6 cf Field - 80.8 cf Chambers = 436.8 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 174.7 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 255.5 cf = 0.006 afOverall Storage Efficiency = 49.4%Overall System Size = $50.50' \times 6.00' \times 1.71'$

6 Chambers 19.2 cy Field 16.2 cy Stone



Hydrograph Inflow Primary 0.23 cfs 0.24 Inflow Area=0.046 ac 0.23 cfs 0.22 Peak Elev=98.60' 0.2 Storage=368 cf 0.18 0.16 (ct) 0.14 0.12 0.1 0.08 0.06 0.04 0.02 0-2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 22 24 26 28 Ó 20 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 Time (hours)

Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers





Page 45

Type III 24-hr 10-year Rainfall=5.07"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 46

Summary for Link 7L: Design Point

Inflow Area	a =	2.137 ac,	8.69% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 2.4	43" for 10-year event
Inflow	=	4.61 cfs @	12.19 hrs, Volume	= 0.432 af	-
Primary	=	4.61 cfs @	12.19 hrs, Volume	= 0.432 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs



Link 7L: Design Point

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC

HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

Page 47

Time span=0.00-48.00 hrs, dt=0.02 hrs, 2401 points
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN
Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment 1S: XDA-1 to Design Poin	nt Runoff Area=93,090 sf 5.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.50" Flow Length=524' Tc=13.7 min CN=74 Runoff=6.86 cfs 0.623 af
Subcatchment 2S: FDA-1 to Design Poin	nt Runoff Area=91,085 sf 6.68% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.50" Flow Length=524' Tc=13.7 min CN=74 Runoff=6.71 cfs 0.610 af
Subcatchment 3S: FDA-2 to Chambers	Runoff Area=2,005 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=6.13" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.29 cfs 0.024 af
Subcatchment 8S: Existing Condition to	Runoff Area=93,090 sf 5.19% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.50" Flow Length=524' Tc=13.7 min CN=74 Runoff=6.86 cfs 0.623 af
Subcatchment 9S: Future Condition	Runoff Area=93,090 sf 8.69% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.60" Flow Length=524' Tc=13.7 min CN=75 Runoff=7.06 cfs 0.641 af
Reach 4R: Reach 1 Lawn n=0.240	Avg. Flow Depth=0.07' Max Vel=0.28 fps Inflow=0.28 cfs 0.015 af L=76.0' S=0.0829 '/' Capacity=0.49 cfs Outflow=0.25 cfs 0.015 af
Reach 5R: Reach 2 Woods n=0.400	Avg. Flow Depth=0.10' Max Vel=0.12 fps Inflow=0.25 cfs 0.015 af L=43.0' S=0.0267 '/' Capacity=0.21 cfs Outflow=0.21 cfs 0.015 af
Reach 6R: Reach 3 Intermittent Channel n=0.040 L=1	Avg. Flow Depth=0.04' Max Vel=1.11 fps Inflow=0.21 cfs 0.015 af 184.0' S=0.0527 '/' Capacity=367.70 cfs Outflow=0.20 cfs 0.015 af
Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers	Peak Elev=98.61' Storage=370 cf Inflow=0.29 cfs 0.024 af Outflow=0.28 cfs 0.015 af
Link 7L: Design Point	Inflow=6.71 cfs 0.625 af Primary=6.71 cfs 0.625 af

Total Runoff Area = 8.548 ac Runoff Volume = 2.520 af Average Runoff Depth = 3.54" 93.06% Pervious = 7.955 ac 6.94% Impervious = 0.593 ac

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

Page 48

Summary for Subcatchment 1S: XDA-1 to Design Point

Runoff = 6.86 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.623 af, Depth= 3.50"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description	Jescription										
*		4,830	98	Impervious	Surfaces										
		53,418	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C										
		29,951	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C									
* 4,891 96 Gravel surface driveway, HSG C															
		93.090	74	Weiahted A	verade	·									
		88,260		94.81% Per	vious Area										
		4,830		5.19% Impe	ervious Area	a									
		,		•											
	Tc	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description									
(r	nin)	(feet)	(ft/ft)) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	8.4	76	0.1121	21 0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B									
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.40"									
	0.2	11	0.0200	0.91		Sheet Flow, B-C									
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"									
	0.7	147	0.0500) 3.35		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D									
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps									
	4.4	290	0.0488	3 1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, D-E									
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps									
	13.7	524	Total												

Page 49



Subcatchment 1S: XDA-1 to Design Point

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 50

Summary for Subcatchment 2S: FDA-1 to Design Point

Runoff = 6.71 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.610 af, Depth= 3.50"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description													
*		6,086	98	Impervious	Surfaces												
		53,183	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C												
		28,603	74	>75% Gras	′5% Grass cover, Good, HSG C												
*		3,213	3 96 Gravel surface driveway, HSG C														
		91,085	74	Weighted A	verage												
		84,999		93.32% Per	vious Area												
		6,086		6.68% Impe	ervious Area	a											
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description											
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)												
	8.4	76	0.1121	.1 0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B											
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.40"											
	0.2	11	0.0200	0.91		Sheet Flow, B-C											
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"											
	0.7	147	0.0500) 3.35		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D											
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps											
	4.4	290	0.0488	3 1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, D-E											
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps											
	13.7	524	Total														

Page 51



Subcatchment 2S: FDA-1 to Design Point

Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

Page 52

Summary for Subcatchment 3S: FDA-2 to Chambers

Runoff = 0.29 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.024 af, Depth= 6.13"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

	Ar	ea (sf)	10	N	De	scri	iptic	n																	
		2,0	05	9	8	Un	icon	nec	cted	l pa	ven	nent	t, H	SG	В											
		2,0	05			10	0.00)%	Imp	erv	ious	s Ar	ea													
		2,0	05			10	0.00)%	Uno	conr	nec	ted														
	та	ام	ath	0	lan	- ·		o oit		<u> </u>		4 . /		oori	ntin	-										
(m	in)	Ler (f	igin eet)	3	(ft/f	e h	(ft/	Sec	y)	Cap	aci (cf	ιy s)	De	SCH	ριιο	n i										
	6 0		001)			<u>.</u>	(14	000)		(01)	<u>.</u>	Dir	ect	En	trv.										
Subcatchment 3S: FDA-2 to Chambers																										
											Ну	drog	Irap	h												
	0.32-	\bigwedge																								Pupoff
	0.3	\square				(0.29 cfs	3																		
	0.28	\square																	-	Гу	be		24	1-h	r	
	0.26	\square													-	25.	VC	ar	R	air	hfa	11=	-6	37		
	0.24																yc			an	110					
	0.22															ĸ	un	ΟΤ	ΤΑ	re	a=	2,	UU	5 5	ST	
	0.2														Ru	inc	off	V	ρlu	Im	e=	0.0	02 [,]	4 a	f	
cfs)	0.18]									Ru	nc	ff	De	n	h=	=6	13		
ت م	0.16																Ň						~			
Ę	0.14																			l	C=	-6.	<u>U</u>	m	n	
	0.12								-	-	-				-						-	(CN	=9	8	
	0.1																									
	0.08								-	-	-				-						-					
	0.06																									
	0.04							\rightarrow	<u> </u>																	
	0.02						1	<u>V</u>	Ŵ								////	111		////						
	-0 0) 2	4	(- 1/1- 8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24	26	28	30	32	34	36	38	40	42	44	46	48	
												Time	(ho	urs)												

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Summary for Subcatchment 8S: Existing Condition to Design Point

Runoff = 6.86 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.623 af, Depth= 3.50"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description	escription												
*		4,830	98	Impervious	Surfaces												
		53,418	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C												
		29,951	74	>75% Gras	75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C												
*		4,891 96 Gravel surface driveway, HSG C															
		93,090	74	Weighted A	verage												
		88,260		94.81% Per	vious Area												
		4,830		5.19% Impe	ervious Area	а											
			'														
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Description												
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)) (ft/sec)	(cfs)												
	8.4	76	0.1121	0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B											
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.40"											
	0.2	11	0.0200	0.91		Sheet Flow, B-C											
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"											
	0.7	147	0.0500) 3.35		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D											
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps											
	4.4	290	0.0488	3 1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, D-E											
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps											
	13.7	524	Total														

Page 53

Page 54



Subcatchment 8S: Existing Condition to Design Point

Summary for Subcatchment 9S: Future Condition Drainage Area to Design Point

Runoff = 7.06 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.641 af, Depth= 3.60"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

	A	rea (sf)	CN	Description	Description												
*		8,091	98	Impervious	Surfaces												
		53,183	70	Woods, Go	od, HSG C												
		28,603	74	>75% Gras	75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C												
* 3,213 96 Gravel surface driveway, HSG C																	
_		93,090	75	Weighted A	verage												
		84,999		91.31% Pei	vious Area												
		8,091		8.69% Impe	ervious Area	а											
		-,															
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description											
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)) (ft/sec)	(cfs)												
	8.4	76	0.1121	1 0.15		Sheet Flow, A-B											
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.40"											
	0.2	11	0.0200	0.91		Sheet Flow, B-C											
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.40"											
	0.7	147	0.0500) 3.35		Shallow Concentrated Flow, C-D											
						Grassed Waterway Kv= 15.0 fps											
	4.4	290	0.0488	3 1.10	Shallow Concentrated Flow, D-E												
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps											
	13.7	524	Total														

Page 55

Page 56



Subcatchment 9S: Future Condition Drainage Area to Design Point

Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 57

Summary for Reach 4R: Reach 1 Lawn

 Inflow Area =
 0.046 ac,100.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 4.00" for 25-year event

 Inflow =
 0.28 cfs @
 12.09 hrs, Volume=
 0.015 af

 Outflow =
 0.25 cfs @
 12.22 hrs, Volume=
 0.015 af, Atten=

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Max. Velocity= 0.28 fps, Min. Travel Time= 4.6 min Avg. Velocity = 0.06 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 22.0 min

Peak Storage= 68 cf @ 12.14 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.07', Surface Width= 14.70' Bank-Full Depth= 0.10' Flow Area= 1.4 sf, Capacity= 0.49 cfs

12.00' x 0.10' deep channel, n= 0.240 Sheet flow over Dense Grass Side Slope Z-value= 20.0 '/' Top Width= 16.00' Length= 76.0' Slope= 0.0829 '/' Inlet Invert= 97.30', Outlet Invert= 91.00'







Page 58



Reach 4R: Reach 1 Lawn

Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 59

Summary for Reach 5R: Reach 2 Woods

[55] Hint: Peak inflow is 120% of Manning's capacity

Inflow Ar	ea =	0.046 ac,100.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 4.00" for 25-year event
Inflow	=	0.25 cfs @ 12.22 hrs, Volume=	= 0.015 af
Outflow	=	0.21 cfs @ 12.39 hrs, Volume=	e 0.015 af, Atten= 17%, Lag= 10.2 min

Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Max. Velocity= 0.12 fps, Min. Travel Time= 5.9 min Avg. Velocity = 0.02 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 33.3 min

Peak Storage= 73 cf @ 12.29 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.10', Surface Width= 19.00' Bank-Full Depth= 0.10' Flow Area= 1.7 sf, Capacity= 0.21 cfs

15.00' x 0.10' deep channel, n= 0.400 Sheet flow: Woods+light brush Side Slope Z-value= 20.0 '/' Top Width= 19.00' Length= 43.0' Slope= 0.0267 '/' Inlet Invert= 90.90', Outlet Invert= 89.75'



Page 60



Reach 5R: Reach 2 Woods

Inflow Area =

Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 61

Summary for Reach 6R: Reach 3 Intermittent Channel

0.046 ac,100.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 4.00" for 25-year event Inflow 0.21 cfs @ 12.39 hrs, Volume= 0.015 af = Outflow 0.20 cfs @ 12.47 hrs, Volume= 0.015 af, Atten= 4%, Lag= 5.0 min = Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Max. Velocity= 1.11 fps, Min. Travel Time= 2.8 min Avg. Velocity = 0.82 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 3.7 min Peak Storage= 33 cf @ 12.42 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.04', Surface Width= 4.18' Bank-Full Depth= 3.00' Flow Area= 30.0 sf, Capacity= 367.70 cfs 4.00' x 3.00' deep channel, n= 0.040 Earth, cobble bottom, clean sides Side Slope Z-value= 2.0 '/' Top Width= 16.00' Length= 184.0' Slope= 0.0527 '/' Inlet Invert= 89.70', Outlet Invert= 80.00' **Reach 6R: Reach 3 Intermittent Channel** Hydrograph Inflow 0.23 Outflow 0.21 cfs 0.22 Inflow Area=0.046 ac 0.21 0.20 c 0.2 Avg. Flow Depth=0.04' 0.19 0.18 Max Vel=1.11 fps 0.17 0.16 0.15 n=0.040 0.14 (**5**) 0.13 L=184.0' 0.12-Flow 0.11 S=0.0527 '/' 0.1 0.09 Capacity=367.70 cfs 0.08-0.07 0.06 0.05 0.04-0.03 0.02 0.01 0 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 Time (hours)

17 School House Rd SW Mgmt_02-16-2021*Type*Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLCHydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392© 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 62



Reach 6R: Reach 3 Intermittent Channel

Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 63

Summary for Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers

Inflow Area	ı =	0.046 ac,10	0.00% Impe	ervious, Inflow De	epth = 6	6.13" fo	r 25-year event
Inflow	=	0.29 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	0.024 a	ıf	
Outflow	=	0.28 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume=	0.015 a	If, Atten=	1%, Lag= 0.6 min
Primary	=	0.28 cfs @	12.09 hrs,	Volume=	0.015 a	ıf	-

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Peak Elev= 98.61' @ 12.09 hrs Surf.Area= 462 sf Storage= 370 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 200.4 min calculated for 0.015 af (65% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 97.3 min (841.5 - 744.3)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	97.00'	92 cf	6.00'W x 26.50'L x 1.71'H Field A
			272 cf Overall - 41 cf Embedded = 231 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	97.50'	41 cf	Cultec FD C-4 x 3 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 42.0"W x 8.0"H => 1.67 sf x 8.00'L = 13.3 cf
			Overall Size= 48.0"W x 8.5"H x 8.50'L with 0.50' Overlap
			Row Length Adjustment= +0.50' x 1.67 sf x 1 rows
#3B	97.00'	175 cf	6.00'W x 50.50'L x 1.71'H Field B
			518 cf Overall - 81 cf Embedded = 437 cf x 40.0% Voids
#4B	97.50'	81 cf	Cultec FD C-4 x 6 Inside #3
			Effective Size= 42.0"W x 8.0"H => 1.67 sf x 8.00'L = 13.3 cf
			Overall Size= 48.0"W x 8.5"H x 8.50'L with 0.50' Overlap
			Row Length Adjustment= +0.50' x 1.67 sf x 1 rows
		389 cf	Total Available Storage

389 cf Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard Storage Group B created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	
#1	Primary	98.53'	12.0" x 12.0" Horiz. Orifice/Grate Limited to weir flow at low heads	C= 0.600

Primary OutFlow Max=0.28 cfs @ 12.09 hrs HW=98.61' (Free Discharge) ←1=Orifice/Grate (Weir Controls 0.28 cfs @ 0.91 fps)

Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 64

Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers - Chamber Wizard Field A

Chamber Model = Cultec FD C-4 (Cultec Contactor® Field Drain C-4)

Effective Size= 42.0"W x 8.0"H => 1.67 sf x 8.00'L = 13.3 cf Overall Size= 48.0"W x 8.5"H x 8.50'L with 0.50' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= $+0.50' \times 1.67$ sf x 1 rows

3 Chambers/Row x 8.00' Long +0.50' Row Adjustment = 24.50' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 26.50' Base Length

1 Rows x 48.0" Wide + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 6.00' Base Width

6.0" Stone Base + 8.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Stone Cover = 1.71' Field Height

3 Chambers x 13.3 cf +0.50' Row Adjustment x 1.67 sf x 1 Rows = 40.8 cf Chamber Storage

271.6 cf Field - 40.8 cf Chambers = 230.8 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 92.3 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 133.1 cf = 0.003 afOverall Storage Efficiency = 49.0%Overall System Size = $26.50' \times 6.00' \times 1.71'$

3 Chambers 10.1 cy Field 8.5 cy Stone





Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

Page 65

Pond 4P: Cultec C-4 Chambers - Chamber Wizard Field B

Chamber Model = Cultec FD C-4 (Cultec Contactor® Field Drain C-4)

Effective Size= 42.0"W x 8.0"H => 1.67 sf x 8.00'L = 13.3 cf Overall Size= 48.0"W x 8.5"H x 8.50'L with 0.50' Overlap Row Length Adjustment= $+0.50' \times 1.67$ sf x 1 rows

6 Chambers/Row x 8.00' Long +0.50' Row Adjustment = 48.50' Row Length +12.0" End Stone x 2 = 50.50' Base Length

1 Rows x 48.0" Wide + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 6.00' Base Width

6.0" Stone Base + 8.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Stone Cover = 1.71' Field Height

6 Chambers x 13.3 cf +0.50' Row Adjustment x 1.67 sf x 1 Rows = 80.8 cf Chamber Storage

517.6 cf Field - 80.8 cf Chambers = 436.8 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 174.7 cf Stone Storage

Chamber Storage + Stone Storage = 255.5 cf = 0.006 afOverall Storage Efficiency = 49.4%Overall System Size = $50.50' \times 6.00' \times 1.71'$

6 Chambers 19.2 cy Field 16.2 cy Stone



Page 66

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Hydrograph Inflow 0.32 Primary 0.29 cfs 0.28 cfs Inflow Area=0.046 ac 0.3 0.28 Peak Elev=98.61' 0.26 0.24 Storage=370 cf 0.22 0.2 Flow (cfs) 0.18 0.16 0.14 0.12 0.1 0.08 0.06 0.04 0.02 0-2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 22 24 26 28 Ó 20 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 Time (hours)







Type III 24-hr 25-year Rainfall=6.37"

Prepared by ALP Engineering & Land. Arch. PLLC HydroCAD® 10.10-4b s/n 03392 © 2020 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 67

Summary for Link 7L: Design Point

Inflow Area	a =	2.137 ac,	8.69% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 3.5	51" for 25-year event
Inflow	=	6.71 cfs @	12.19 hrs, Volume	= 0.625 af	-
Primary	=	6.71 cfs @	12.19 hrs, Volume	= 0.625 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs



Link 7L: Design Point



Peter Email 2019-08-19.dwg


MEMORANDUM

TO:	Chairperson Janet Andersen and Members of Lewisboro Planning Board
CC:	Ciorsdan Conran Judson Siebert, Esq. Joseph Angiello
FROM:	Jan K. Johannessen, AICP Joseph M. Cermele, P.E., CFM Town Consulting Professionals
DATE:	February 18, 2021
RE:	Wetland Permit Approval Lara Gorton 22 Gilbert Road Sheet 36F, Block 10806, Lot 24

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The subject property consists of ±0.52 acres of land and is located at 22 Gilbert Street within the R-1/4A Zoning District. The subject property is located on Truesdale Lake and is developed with a residence, dock, gravel driveway, septic system, sea wall. The owner was issued a Wetland Permit in 2009 (Permit No. 46-09 W.P.), which included a renovation to the residence, removal and reconfiguration of the driveway, related site work and the installation of a rain garden as mitigation for stormwater runoff. While the majority of the work has been completed, the Wetland Permit was left open and this office was recently asked to inspect the property in an attempt to close the permit. Upon our inspection, we observed that the majority of the work was completed per plan; however, the rain garden was never installed and it appears that the sea wall along the lake was reconstructed and reconfigured, which was not part of the original application.

CIVIL ENGINEERING | LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE | SITE & ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING

Chairperson Janet Andersen February 18, 2021 Page 2 of 3

<u>SEQRA</u>

The proposed action has been preliminarily identified as a Type II Action and is therefore categorically exempt from the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA).

REQUIRED APPROVALS/REFERRALS

1. A Wetland Activity Permit is required from the Planning Board; a public hearing is required to be held on the Wetland Permit.

COMMENTS

- 1. The previously issued Wetland Permit and plan should be provided to the Planning Board for review.
- 2. Provide a construction detail for the seawall that was installed without authorization.
- 3. The plan shall illustrate and quantify the limits of disturbance (s.f.), including construction access. The plan shall note that disturbance limits shall be staked in the field prior to construction.
- 4. Rain garden sizing calculations shall be provided and shall follow the NYS Stormwater Design Manual, accounting for ponding, soil media and gravel layer volumes.
- 5. The applicant shall perform a percolation test in the vicinity of the proposed rain garden; test results should appear on the plan.
- 6. The rain garden should include a stabilized overflow.
- 7. It appears that access to the dock could be affected by the proposed mitigation/planting area. It is recommended that the planting area be extend to the south along the lake edge.

In order to expedite the review of subsequent submissions, the applicant should provide annotated responses to each of the comments outlined herein.

PLAN REVIEWED, PREPARED BY BENEDEK & TICEHURST, DATED JANUARY 14, 2021:

Wetland Mitigation Plan (Drawing Number WL-1)

Chairperson Janet Andersen February 18, 2021 Page 3 of 3

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED:

- Cover Letter, prepared by Benedek & Ticehurst, Landscape Architects & Site Planners, P.C., dated January 19, 2021
- Project Narrative, prepared by Benedek & Ticehurst, Landscape Architects & Site Planners, P.C., dated January 19, 2021
- Town of Lewisboro Wetland Permit Application
- Deed
- Letter of Authorization on behalf of Benedek & Ticehurst, prepared by Property Owner

JKJ/dc

https://kellardsessionsconsulti.sharepoint.com/sites/Kellard/Municipal/Lewisboro/Correspondence/2021-02-18_LWPB_Gorton - 22 Gilbert Road_Review Memo.docx

BENEDEK & TICEHURST LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS & SITE PLANNERS, P.C.

January 19, 2021

Town of Lewisboro Planning Board 79 Bouton Road South Salem, N.Y. 10590

Re: Gorton Residence 22 Gilbert Street, South Salem, N.Y.

Dear Chair Anderson and Planning Board Members,

We are formally requesting a Wetlands Approval to close out an existing wetlands permit. The original wetlands permit was issued in 2009 and included renovations to the existing residence and associated site work including the removal and restoration of the existing asphalt driveway to the north, converting the existing asphalt driveway to the south to gravel and installing a rain garden.

The above approved work was completed with the exception of the rain garden, which we have designed as a part of this application. Also included in this application is additional wetland buffer planting (approximately 450 sq. ft.) to mitigate an area of sea wall that was filled in (approximately 200 sq. ft.) without a permit.

In closing, we believe that these improvements address the conditions of the existing wetlands permit and will provide s benefit to the environment. We look forward to presenting this application at the February 23rd Planning Board meeting. Please contact me in the meantime if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Glenn Ticehurst, RLA, ASLA

cc: Jan Johannessen Lara Gorton

Application	No.:		
Fee:		Date:	

TOWN OF LEWISBORO WETLAND PERMIT APPLICATION

79 Bouton Road, South Salem, NY 10590 Phone: (914) 763-5592 Fax: (914) 875-9148

Applicant's Address: <u>448H Old Post Rd., Bedford, N.Y. 10506</u> Email: <u>glenn@btlandarch.com</u>

 Agent's Name (if applicable):
 Phone:

 Agent's Address:

TO BE COMPLETED BY OWNER/APPLICANT

What type of Wetland Permit is required? (see §217-5C and §217-5D of the Town Code)

🗆 Administrative	🗹 Planning Board
------------------	------------------

Is the project located within the NYCDEP Watershed?
☑ Yes □ No

Total area of proposed disturbance: 🗹 < 5,000 s.f. □ 5,000 s.f. - < 1 acre □ ≥1 acre

Does the proposed action require any other permits/approvals from other agencies/departments? (Planning Board, Town Board, Zoning Board of Appeals, Building Department, Town Highway, ACARC, NYSDEC, NYCDEP, WCDOH, NYSDOT, etc): Identify all other permits/approvals required:

Note: Initially, all applications shall be submitted with a plan that illustrates the existing conditions and proposed improvements. Said plan must include a line which encircles the total area of proposed land disturbance and the approximate area of disturbance must be calculated (square feet). The Planning Board and/or Town Wetland Inspector may require additional materials, information, reports and plans, as determined necessary, to review and evaluate the proposed action. If the proposed action requires a Planning Board Wetland Permit, the application materials outlined under §217-7 of the Town Code must be submitted, unless waived by the Planning Board. The Planning Board may establish an initial escrow deposit to cover the cost of application/plan review and inspections conducted by the Town's consultants.

For administrative wetland permits, see attached Administrative Wetland Permit Fee Schedule.

Owner Signature:

Date: <u>VS/21</u>

TOWN OF LEWISBORO PLANNING BOARD

79 Bouton Road, South Salem, NY 10590 Email: <u>nlanning@lewishorogov.com</u> Tel: (914) 763-5592 Fax: (914) 875-9148

Tax Payment Affidavit Requirement

This form must accompany all applications to the Planning Board.

Under regulations adopted by the Town of Lewisboro, the Planning Board may not accept any application unless an affidavit from the Town of Lewisboro Receiver of Taxes is on file in the Planning Board office. The affidavit must show that all amounts due to the Town of Lewisboro as real estate taxes and special assessments on the total area encompassed by the application, together with all penalties and interest thereon, have been paid.

Under New York State law, the Westchester County Clerk may not accept any subdivision map for filing unless the same type of affidavit from the Town of Lewisboro Receiver of Taxes is submitted by the applicant at the time of filing.

This form must be completed by the applicant and must accompany all applications to the Planning Board. Upon receipt, the Planning Board Secretary will send the form to the Receiver of Taxes for signature and notarization. If preferred, the applicant may directly obtain the signature of the Receiver of Taxes and notarization prior to submission.

To Be Completed by Applicant (Please type or print)				
Glenn Ticehurst, RLA, ASLA 22 Gilbert Street Wetlands Permit				
Name of Applicant Project Name				
Property Description Property Assessed to:		Property Assessed to:		
Tax Block(s):	10806	Lara Gorton		
Tax Lot(s):	24	Name 22 Gilbert Street		
Tax Sheet(s):	036F	Address South Salem	N.Y.	10590
		City	State	Zip

The undersigned, being duly sworn deposes and says that a search of the tax records in the office of the Receiver of Taxes, Town of Lewisboro, reveals that all amounts due to the Town of Lewisboro as real estate taxes and special assessments, together with all penalties and interest thereon, affecting the premises described below, have been paid.

Signature - Receiver of Taxes Date Sworn to before me this A day of O JANET L. DONOHUE NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF NEW YORK No. 01D06259627 Qualified in Westchester County Commission Expires April 16, 2020 Signature - Notary Public (affix stamp)

TOWN OF LEWISBORO PLANNING BOARD

79 Bouton Road, South Salem, NY 10590 Email: <u>planning@lewisborogov.com</u> Tel: (914) 763-5592 Fax: (914) 875-9148

Affidavit of Ownership

State of : _	New York	
County of: _	Westchester	
		,
	Lara Gorton	, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he/she
resides at	22 Gilbert Street, South Salem, I	N.Y.
in the County o	f_Westchester	, State of <u>New York</u>
and that he/sh	e is (check one) 🗸 the owner, or	the
of	, <u> </u>	Title
01Na	me of corporation, partnership, or o	ther legal entity
which is the ow	vner, in fee of all that certain log, pie	ece or parcel of land situated, lying and being in the
Town of Lewis	boro, New York, aforesaid and know	v and designated on the Tax Map in the Town of
Lewisboro as:		
Block	10806 , Lot 24	, on Sheet <u>036F</u>
		(Onten
	Owner	's Signature
Sworn to befo	re me this	
<u>05</u> day o	f <u>Jan</u> ,	2 <u>021</u> Notary Public, State of New York No. 01SO6170885 Qualified in Kings County Commission Expires July 23, 2023

Lara Gorton 22 Gilbert Street South Salem, N.Y. 10590

January 6, 2021

Town of Lewisboro 79 Bouton Road South Salem, N.Y. 10590

To Whom It Concerns:

I hereby authorize Benedek & Ticehurst, Landscape Architects and Site Planners, P.C. to represent me as the applicant in all aspects of our submission to the Planning Board and all other boards and commissions.

Sincerely,

Zatu

Lara Gorton





MEMORANDUM

TO:	Chairperson Janet Andersen and Members of Lewisboro Planning Board
CC:	Ciorsdan Conran Judson Siebert, Esq. Joseph Angiello
FROM:	Jan K. Johannessen, AICP Joseph M. Cermele, P.E., CFM Town Consulting Professionals
DATE:	February 18, 2021
RE:	Wetland Permit Approval Ted and Janice Strauss 399 Pound Ridge Road Sheet 29B, Block 10510, Lot 64

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The subject property consists of ± 2.58 acres of land and is located at 399 Pound Ridge Road (Route 124) within the R-2A Zoning District. The subject property is developed with a residence, two (2) garages, and a workshop structure; the residence is listed on the Register of Historic Places. The applicant is proposing to remove an existing 10' x 10' wood deck and construct a new 17' x 12', one (1) story frame Sunroom and a new 10' x 12' wood deck adjacent to the existing workshop. There is a stream running west along the southerly end of the property that runs under the workshop.

<u>SEQRA</u>

The proposed action has been preliminarily identified as a Type II Action and is therefore categorically exempt from the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA).

CIVIL ENGINEERING | LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE | SITE & ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING

Chairperson Janet Andersen February 18, 2021 Page 2 of 3

REQUIRED APPROVALS/REFERRALS

1. A Wetland Activity Permit is required from the Planning Board; a public hearing is required to be held on the Wetland Permit.

COMMENTS

- 1. The applicant shall sign and date the submitted Wetland Permit Application; the application shall be revised to identify that the wetland permit is jurisdictional to the Planning Board (not administrative) and that the property is located within the NYCPEP watershed. The applicant also submitted a Site Development Plan application, which is not required and can be disregarded.
- 2. When weather permits, the applicant should contact this office to schedule a site visit.
- 3. This office defers review of the plan for zoning compliance to the Building Inspector. It is recommended that the application be referred to the Building Inspector for review.
- 4. The plan shall be revised to illustrate and dimension all required minimum zoning setbacks lines (front, rear, side yard setbacks). The Bulk Zoning Table is incorrect and shall be reviewed for accuracy.
- 5. The applicant shall submit an updated Existing Conditions Survey, signed and sealed by a NYS Licensed Land Surveyor. The updated survey should become the basis for the site plan.
- 6. Provide a floor plan for the existing woodshop and proposed building and identify use of same.
- 7. The plan shall illustrate the location of all existing and proposed utilities (electric, water, sewer, gas, etc.).
- 8. The plan shall illustrate and identify the location, specie type and diameter at breast height (dbh) of all trees with a dbh of eight (8) inches or greater and located within the limits of disturbance and 25 feet beyond. Indicate trees to be removed and/or protected. If no trees are proposed to be removed, a note to this effect shall be added to the plan.
- 9. The applicant shall provide stormwater mitigation and design calculations for the runoff generated by the existing workshop and proposed building addition for the 25-year, 24-hour storm event. Roof leader downspouts and connection to the infiltration system shall be illustrated. Provide details of the stormwater mitigation system. The applicant shall demonstrate that all applicable Westchester County Department of Health (WCHD) separation distances are satisfied.

Chairperson Janet Andersen February 18, 2021 Page 3 of 3

- 10. Deep and percolation soil test shall be conducted in the area of the proposed stormwater infiltration system which shall be witnessed by this office.
- 11. The existing septic fields shall be shown to be cordoned off with construction fence during construction.
- 12. The Planting Plan submitted by the applicant appears acceptable. Portions of the stream is conveyed via a culvert; the applicant should evaluate whether the stream can be uncovered as part of the proposed mitigation plan.
- 13. All plans shall be signed/sealed by the Design Professional.
- 14. The names of the adjacent property owners and the location of any neighboring driveways, structures, buildings, wells and septic areas shall appear on the plan.

In order to expedite the review of subsequent submissions, the applicant should provide annotated responses to each of the comments outlined herein.

PLANS REVIEWED, PREPARED BY CROSS RIVER ARCHITECTS, LLC, DATED JANUARY 14, 2021:

- Site Plan Drawing (A/1)
- Enlarged Site Plan with Contours (Drawing A/1.1)
- Foundation & Roof Framing Plans (Drawing A/2)
- Proposed Floor Plan & Electric Plan (Drawing A/3)
- Deck & Sunroom Front/Rear Elevations (Drawing A/4)
- Deck & Sunroom Left/Right Elevations (Drawing A/5)

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED:

- Cover Letter, prepared by Cross River Architects, LLC, dated January 14, 2021
- Town of Lewisboro Wetland Permit Application
- Short Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) Part 1
- Deed

JKJ/dc

https://kellardsessionsconsulti.sharepoint.com/sites/Kellard/Municipal/Lewisboro/Correspondence/2021-02-18_LWPB_Strauss - 399 Pound Ridge Road_Review Memo.docx

TO:	The Town of Lewisboro Planning Board
FROM:	Lewisboro Conservation Advisory Council
SUBJECT:	Strauss Residence, 399 Pound Ridge Road
	South Salem, NY 10590
DATE:	February 10, 2021

The Conservation Advisory Council (CAC) has reviewed the materials recently submitted by the applicant. The existing workshop has a stream flowing beneath it and the proposed new construction is very close to the stream. The plat included a very brief description of stormwater mitigation, wetland mitigation and steps to be taken during construction to protect the wetland.

The CAC would like to see additional details on the wetland mitigation including types of mitigation and descriptions of planting if these are part of the plan. The applicant should also provide details for the stormwater mitigation.

<u>TRANSMITTAL</u>

	DATE:	1/14/2021
RIVER ARCHITECTS,	TO:	Janet Andersen, Chair Lewisboro Planning Board
LLC	FROM:	Bob Eberts Cross River Architects, LLC
ROBERT J. EBERTS, R.A. PRINCIPAL	RE:	Strauss Sunroom and Deck Wetland Permit Application 399 Poundridge Rd., South Salem, NY 10590

Planning Board Members:

Thank you for hearing our proposal during this challenging time. We are hereby making an application to the Lewisboro Planning Board for a Wetland Permit. We are proposing to remove an existing 10' x 10' wood deck and construct a new 17' x 12' one story frame Sunroom and a new 10' x 12' wood deck adjacent to an existing workshop. The Sunroom and Deck will be constructed on 10 concrete piers.

The property is a 2.58 acre parcel on Pound Ridge Rd. Existing on the property is a residence, two garages and a workshop structure. The residence was constructed in the 1700's and is on the State and National Registers of Historic Places. There is a stream running west along the south end of the property that runs under a corner of the workshop. Many years ago, the workshop was used a springhouse that was used to keep milk and other dairy products cool. The stream runs in a swale into and out of the workshop, then thru stone headwalls that guides it through two culverts before crossing under Pound Ridge Rd and eventually draining into the Waccabuc River.

The proposed Sunroom is within 50' of the stream and therefore we are making application to this Board for the Wetland Permit. We are not proposing to alter the stream in any way. The total disturbed is 518 sf.

Attached please find the following documents: 9 copies of this Narrative 9 copies of the Planning Board Application 9 copies of the Wetland Permit Application 9 copies of the Short Form EAF 9 copies of the affidavit of Ownership 9 copies of the affidavit of Ownership 1 CD with the documents listed above in .pdf format.

Thank you once again for your time in reviewing this proposal.

PO Box 384 19 NO. SALEM RD. 2nd FL. CROSS RIVER, NY 10518 914.763.5887 Email RJE@CRARCH.com

CDOCC

Application N	lo.:
Fee	Date:

TOWN OF LEWISBORO WETLAND PERMIT APPLICATION

79 Bouton Road, South Salem, NY 10590 Phone: (914) 763-5592 Fax: (914) 875-9148

Project Address:	
Sheet: Block: Lot(s):	_
Project Description (Identify the improvements prop approximate amount of wetland/wetland buffer distu	posed within the wetland/wetland buffer and the rbance):
Owner's Name:	Phone:
Owner's Address:	Email:
Applicant's Name (if different):	Phone:
Applicant's Address:	Email:
Agent's Name (if applicable):	Phone:
Agent's Address:	Email:
TO BE COMPLETED BY	OWNER/APPLICANT
What type of Wetland Permit is required? (see §217-5	5C and §217-5D of the Town Code)
Administrative	Planning Board
Is the project located within the NYCDEP Watershed?	□ Yes □ No
Total area of proposed disturbance: \Box < 5,000 s.f.	□ 5,000 s.f < 1 acre □ ≥1 acre
Does the proposed action require any other perm (Planning Board, Town Board, Zoning Board of Appe NYSDEC, NYCDEP, WCDOH, NYSDOT, etc): Identify all o	nits/approvals from other agencies/departments? eals, Building Department, Town Highway, ACARC, other permits/approvals required:

Note: Initially, all applications shall be submitted with a plan that illustrates the existing conditions and proposed improvements. Said plan must include a line which encircles the total area of proposed land disturbance and the approximate area of disturbance must be calculated (square feet). The Planning Board and/or Town Wetland Inspector may require additional materials, information, reports and plans, as determined necessary, to review and evaluate the proposed action. If the proposed action requires a Planning Board Wetland Permit, the application materials outlined under §217-7 of the Town Code must be submitted, unless waived by the Planning Board. The Planning Board may establish an initial escrow deposit to cover the cost of application/plan review and inspections conducted by the Town's consultants.

For administrative wetland permits, see attached Administrative Wetland Permit Fee Schedule.

Owner Signature: _____

TOWN OF LEWISBORO PLANNING BOARD

79 Bouton Road, South Salem, NY 10590 Email: <u>planning@lewisborogov.com</u> Tel: (914) 763-5592 Fax: (914) 875-9148

Tax Payment Affidavit Requirement

This form must accompany all applications to the Planning Board.

Under regulations adopted by the Town of Lewisboro, the Planning Board may not accept any application unless an affidavit from the Town of Lewisboro Receiver of Taxes is on file in the Planning Board office. The affidavit must show that all amounts due to the Town of Lewisboro as real estate taxes and special assessments on the total area encompassed by the application, together with all penalties and interest thereon, have been paid.

Under New York State law, the Westchester County Clerk may not accept any subdivision map for filing unless the same type of affidavit from the Town of Lewisboro Receiver of Taxes is submitted by the applicant at the time of filing.

This form must be completed by the applicant and must accompany all applications to the Planning Board. Upon receipt, the Planning Board Secretary will send the form to the Receiver of Taxes for signature and notarization. If preferred, the applicant may directly obtain the signature of the Receiver of Taxes and notarization prior to submission.

To Be Completed by Applicant (Please type or print)					
Ted Strauss		Strauss Sunroom and deck			
Name of Applic	cant Project Name				
Property Description		Property Assessed to:			
Tax Block(s):	10510	Ted and Janice Strauss			
Tax Lot(s):	64	Name 399 Poundridge Rd.			
Tax Sheet(s):	29B	Address South Salem, NY 10590			
		City	State	Zip	

The undersigned, being duly sworn deposes and says that a search of the tax records in the office of the Receiver of Taxes, Town of Lewisboro, reveals that all amounts due to the Town of Lewisboro as real estate taxes and special assessments, together with all penalties and interest thereon, affecting the premises described below, have been paid.

Signature - Receiver of Taxes:

undre M

Sworn to before me this

dav of

JANET L. DONOHUE NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF NEW YORK No. 01D06259627 Qualified in Westory ster County 4 Commission Expires April 16, 2029

Signature - Notary Public (affix stamp)

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project Information

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information					
Name of Action or Project:					
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):					
Brief Description of Proposed Action:					
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Telepl	none:			
	E-Mai	1:			
Address:					
City/PO:		State:	Zip C	ode:	
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance,			N	10	YES
administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action an may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue t	d the env to questio	ironmental resources t n 2.	that		
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from an	y other go	overnmental Agency?	N	10	YES
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:	-				
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?		acres acres			
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?		acres			
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed actio	n.				
□ Urban □ Rural (non-agriculture) □ Industrial □ Com	mercial	□ Residential (suburl	ban)		
□ Forest □ Agriculture □ Aquatic □ Other	(specify):			
\Box Parkland					

5. Is the proposed action,	NO	YES	N/A		
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?					
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?					
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?					
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area? If Yes, identify:					
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES		
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?					
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed ac	tion?				
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:		NO	YES		
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	YES		
If No, describe method for providing potable water:					
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES		
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:					
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic					
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?					
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?					
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:					
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check □ Shoreline □ Forest □ Agricultural/grasslands □ Early mid-success	all that ional	apply:			
U Wetland U Urban U Suburban		NO	VEC		
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?		NO	YES		
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?		NO	YES		
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?		NO	YES		
If Yes, a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?					
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drain If Yes, briefly describe:	1s)?				

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of	NO	YES
water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?		
If Yes, explain purpose and size:		
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed	NO	YES
solid waste management facility?		
If Yes, describe:		
20 Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or	NO	VES
completed) for hazardous waste?	110	110
If Yes, describe:		
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE I	REST O	FMV
KNOWLEDGE		
Applicant/sponsor name: Date:		
Signature:		

CODE NOTES

All work shall conform to all applicable local and state Building codes including, but not limited to the 2020 NYS Building Code, 2020 NYS Residential Code, 2017 National Electric Code (NFPA 70) and any local or other codes or bodies having jurisdiction as well as highest standards of practice for each trade.

DESIGN LOADS

- 1. Soil Bearing Capacity 4000 psf
- 2. Deck Floor 40 lbs. /sf Live load, 15 lbs. dead load
- 3. Railing 50 lbs./ sf Horizontal 4. Posts – 200 lbs. horizontal
- 5. First Floor (Hardwood) 40 lbs. /sf Live load, 15 lbs. dead load
- 6. Roof 30 lbs. /sf Live load, 15 lbs. dead load 7. Wind Load – Special Wind Region – Design based on 126 mph

2

Occupancy Classification – R3

Construction Type – 5B



Proposed Construction Sequence

1. Pre-construction on-site meeting of all involved parties, including but not limited to: owner, architect, contractor responsible for erosion and sediment controls (E&SC) installation and maintenance, owner's environmental specialist

- responsible for E&SC inspections and reports.
- 2. Field delineation of limits of disturbance. 3. Field delineation of remediation area
- 4. Install down slope silt fence.
- 5. Excavate for piers and drainage basin.
- 6. Install stormwater mitigation systems. Pour concrete piers. 7. Construct sunroom and deck.
- 8. Install landscape materials, eg. walks, plant materials 9. Spread topsoil, rake, seed and mulch disturbed area.
- 10. Remove all E&SC's once site has fully stabilized.





CLIMATIC AND GEOGRAPHICAL DESIGN CRITERIA - LEWISBORO, NY													
GROUND SNOW LOAD	WIND SPEED (mph)	TOPO EFFECT	WIND- BORNE DEBRIS ZONE	SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY	SUBJ WEATHERING	ECT TO D FROST LINE DEPTH	DAMAGE FRO	M: DECAY	WINTER DESIGN TEMPERATURE	ICE SHEILD UNDERLAYMENT REQUIRED	FLOOD HAZARD	AIR FREEZING INDEX	MEAN ANNUAL TEMP
30	Special Wind Region (126 mph)	NO	NO	С	SEVERE	42"	MODERATE / HEAVY	SLIGHT/ MODERATE	7° F	YES		1500 OR LESS	51.6

NOV K O ぷ E DRAWING









SCALE: 1/2" = 1'-0"

	ELECTRICAL SYMBOL LEGEND
<u>SYMBOL</u>	DESCRIPTION
\Rightarrow	NEW DUPLEX ELECTRICAL RECEPTACLE, MATCH EXISTING
\$	LIGHT SWITCH, MATCH EXISTING, 3= 3 WAY, 4= 4 WAY SWITCHING
Þ	DIMMER SWITCH MATCH EXISTING, 3= 3 WAY, 4= 4 WAY SWITCHING
0	NEW PENDANT LIGHT FIXTURE SUPPLIED BY OWNER INSTALLED BY CONTRACTOR
\neg	WALL MOUNTED LIGHT FIXTURE SUPPLIED BY OWNER INSTALLED BY CONTRACTOR
С	CABLE OUTLET
0	NEW 6" LED RECESSED DOWN LIGHTT W/ BROWN TRIM & SLOPED CEILING ADAPTOR AND ICAT HOUSING
S	SMOKE DETECTOR HARD WIRED 10 YEAR BATTERY BACK-U INTERCONNECTED
CM	CARBON MONOXIDE DETECTOR, HARD WIRED 10 YEAR BATTERY BACK-UP
N	NEW
E	EXISTING TO REMAIN
REL	RELOCATE
EXT	WEATHERPROOF HOUSING
GFI	GROUND FAULT INTERUPT
NOTES:	
1)	PROVIDE NEW SMOKE AND CARBON MONOXIDE DETECTOR.
2)	PATCH ALL SURFACES DAMAGED BY CONSTRUCTION TO MATCH ADJACENT SURFACES
3)	INSTALL ALL APPLIANCES, LIGHT FIXTURES AND OTHER ELECTRICAL DEVISES IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
4)	CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL RECESSED LIGHT FIXTURES AND THEY SHALL BE DIMMABLE 3000k LED FIXTURES WITH BROWN TRIM
5)	ALL LIGHT FIXTURES SHALL BE HAVE 3000K LED LAMPS. WATTAGE TO MATCH FIXTURE RECCOMENDATIONS
6)	OWNER SHALL PROVIDE ALL SURFACE MOUNTED LIGHT



S I 914.763.58 VX 914.763.84 ARCHITEC AL PAL DSS RI EBERTS R.A., F RD. N.Y P.O. BOX 384 19 NO. SALEM F CROSS RIVER, CRO SNOI \triangleleft /REAR ELEV MO SUNRO RON IROOM | scale ₩ RD. DE SUNI STRAUSS [399 POUND RID LEWISBORO, NY ₩- \leq \cup DRAWING









SECTION THRU DECK



GENERAL PLANTING NOTES:

1. VERIFY ANY BURIED UTILITIES.

2. PLANTING TO BE CARRIED-OUT BETWEEN APRIL 15 TO JUNE 1 AND AUG. 15 TO NOV.1 (UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE TOWN OF LEWISBORD WETLAND INSPECTOR.

3. PLANTS ARE TO BE INSTALLED AS DEPICTED ON THE PLAN, AS IS FEASIBLE.

- 4. PLANT HOLES AND GROUND PREPARATION TO BE CARRIED-OUT AS DEPICTED IN DETAIL. HOLES FOR PLANTINGS SHOULD BE EXCAVATED TO AT LEAST 4 INCHES CLEARANCE AROUND THE SOIL BALL AND BELOW ROOT SYSTEM, AS IS PRACTICAL. THE SOIL IN THE BOTTOM OF THE HOLE SHALL BE LOOSENED TO A DEPTH OF 4 INCHES.
- 5. THE PLANTS WILL BE PLACED IN AN UPRIGHT POSITION IN THE HOLES ON A PEDESTAL OF COMPACTED TOPSOIL MIX TO A DEPTH SUCH THAT THE ROOT "COLLAR" IS COINCIDENT WITH THE ESTABLISHED GROUND LEVEL.
- 6. EACH HOLE WILL BE BACKFILLED WITH TOP SOIL HAVING A TWO TO TWENTY PERCENT ORGANIC CONTENT. PLACE THIN COVER OF SHREDED BARK MULCH OR APPROPRIATE SUBSTITUTE, AROUND THE BASE OF INSTALLED PLANTS. INSTALL TEMPORARY DEER FENCING AROUND YOUNG PLANTS BASED ON SITE CONDITONS.
- 7. MAINTAIN REMAINING LAWN BETWEEN INSTALLED PLANTS, AS IS PRACTICAL, IN ORDER TO ANCHOR SOIL IN PLANTING AREA WHILE PLANTS ARE FILLING IN.
- 8. ALL PLANTS WILL BE THOROUGHLY WATERED ON THE DAY OF PLANTING, AS IS WARRANTED.
- 9. WATER PLANTS DAILY FOR TWO WEEKS AFTER PLANTING, IF NEEDED. CONTINUE WATERING PLANTS EVERY TWO WEEKS, IF NEEDED, DURING DRY PERIODS THAT EXCEED THREE WEEKS WITHOUT A GOOD SOAKING.
- 10. ALL AREAS DISTURBED BY PLANTING MITIGATION WORK, INCLUDING ACCESS ROUTE, WILL BE RESTORED TO EXISTING OR BETTER CONDITIONS.
- 11. NOTIFY TOWN OF LEWISBORD WETLAND INSPECTOR ONCE PLANTINGS ARE INSTALLED SO THAT THE TOWN CAN MAKE A SITE VISIT TO INSPECT THE WORK,

GENERAL MAINTENANCE SCHEDULE FOR MITIGATION PLANTING AREA.

1. PERIODICALLY INSPECT PLANTING AREAS TO SELECTIVELY REMOVE, BY HAND, UN-WANTED INVASIVE PLANTS (WEEDS).

2. SELECTIVELY HAND CUT REMAINING LAWN AREA WITHIN MITIGATION PLANTING AREA, AS NEEDED, SO AS TO REMOVE ANY UN-WANTED WEED GROWTH (WEEDS) OVER PERIOD OF MINIMUM OF 2-3 YEARS.

3. REMOVAL OF LEAF LITTER SHOULD BE LIMITED TO HAND CLEARING IN PLANTED AREAS.

4. THE PROPERTY OWNER AGREES TO REFRAIN FROM USE OF CHEMICAL APPLICATIONS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, FERTILIZER, HERBICIDES, PESTICIDES, AND FUNGICIDES.

E	BOTANICAL NAME	FORM S	IZE	QUANT.	
					DR
RN	POLYSTICHUM ACROSTICHOIDES	1 QUART CONT.	N/A	40	
RN	OSMUNDA CINNAMOMEA	1 QUART CONT.	N/A	20	
FERN	DENNSTAEDTIA PUNCTILOBULA	1 QUART CONT.	N/A	20	
	ATHYRIUM ANGUSTUM	1 QUART CONT.	N/A	20	
ERN	ADIANTUM PEDATUM	1 QUART CONT.	N/A	20	
NS	THELYPTERIS NOVEBORACENSIS	1 QUART CONT.	N/A	20	
2N	ONDCLEA SENSIBILIS	1 QUART CONT.	N/A	40	

ROPOSED MITIGATION PLANTING PLAN & EXISTING CONDITIONS MAP

THE STRAUSS SITE

399 POUND RIDGE ROAD LEWISBORD, NY

PREPARED FOR

TED AND JANICE STRAUSS

FEB. 7, 2021 PREPARED BY

PAUL J. JAEHNIG -WETLANDS AND SOILS CONSULTING P.O. BOX 1071 RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877 TEL. 203 438 9993

PLAN SCALE: 1 INCH = 10 FT.